arXiv:1110.3049v2 [math.NT] 6 Jan 2012

HODGE TYPE THEOREMS FOR ARITHMETIC MANIFOLDS ASSOCIATED TO ORTHOGONAL GROUPS

NICOLAS BERGERON, JOHN MILLSON, AND COLETTE MOEGLIN

Abstract. We show that special cycles generate a large part of the cohomology of locally symmetric spaces associated to orthogonal groups. We prove in particular that classes of totally geodesic submanifolds generate the cohomology groups of degree n of compact congruence p-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds "of simple type" as long as n is strictly smaller than $\frac{1}{2}[\frac{p}{2}]$. We also prove that for connected Shimura varieties associated to $\mathrm{O}(p,2)$ the Hodge conjecture is true for classes of degree $< \frac{1}{2}[\frac{p+1}{2}]$. The proof of our general theorem makes use of the recent endoscopic classification of automorphic representations of orthogonal groups by [3]. As such our results are conditional on the stabilization of the trace formula for the (disconnected) groups $\mathrm{GL}(N)\rtimes\langle\theta\rangle$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2n)\rtimes\langle\theta'\rangle$ (where θ and θ' are the outer automorphisms), see [3, Hypothesis 3.2]. Unfortunately, at present the stabilization of the trace formula has been proved only for the case of *connected* groups. The extension needed is part of work in progress by the Paris-Marseille team of automorphic form researchers. For more detail, see the second paragraph of subsection 1.18 below.

Contents

1. Introduction 2

Part 1. Automorphic forms 10
2. Theta liftings for orthogonal groups: some backgrounds 10
3. Arthur's theory 13
4. A surjectivity theorem for theta liftings 17

Part 2. Local computations 19
5. Cohomological unitary representations 19
6. Cohomological Arthur packets 26
7. The classes of Kudla-Millson and Funke-Millson 31

Part 3. Geometry of arithmetic manifolds 50
8. Cohomology of arithmetic manifolds 50
9. Special cycles 55
10. Main theorem 58

Part 4. Applications 63
11. Hyperbolic manifolds 63

N.B. is a member of the Institut Universitaire de France.
J.M. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0907446.

12. Shimura varieties associated to $\mathrm{O}(p,2)$ 64
13. Arithmetic manifolds associated to $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ 65
14. Growth of Betti numbers 67
15. Periods of automorphic forms 67

Appendix A 73

Appendix B 77
References 84

1. Introduction

1.1. Let D be the p-dimensional hyperbolic space and let $Y_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash D$ be a compact hyperbolic manifold.

Thirty-five years ago one of us (J.M.) proved, see [58], that if Y_Γ was a compact hyperbolic manifold of simple arithmetic type (see subsection 1.3 below) then there was a congruence covering $Y'_\Gamma \to Y_\Gamma$ such that Y'_Γ contained a nonseparating embedded totally geodesic hypersurface F'. Hence the associated homology class $[F']$ was nonzero and the first Betti number of Y'_Γ was nonzero. Somewhat later the first author refined this result to

1.2. **Proposition.** *Assume Y_Γ is arithmetic and contains an (immersed) totally geodesic codimension one submanifold*

$$F \to Y_\Gamma. \tag{1.2.1}$$

Then, there exists a finite index subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ such that the map (1.2.1) lifts to an embedding $F \hookrightarrow Y_{\Gamma'}$ and the dual class $[F] \in H^1(Y_{\Gamma'})$ is non zero.

This result was the first of a series of results on non-vanishing of cohomology classes in hyperbolic manifolds, see [9] for the best known results in that direction. In this paper we investigate to which extent classes dual to totally geodesic submanifolds generate the whole cohomology. We work with *congruence* hyperbolic manifolds.

All the results below depend on Arthur's endoscopic classification of automorphic representations of orthogonal groups [3]. We therefore emphasize that at time of writing they are still conditional on the stabilization of the *twisted* trace formula for the group $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$, see [3, Hypothesis 3.2.1] and §1.18 for more precisions.

1.3. First we recall the general definition of congruence hyperbolic manifolds of simple type. Let F be a totally real field and $\mathbb{A}$ the ring of adeles of F. Let V be a nondegenerate quadratic space over F with $\dim_F V = m$. We assume that $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ is compact at all but one infinite place. We denote by v_0 the infinite place where $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is non compact and assume that $G(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p,1)$.

Consider the image $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$ in $\mathrm{SO}(p,1)_0$ of the intersection $G(F) \cap K$, where K is a compact-open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ the group of finite adèlic points of G. According to a classical theorem of Borel and Harish-Chandra, it is a lattice in $\mathrm{SO}(p,1)_0$. It is a cocompact lattice if and only if G is anisotropic over F. If Γ is sufficiently deep, i.e. K is a sufficiently small compact-open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, then Γ is moreover torsion-free.

The special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(p)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p,1)_0$, and the quotient $\mathrm{SO}(p,1)_0/\mathrm{SO}(p)$ – the associated symmetric space – is isometric to the n-dimensional hyperbolic space D.

A *compact congruence hyperbolic manifolds of simple type* is a quotient $Y_K = \Gamma\backslash D$ with $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$ a torsion-free congruence subgroup obtained as above. $\Gamma\backslash D$ is an n-dimensional *congruence* hyperbolic manifold. In general, a hyperbolic manifold is *arithmetic* if it shares a common finite cover with a congruence hyperbolic manifold.

1.4. Compact congruence hyperbolic manifolds of simple type contains a lot of (immersed) totally geodesic codimension one submanifolds to which Proposition 1.2 applies. In fact: to any totally positive definite sub-quadratic space $U \subset V$ of dimension $n \leq p$ we associate a totally geodesic (immersed) submanifold $c(U,K)$ of codimension n in Y_K. Set $H = \mathrm{SO}(U^\perp)$ so that $H(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p-n,1)$. There is a natural morphism $H \to G$. Recall that we can realize D as the set of negative lines in V_{v_0}. We then let D_H be the subset of D consisting of those lines which lie in $U_{v_0}^\perp$. Let Γ_U be the image of $H(F) \cap K$ in $\mathrm{SO}(p-n,1)_0$. The cycle $c(U,K)$ is the image of the natural map

$$\Gamma_U\backslash D_H \to \Gamma\backslash D.$$

It defines a cohomology class $[c(U,K)] \in H^n(Y_K,\mathbb{Q})$.

The following theorem can thus be thought as a converse to Proposition 1.2.

1.5. **Theorem.** *Suppose* $n < \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$. *Let* Y_K *be a* p*-dimensional compact congruence hyperbolic manifold of simple type. Then* $H^n(Y_K,\mathbb{Q})$ *is spanned by the Poincaré duals of classes of totally geodesic (immersed) submanifolds of codimension* n.

1.6. Let $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathrm{SO}(p,1)$ be the inclusion. Suppose G is $\mathrm{SO}(p+1,1)$ resp. $\mathrm{GL}(p+1,\mathbb{R})$. In each case we have a natural inclusion $\iota : \mathrm{SO}(p,1) \to G$. For the case $G = \mathrm{SO}(p+1,1)$ the image of ι is the subgroup leaving the first basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ fixed, in the second the inclusion is the "identity". The representation $\widetilde{\rho} = \iota\circ\rho : \Gamma \to G$ is no longer rigid (note that $\widetilde{\rho}(\Gamma)$ has infinite covolume in G). Though there was some earlier work this was firmly established in the early 1980's by Thurston, who discovered the "Thurston bending deformations", which are nontrivial deformations $\widetilde{\rho}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}$ associated to *embedded* totally geodesic hypersurfaces $C_U = c(U,K)$ where $\dim(U) = 1$, see [39] §5, for an algebraic description of these deformations. It is known that the Zariski tangent space to the real algebraic variety $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ of representations at the point $\widetilde{\rho}$ is the space of one cocycles $Z^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{R}^{p+1})$ in the first case and $Z^1(\Gamma,\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+1}))$ in the second case. Here $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+1}))$ denotes the space of harmonic (for the Minkowski metric) degree two polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$. Also trivial deformations correspond to 1-coboundaries. Then Theorem 5.1 of [39] proves that the tangent vector to the curve $\widetilde{\rho}_t$ at $t = 0$ is cohomologous to the Poincaré dual of the embedded hypersurface C_U equipped with the coefficient u in the first case and the harmonic projection of $u \otimes u$ in the second where u is a suitable vector in U determining the parametrization of the curve $\widetilde{\rho}_t$.

We then have

1.7. **Theorem.** *Suppose* $p \geq 6$. *Let* $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$ *be a cocompact congruence lattice of simple type in* $\mathrm{SO}(p,1)_0$. *Then* $H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{R}^{p+1})$ *resp.* $H^1(\Gamma,\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+1}))$ *are spanned by* *the Poincaré duals of (possibly non-embedded) totally-geodesic hypersurfaces with coefficients in* $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ *resp.* $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})$.

Remark. First, we remind the reader that the above deformation spaces of representations are homeomorphic to deformation spaces of locally homogeneous structures. In the first case, a hyperbolic structure on a compact manifold M is a fortiori a flat conformal structure and a neighborhood of $\widetilde{\rho}$ in the first space of representations (into $\mathrm{SO}(p+1,1)$) is homeomorphic to a neighborhood of M in the space of (marked) flat conformal structures. In the second case (representations into $\mathrm{PGL}(p+1,\mathbb{R})$) a neighborhood of ρ is homeomorphic to a neighborhood of the hyperbolic manifold in the space of (marked) flat real projective structures. Thus it is of interest to apply deformation theory to describe a neighborhood of $\widetilde{\rho}$ in these two cases. By the above theorem we know the infinitesimal deformations of $\widetilde{\rho}$ are spanned modulo boundaries by the Poincaré duals of totally-geodesic hypersurfaces with coefficients. The first obstruction can be nonzero, see [39] who showed that the first obstruction is obtained by intersecting the representing totally geodesic hypersurfaces with coefficients. Thus one is led to ask *can the higher obstructions (Massey products) be nonzero*. In this context Theorem 1.20 below is very suggestive. By Theorem 1.20 we can compute the first obstruction as the restriction of the wedge of *holomorphic* vector-valued one-forms on $Y^{\mathbb{C}}$. This gives the first evidence for the hope shared by the second author and Misha Kapovich for almost twenty years that, for p large, the corresponding deformation theories would be *formal*, see [30]. Then the deformation spaces would be cut out from the above first cohomology groups by the vector-valued quadratic equations given by the first obstruction and the deformation spaces would be computable in special cases.

1.8. Theorems 1.5 and 1.7 bear a strong ressemblance to the famous *Hodge conjecture* for complex projective manifolds: Let Y be a projective complex manifold. Then every rational cohomology class of type (n,n) on Y is a linear combination with rational coefficients of the cohomology classes of complex subvarieties of Y.

Hyperbolic manifolds are not complex (projective) manifolds, so that Theorem 1.5 is not obviously related to the Hodge conjecture. We may nevertheless consider the congruence locally symmetric varieties associated to orthogonal groups $\mathrm{O}(p,2)$. These are connected Shimura varieties and as such are projective complex manifolds. As in the case of real hyperbolic manifolds, one may associate special algebraic cycles to orthogonal subgroups $\mathrm{O}(p-n,2)$ of $\mathrm{O}(p,2)$.

The proof of the following theorem now follows the same lines as the proof of Theorem 1.5.

1.9. **Theorem.** *Let* Y *be a connected compact Shimura variety associated to the orthogonal group* $\mathrm{O}(p,2)$*. Let* n *be an integer* $< \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{p+1}{2}\right]$*. Then every rational cohomology class of type* (n,n) *on* Y *is a linear combination with rational coefficients of the cohomology classes Poincaré dual to complex subvarieties of* Y*.*

Note that the complex dimension of Y is p. Hodge theory provides $H^{2n}(Y)$ with a pure Hodge structure of weight $2n$ and we more precisely prove that $H^{n,n}(Y)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and that every rational cohomology class of type (n,n) on Y is a linear combination with rational coefficients of the cup product with some power of the Lefschetz class of cohomology classes associated to the special algebraic cycles corresponding to orthogonal subgroups.[1] A more general theorem deals with the cuspidal cohomology of non-compact Shimura variety. And we hope to extend our result to the whole L^2-cohomology in a sequel to this paper. Using work of Weissauer [84] this is done in the special case $p = 3$ and $n = 1$ by Hoffman and He [33]. In this last case the Shimura varieties are Siegel modular threefolds and the special algebraic cycles are Humbert surfaces and their main theorem states that the Humbert surfaces rationally generate the Picard groups of Siegel modular threefolds.

1.10. **A general theorem.** As we explain in sections 11 and 12, theorems 1.5, 1.7 and 1.9 follow from Theorem 10.10 which is the main result of our paper. It is concerned with arithmetic congruence manifolds $Y = Y_K$ associated to $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ as above but such that $G(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ with $p + q = m$. It is related to the Hodge conjecture through a refined decomposition of the cohomology that we now briefly described.

1.11. **The refined Hodge decomposition.** As first suggested by Chern [16] the decomposition of exterior powers of the cotangent bundle of D under the action of the holonomy group, i.e. the maximal compact subgroup of G, yields a natural notion of *refined Hodge decomposition* of the cohomology groups of the associated locally symmetric spaces. Recall that

$$D = \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)/(\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q))$$

and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding (complexified) Cartan decomposition. As a representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SO}(q, \mathbb{C})$ the space $\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to $V_+ \otimes V_-^*$ where $V_+ = \mathbb{C}^p$ (resp. $V_- = \mathbb{C}^q$) is the standard representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathrm{SO}(q, \mathbb{C})$). The refined Hodge types therefore correspond to irreducible summands in the decomposition of $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}^*$ as a $(\mathrm{SO}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SO}(q, \mathbb{C}))$-module. In the case of the group $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$ (then D is the complex hyperbolic space) it is an exercise to check that one recovers the usual Hodge-Lefschetz decomposition. But in general the decomposition is much finer and in our orthogonal case it is hard to write down the full decomposition of $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}$ into irreducible modules. Note that, as a $\mathrm{GL}(V_+) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_-)$-module, the decomposition is already quite complicated. We have (see [24, Equation (19), p. 121]):

$$\wedge^R (V_+ \otimes V_-^*) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash R} S_\mu(V_+) \otimes S_{\mu^*}(V_-)^*. \tag{1.11.1}$$

Here we sum over all partition of R (equivalently Young diagram of size $|\mu| = R$) and μ^* is the conjugate partition (or transposed Young diagram).

It nevertheless follows from work of Vogan-Zuckerman [79] that very few of the irreducible submodules of $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}^*$ can occur as refined Hodge types of non-trivial coholomogy classes. The ones which can occur (and do occur non-trivially for some Γ) are understood in terms of cohomological representations of G. We review cohomological representations of G in section 5. We recall in particular how to associate to each cohomological representation π of G a *strongly primitive* refined Hodge type. This refined Hodge type correspond to an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$ which is uniquely determined by some special kind of partition μ as in (1.11.1), see [8] where these special partitions are called *orthogonal*. The first degree where these refined Hodge types can occur is $R = |\mu|$. We will use the notation H^{μ} for the space of the cohomology in degree $R = |\mu|$ corresponding to this special Hodge type.

---

[1] We should note that $H^{2n}(Y)$ is of pure (n, n)-type when $n < p/4$ but this is no longer the case in general when $n \geq p/4$.

Note that since $\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} = \wedge^{\bullet}(V_+ \otimes V_-^*)$ the group $\mathrm{SL}(q) = \mathrm{SL}(V_-)$ acts on $\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}^*$. In this paper we will be mainly concerned with elements of $(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{SL}(q)}$ – that is elements that are trivial on the V_--side. Note that in general $(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{SL}(q)}$ is *strictly* contained in $(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{SO}(q)}$. Recall that if q is even there exists an invariant element

$$e_q \in (\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{SO}(p)\times \mathrm{SL}(q)},$$

the *Euler class/form* (see subsection 5.12.1 for the definition). We define $e_q = 0$ if q is odd. We finally note that if μ is the partition $q + \ldots + q$ (n times) then $S_{\mu^*}(V_-)$ is the *trivial* representation of $\mathrm{SL}(V_-)$ and the special Hodge type associated to μ occurs in $(\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{SL}(q)}$; in that case we use the notation $\mu = n \times q$.

1.12. The refined Hodge decomposition of special cycles with coefficients.

We also consider general local systems of coefficients. Let λ be a dominant weight for $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ with at most $n \leq p/2$ nonzero entries and let $E(\lambda)$ be the associated finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$.

The reader will verify that the subalgebra $\wedge^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{SL}(q)}$ of $\wedge^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ is invariant under $K_\infty = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$. Hence, we may form the associated subbundle

$$F = D \times_{K_\infty} (\wedge^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{\mathrm{SL}(q)} \otimes E(\lambda))$$

of the bundle

$$D \times_{K_\infty} (\wedge^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes E(\lambda))$$

of exterior powers of the cotangent bundle of D twisted by $E(\lambda)$. The space of sections of F is invariant under the Laplacian and hence under harmonic projection, compare [16, bottom of p. 105]. In case $E(\lambda)$ is trivial the space of sections of F is a subalgebra of the algebra of differential forms.

We denote by $H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))^{\mathrm{SC}}$ the corresponding subspace (subalgebra if $E(\lambda)$ is trivial) of $H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$. Note that when $q = 1$ we have $H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))^{\mathrm{SC}} = H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$ and when $q = 2$ we have

$$H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))^{\mathrm{SC}} = \oplus_{n=1}^{p} H^{n,n}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda)).$$

As above we may associate to n-dimensional totally positive sub-quadratic spaces of V special cycles of codimension nq in Y with coefficients in the finite dimensional representation $E(\lambda)$. They yield classes in $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$. In fact we shall show that these classes belong to the subspace $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))^{\mathrm{SC}}$ and it follows from Proposition 5.15 that

$$H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))^{\mathrm{SC}} = \oplus_{r=0}^{[p/2]} \oplus_{k=0}^{p-2r} e_q^k H^{r\times q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda)). \tag{1.12.1}$$

(Compare with the usual Hodge-Lefschetz decomposition.) We call $H^{n\times q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$ the *primitive part* of $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))^{\mathrm{SC}}$. We see then that if q is odd the above special classes have *pure refined Hodge type* and if q is even each such class is the sum of at most $n + 1$ refined Hodge types. In what follows we will consider the primitive part of the special cycles i.e. their projections into the subspace associated to the refined Hodge type $n \times q$:

The notion of refined Hodge type is a local Riemannian geometric one. However since we are dealing with locally symmetric spaces there is an equivalent global definition in terms of automorphic representations. Let $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ be the cohomological

Vogan-Zuckerman $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ where $\mathfrak{q}$ is a θ-stable parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ whose associated Levi subgroup L is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(1)^n \times \mathrm{SO}(p-2n,q)$. Let $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ denote the space of cuspidal harmonic nq-forms such that the corresponding automorphic representations of the adelic orthogonal group have distinguished (corresponding to the noncompact factor) infinite component equal to the unitary representation corresponding to $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$. Then we have

$$H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)} = H^{n\times q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda)).$$

Now Theorem 10.10 reads as:

1.13. **Theorem.** *Suppose* $2n < m - [m/2] - 1 = [(m-1)/2]$. *Then the space* $H^{n\times q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$ *is spanned by the projections of classes of special cycles.*

Remark. Here we have identified the fundamental homology class of an oriented submanifold of an oriented manifold with the cohomology class that is dual to it under Poincaré duality. We will continue to make this abuse of terminology throughout the rest of the paper.

In degree $R < \min(m-3, pq/4)$ one may deduce from the Vogan-Zuckerman classification of cohomological representations that $H^R_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$ is generated by cup-products of invariant forms with primitive subspaces $H^{n\times q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$ or $H^{p\times n}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$. Exchanging the role of p and q we may therefore apply Theorem 1.13 to prove the following:

1.14. **Corollary.** *Let R be an integer* $< \min\left(m-3, \frac{q}{2}[(m-1)/2], pq/4\right)$. *Then the full cohomology group* $H^R_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E)$ *is generated by cup-products of classes of totally geodesic cycles and invariant forms.*

Beside proving Theorem 1.13 we also provide strong evidence for the following:

1.15. **Conjecture.**
(1) *Theorem 1.13 remains valid as long as* $p > 2n$ *and* $m - 1 > 3n$.
(2) *If* $p = 2n$ *or* $m - 1 \leq 3n$ *the space* $H^{n\times q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$ *is* not *spanned by projections of classes of special cycles.*

More precisely, we give a conjecture which is widely accepted in the theory of archimedean representations, see §6.4 and under this conjecture we prove the first part of the conjecture.

In particular: Theorem 1.5 should remain valid as long as $p > 3n$, Theorem 1.7 should remain valid as long as $p > 3$ and Theorem 1.9 should remain valid as long as $p+1 > 3n$. In the special case $p = 3$, $q = n = 1$ we give an example of a cuspidal class of degree one in the cohomology of Bianchi hyperbolic manifolds which does not belong to the subspace spanned by classes of special cycles, see Proposition 15.14.

1.16. **Organisation of the paper.** The proof of Theorem 10.10 is the combination of three main steps.

The first step is the work of Kudla-Millson [48] – as extended by Funke and Millson [26]. It relates the subspace of the cohomology of locally symmetric spaces associated to orthogonal groups generated by special cycles to certain cohomology classes associated to the "special theta lift" using vector-valued adelic Schwartz functions with a fixed vector-valued component at infinity. More precisely, the special theta lift restricts the general theta lift to Schwartz functions that have at the distinguished infinite place where the orthogonal group is noncompact the fixed Schwartz function $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ taking values in the vector space $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p})^* \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)$, see §7.13, at infinity. The Schwartz functions at the other infinite places are Gaussians (scalar-valued) and at the finite places are scalar-valued and otherwise arbitrary. The main point is that $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ is a relative Lie algebra cocycle for the orthogonal group allowing one to interpret the special theta lift cohomologically.

The second step, accomplished in Theorem 10.5 and depending essentially on Theorem 7.32, is to show that the intersections of the images of the general theta lift and the special theta lift just described with the subspace of the cuspidal automorphic forms that have infinite component the Vogan-Zuckerman representation $A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)$ coincide (of course the first intersection is potentially larger). In other words the special theta lift accounts for all the cohomology of type $A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)$ that may be obtained from theta lifting. This is the analogue of the main result of the paper of Hoffman and He [33] for the special case of $\mathrm{SO}(3,2)$ and our arguments are very similar to theirs. Combining the first two steps, we show that, in low degree (small n), *all* cuspidal cohomology classes of degree nq and type $A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)$ that can by obtained from the general theta lift coincide with the span of the special chomology classes dual to the special cycles of Kudla-Millson and Funke-Millson.

The third step (and it is here that we use Arthur's classification [3]) is to show that in low degree (small n) any cohomology class in $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))_{A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)}$ can be obtained as a projection of the class of a theta series. In other words, we prove the low-degree cohomological surjectivity of the general theta lift (for cuspidal classes of the refined Hodge type $A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)$). In particular in the course of the proof we obtain the following (see Theorem 8.13):

1.17. **Theorem.** *Assume that V is anisotropic and $2n < m - [m/2] - 1$. Then the global theta correspondence induces an isomorphism between the space of cuspidal holomorphic Siegel modular forms, of weight $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\frac{m}{2}}$ at v_0 and weight $\mathbb{C}_{-\frac{m}{2}}$ at all the others infinite places, on the* connected *Shimura variety associated to the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}|_F$ and the space*

$$H^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), E(\lambda))_{A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)} = \lim_{\overrightarrow{K}} H^{nq}(Y_K, E(\lambda))_{A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)}.$$

Combining the two steps we find that in low degree the space

$$\lim_{\overrightarrow{K}} H^{nq}(Y_K, E(\lambda))_{A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)}$$

is spanned by images of duals of special cycles. From this we deduce (again for small n) that $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))_{A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)}$ is spanned by totally geodesic cycles.

The injectivity part of the previous theorem is not new. It follows from Rallis inner product formula [69]. In our case it is due to Li, see [54, Theorem 1.1]. The surjectivity is the subject of [63, 29] that we summarize in section 2. In brief a cohomology class (or more generally any automorphic form) is in the image of the theta lift if its partial L-function has a pole far on the right. This condition may be thought of as asking that the automorphic form – or rather its lift to $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ – is very non-tempered in all but a finite number of places. To apply this result we have to relate this global condition to the local condition that our automorphic form is of a certain cohomological type at infinity.

1.18. This is where the deep theory of Arthur comes into play. We summarize Arthur's theory in section 3. Very briefly: Arthur classifies automorphic representations of classical groups into global packets. Two automorphic representations belong to the same packet if their partial L-functions are the same i.e. if the local components of the two automorphic representations are isomorphic almost everywhere. Moreover in loose terms: Arthur shows that if an automorphic form is very non tempered at one place then it is very non tempered everywhere. To conclude we therefore have to study the cohomological representations at infinity and show that those we are interested in are very non-tempered, this is the main issue of section 6. Arthur's work on the endoscopic classification of representations of classical groups relates the automorphic representations of the orthogonal groups to the automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ twisted by some outer automorphism θ. Note however that the relation is made through the stable trace formula for the orthogonal groups (twisted by an outer automorphism in the even case) and the stable trace formula for the twisted (non connected) group $\mathrm{GL}(N) \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$.

Thus, as pointed out in the abstract, our work is still conditional on extensions to the twisted case of results which have only been proved so far in the case of connected groups. To be precise Arthur's work [3] is conditional on two such results: We already have mentioned the first; it is the stabilization of the trace formula for the two (disconnected) groups $\mathrm{GL}(N) \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2n) \rtimes \langle \theta' \rangle$ (where θ and θ' are the outer automorphisms), see [3, Hypothesis 3.2.1].[2] This is part of a work in progress by the Paris-Marseille team of automorphic forms. The second – local – result that Arthur takes for granted, is Shelstad's strong spectral transfer of tempered archimedean characters; to quote Arthur: "The general twisted form of Shelstad's endoscopic classification appears to be within reach. It is likely to be established soon by some extension of recent work by Mezo [57] and Shelstad [72]." And indeed: partial results – sufficient to establish the version of this second local result that we require – have recently been announced by Mezo. To be complete we should add that the stabilization of the trace formula [3, (3.2.3)] "now valid if G is any connected group" [3, p. 135] depends on the weighted fundamental lemma which is announced by Chaudouard and Laumon [15, 14] but is not completly written yet, see footnote 13 in Appendix A.

1.19. Part 4 is devoted to applications. Apart from those already mentioned, we deduce from our results and recent results of Cossutta [19] and Cossutta-Marshall [20] an estimate on the growth of the small degree Betti numbers in congruence covers of hyperbolic manifolds of simple type. We also deduce from our results an application to the non-vanishing of certain periods of automorphic forms.

We finally note that the symmetric space D embeds as a totally geodesic and totally real submanifold in the Hermitian symmetric space $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ associated to the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(p,q)$. Also there exists a representation $E(\lambda)^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathrm{U}(p,q)$ whose restriction of $\mathrm{O}(p,q)$ contains the irreducible representation $E(\lambda)$. Hence there is a $\mathrm{O}(p,q)$ homomorphism from $E(\lambda)^{\mathbb{C}}|\mathrm{O}(p,q)$ to $E(\lambda)$. As explained in §7.15 the form $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ is best understood as the restriction of a homomophic *Kazhdan form* on $D^{\mathbb{C}}$. Now any $Y = Y_K$ as in §1.10 embeds as a totally geodesic and totally real submanifold in a connected Shimura variety $Y^{\mathbb{C}}$ modelled on $D^{\mathbb{C}}$. And the proof of Theorem 1.13 implies:

---

[2] We could certainly make our results conditional on the stabilization of the trace formula only for the group $\mathrm{GL}(N) \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$. The price to pay would be to make this paper harder to read. And the benefit would be very low as the work in progress by the Paris-Marseille team of automorphic forms is concerned with the stabilization of the twisted trace formula in a very general setting which contains both the groups $\mathrm{GL}(N) \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2n) \rtimes \langle \theta' \rangle$.

1.20. **Theorem.** *Suppose* $2n < [(m-1)/2]$. *Then the space* $H^{n\times q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y, E(\lambda))$ *is spanned by the restriction of* holomorphic *forms in* $H^{nq,0}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y^{\mathbb{C}}, E(\lambda)^{\mathbb{C}})$.

As holomorphic forms are easier to deal with, we hope that this theorem may help to shed light on the cohomology of the non-Hermitian manifolds Y.

1.21. **More comments.** General arithmetic manifolds associated to $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ are of two types: The simple type and the non-simple type. In this paper we only deal with the former, i.e. arithmetic manifolds associated to a quadratic space V of signature (p,q) at one infinite place and definite at all other infinite places. Indeed: the manifolds constructed that way contain totally geodesic submanifolds associated to subquadratic spaces. But when $m = p+q$ is even there are other constructions of arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ commensurable with the group of units of an appropriate skew-hermitian form over a quaternion field (see e.g. [55, Section 2]. Note that when $m = 4, 8$ there are further constructions that we won't discuss here.

Arithmetic manifolds of non-simple type do not contain as many totally geodesic cycles as those of simple type and Theorem 1.13 cannot hold. For example the real hyperbolic manifolds constructed in this way in [55] do not contain codimension 1 totally geodesic submanifolds. We should nevertheless point out that there is a general method to produce nonzero cohomology classes for these manifolds: As first noticed by Raghunathan and Venkataramana, these manifolds can be embedded as totally geodesic and totally real submanifolds in unitary arithmetic manifolds of *simple type*, see [68]. On the latter a general construction due to Kazhdan and extended by Borel-Wallach [12, Chapter VIII] produces nonzero holomorphic cohomology classes as theta series. Their restrictions to the totally real submanifolds we started with can produce nonzero cohomology classes and Theorem 1.20 should still hold in that case. This would indeed follow from our proof modulo the natural extension of [29, Theorem 1.1 (1)] for unitary groups of skew-hermitian form over a quaternion field.

To conclude we should also mention that our results should naturally extend to unitary groups. This is works in progress; the main missing part is that Arthur's theory has not been written in that setting yet.

We would like to thank Jeffrey Adams for helpful conversations about this paper. The second author would like to thank Stephen Kudla and Jens Funke for their collaborations which formed a critical input to this paper.

Part 1. **Automorphic forms**

2. THETA LIFTINGS FOR ORTHOGONAL GROUPS: SOME BACKGROUNDS

2.1. **Notations.** Let F be a number field and $\mathbb{A}$ the ring of adeles of F. Let V be a nondegenerate quadratic space over F with $\dim_F V = m$.

2.2. **The theta correspondence.** Let X be a symplectic F-space with $\dim_F X = 2p$. We consider the tensor product $X \otimes V$. It is naturally a symplectic F-space and we let $\mathrm{Sp}(X\otimes V)$ be the corresponding symplectic F-group. Then $(\mathrm{O}(V), \mathrm{Sp}(X))$

forms a reductive dual pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(X \otimes V)$, in the sense of Howe [34]. We denote by $\mathrm{Mp}(X)$ the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}(X)$ if m is odd or simply $\mathrm{Sp}(X)$ is m is even.

For a non-trivial additive character ψ of $\mathbb{A}/F$, we may define the oscillator representation ω_ψ. It is an automorphic representation of the metaplectic double cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(X \otimes V)$ of $\mathrm{Sp} = \mathrm{Sp}(X \otimes V)$, which is realized in the Schrödinger model. The maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(X \otimes V)$ is $\mathrm{U} = \mathrm{U}_{pm}$, the unitary group in pm variables. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}$ its preimage in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(X \otimes V)$. The associated space of smooth vectors of ω is the Bruhat-Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p)$. The $(\mathfrak{sp}, \widetilde{\mathrm{U}})$-module associated to ω is made explicit by the realization of ω known as the Fock model that we will brefly review in §7.4. Using it, one sees that the $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}$-finite vectors in ω is the subspace $\mathbf{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p) \subset \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p)$ obtained by replacing, at each infinite place, the Schwartz space by the *polynomial Fock space* $\mathbf{S}(V^p) \subset \mathcal{S}(V^p)$, i.e. the image of holomorphic polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^{pm}$ under the intertwining map from the Fock model of the oscillator representation to the Schrödinger model.

2.3. We denote by $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2pm}(\mathbb{A})$ the adelic points of respectively $\mathrm{O}(V)$, $\mathrm{Mp}(X)$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(X \otimes V)$. The global metaplectic group $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2pm}(\mathbb{A})$ acts in $\mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p)$ via ω and preserves the dense subspace $\mathbf{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p)$. For each $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p)$ we form the theta function

$$\theta_{\psi,\phi}(x) = \sum_{\xi \in V(F)^p} \omega_\psi(x)(\phi)(\xi) \tag{2.3.1}$$

on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2pm}(\mathbb{A})$. There is a natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A}) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{2pm}(\mathbb{A})$$

which is described with great details in [38]. We pull the oscillator representation ω_ψ back to $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A})$. Then $(g, g') \mapsto \theta_{\psi,\phi}(g', g)$ is a smooth, slowly increasing function on $\mathrm{O}(V)\backslash\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{Mp}(X)\backslash\mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A})$; see [83, 34].

2.4. **The global theta lifting.** We denote by $\mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{Mp}(X))$ the set of irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A})$, which occur as irreducible subspaces in the space of cuspidal automorphic functions in $L^2(\mathrm{Mp}(X)\backslash\mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A}))$. For a $\pi' \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{Mp}(X))$, the integral

$$\theta^f_{\psi,\phi}(g) = \int_{\mathrm{Mp}(X)\backslash\mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A})} \theta_{\psi,\phi}(g, g') f(g') dg', \tag{2.4.1}$$

with $f \in H_{\pi'}$ (the space of π'), defines an automorphic function on $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A})$: the integral (2.4.1) is well defined, and determines a slowly increasing function on $\mathrm{O}(V)\backslash\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A})$. We denote by $\Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\pi')$ the space of the automorphic representation generated by all $\theta^f_{\psi,\phi}(g)$ as ϕ and f vary, and call $\Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\pi')$ the ψ-theta lifting of π' to $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A})$. Note that, since $\mathbf{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p)$ we may as well let ϕ vary in the subspace $\mathbf{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^p)$.

We can similarly define $\mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{O}(V))$ and $\Theta^X_{\psi,V}$ the ψ-theta correspondence from $\mathrm{O}(V)$ to $\mathrm{Mp}(X)$.

2.5. It follows from [63] and from [38, Theorem 1.3] that if $\Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\pi')$ contains nonzero cuspidal automorphic functions on $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A})$ then the representation of $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A})$ in $\Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\pi')$ is irreducible (and cuspidal). We also denote by $\Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\pi')$ the corresponding element of $\mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{O}(V))$ In that case it moreover follows from [63] and [38, Theorem 1.1] that

$$\Theta^X_{\psi^{-1},V}(\Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\pi')) = \pi'.$$

We say that a representation $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{O}(V))$ *is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group* if there exists a symplectic space X with $\dim X \leq m$ and a representation $\pi' \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{Mp}(X))$ such that

$$\pi = \Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\pi').$$

2.6. The main technical point of this paper is to prove that if $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{O}(V))$ is such that its *local* component at infinity is “sufficiently non-tempered” (this has to be made precise) then the *global* representation π is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group.

As usual we encode local components of π into an L-function. In fact we only consider its *partial L-function* $L^S(s,\pi) = \prod_{v\notin S} L(s,\pi_v)$ where S is a sufficiently big finite set of places such that π_v is unramified for each $v \notin S$. For such a v we define the local factor $L(s,\pi_v)$ by considering the Langlands parameter of π_v.

Remark. We will loosely identifies the partial L-function of π and that of its restriction to $\mathrm{SO}(V)$. However we should note that the restriction of π_v to the special orthogonal group may be reducible: If $v \notin S$ we may associate to the Langlands parameter of π_v representations from the principal series of the special orthogonal group. Each of these has a unique unramified subquotient and the restriction of π_v to the special orthogonal group is then the sum of the non-isomorphic subquotients.[3] Anyway: the local L-factor is the same for each summand of the restriction as it only depends on the Langlands parameter.

We may generalize these definitions to form the partial L-functions $L^S(s,\pi\times\eta)$ for any automorphic character η.

Now the following proposition is a first important step toward the proof that a “sufficiently non-tempered” automorphic representation is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group. It is symmetric to [49, Theorem 7.2.5] and is the subject of [63] and [29, Theorem 1.1 (1)]; it is revisited and generalized in [27].

2.7. **Proposition.** *Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{O}(V))$ and let η be a quadratic character of $F^*\backslash\mathbb{A}^*$. Let a be a nonnegative integer with $a+1 \equiv m \bmod 2$. We assume that the partial L-function $L^S(s,\pi\times\eta)$ is holomorphic in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}(a+1)$ and has a pole in $s = \frac{1}{2}(a+1)$. Let $p = \frac{1}{2}(m-a-1)$ and X be a symplectic F-space with $\dim X = 2p$.*

Then there exists an automorphic sign character ϵ of $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A})$ such that the ψ^{-1}-theta lifting of $(\pi\otimes\eta)\otimes\epsilon$ to $\mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A})$ does not vanish.

The big second step to achieve our first goal will rely on Arthur's theory.

---

[3] There are at most two such non-isomorphic subquotients.

3. Arthur's theory

3.1. **Notations.** Let F be a number field, $\mathbb{A}$ its ring of adeles and $\Gamma_F = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$. Let V be a nondegenerate quadratic space over F with $\dim_F V = m$. We let G be the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ over F. We set $\ell = [m/2]$ and $N = 2\ell$.

The group G is an inner form of a quasi-split form G^*. As for now Arthur's work only deals with quasi-split groups. We first describe the group G^* according to the parity of m and briefly recall the results of Arthur we shall need. We recall from the introduction that Arthur's work rely on extensions to the twisted case of two results which have only been proved so far in the case of connected groups: The first is the stabilization of the twisted trace formula for the two groups $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$, see [3, Hypothesis 3.2.1]. The second is Shelstad's strong spectral transfer of tempered archimedean characters. Taking these for granted we will explain how to deal with non-quasi-split groups in the next section.

3.2. We first assume that $m = N+1$ is odd. Then the special orthogonal group G is an inner form of the *split* form $G^* = \mathrm{SO}(m)$ over F associated to the symmetric bilinear form whose matrix is

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 \\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The (complex) dual group of G^* is $G^\vee = \mathrm{Sp}(N,\mathbb{C})$ and ${}^LG = G^\vee \times \Gamma_F$.

3.3. We now assume that $m = N$ is even. We let $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ be the *split* orthogonal group over F associated to the symmetric bilinear form whose matrix is J. The *quasi-split* forms of $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ are parametrized by morphisms $\Gamma_F \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which by class field theory correspond to characters η on $F^*\backslash\mathbb{A}^*$ such that $\eta^2 = 1$ – *quadratic Artin characters*. We denote by $\mathrm{SO}(N,\eta)$ the outer twist of the split group $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ determined by η: the twisting is induced by the action of Γ_F on the Dynkin diagram via the character η.

When $m = N$ is even, there exists a quadratic Artin character η such that G is an inner form of the *quasi-split* group $G^* = \mathrm{SO}(N,\eta)$. The (complex) dual group of G^* is then $G^\vee = \mathrm{SO}(N,\mathbb{C})$ and ${}^LG = G^\vee \rtimes \Gamma_F$, where Γ_F acts on $G^\vee$ by an order 2 automorphism – trivial on the kernel of η – and fixes a splitting, see [10, p. 79] for an explicit description.

Remark. Let v be an infinite real place of F such that $G(F_v) \cong \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ with $m = p+q$ even so that $m = 2\ell$. Then η_v is trivial if and only if $(p-q)/2$ is even. We are lead to the following dichotomy for real orthogonal groups: if $(p-q)/2$ is odd, $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{SO}(\ell-1,\ell+1)$ and if $(p-q)/2$ is even, $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{SO}(\ell,\ell)$ (split over $\mathbb{R}$).

3.4. **Global Arthur parameters.** In order to extends the classification [61] of the discrete automorphic spectrum of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ to the classical groups, Arthur represents the discrete automorphic spectrum of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ by a set of formal tensor products

$$\Psi = \mu \boxtimes R,$$

where μ is an irreducible, unitary, cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ and R is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of dimension n, for positive integers

d and n such that $N = dn$. For any such Ψ, we form the induced representation

$$\mathrm{ind}(\mu|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}, \mu|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}(n-3)}, \dots, \mu|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}(1-n)})$$

(normalized induction from the standard parabolic subgroup of type $(d, \dots, d)$). We then write Π_Ψ for the unique irreducible quotient of this representation.

We may more generally associate an automorphic representation Π_Ψ of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ to a formal sum of formal tensor products:

$$\Psi = \mu_1 \boxtimes R_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \mu_r \boxtimes R_r \tag{3.4.1}$$

where each μ_j is an irreducible, unitary, cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}(d_i)/F$, R_j is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of dimension n_j and $N = n_1 d_1 + \dots + n_r d_r$.

Now consider the outer automorphism:

$$\theta : x \mapsto J^t x^{-1} J = J^t x^{-1} J^{-1} \quad (x \in \mathrm{GL}(N)).$$

There is an action $\Pi_\Psi \mapsto \Pi_\Psi^\theta$ on the set of representations Π_Ψ. If Ψ is as in (3.4.1), set

$$\Psi^\theta = \mu_1^\theta \boxtimes R_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \mu_r^\theta \boxtimes R_r.$$

Then $\Pi_\Psi^\theta = \Pi_{\Psi^\theta}$.

Arthur's main result [3, Theorem 1.5.2] (see also [7, Theorem 30.2]) then parametrizes the discrete automorphic spectrum of G^* by formal sum of formal tensor products Ψ as in (3.4.1) such that:

1. the $\mu_j \boxtimes R_j$ in (3.4.1) are all distinct, and
2. for each j, $\mu_j^\theta \boxtimes R_j = \mu_j \boxtimes R_j$.

3.5. **Local Arthur parameters.** Assume that $k = F_v$ is local and let W_k' be its Weil-Deligne group. We can similarly define packets over k. We define a local packet over k as a formal sum of formal tensor product (3.4.1) where each μ_j is now a tempered irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(d_j, k)$ that is square integrable modulo the center.[4] The other components R_j remain irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. To each $\mu_j \boxtimes R_j$ we associate the unique irreducible quotient Π_i of

$$\mathrm{ind}(\mu_j|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_j-1)}, \mu_j|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_j-3)}, \dots, \mu_j|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}(1-n_j)})$$

(normalized induction from the standard parabolic subgroup of type $(d_j, \dots, d_j)$). We then define Π_Ψ as the induced representation

$$\mathrm{ind}(\Pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Pi_r)$$

(normalized induction from the standard parabolic subgroup of type $(n_1 d_1, \dots, n_r d_r)$). It is irreducible and unitary. Finally, the local parameter consists of those representations such that $\Psi^\theta = \Psi$. Then Π_Ψ is theta-stable: $\Pi_\Psi \circ \theta \cong \Pi_\Psi$.

---

[4] Because we do not know that the extension to $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ of Ramanujan's conjecture is valid, we do not know that the local components of automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ are indeed tempered. So that in principle the μ_j are not necessarily tempered: their central characters need not be unitary. This requires a minor generalization that Arthur addresses in [7, Remark 3 p. 247]. Anyway the approximation to Ramanujan's conjecture proved by Luo, Rudnick and Sarnak [56] is enough for our purposes and it makes notations easier to assume that each μ_j is indeed tempered.

3.6. **Local Arthur packets.** We now recall how Arthur associates a finite packet of representations of $G^*(k)$ to a local parameter Ψ.

We say that two functions in $C_c^\infty(G^*(k))$ are stably equivalent if they have the same stable orbital integrals, see e.g. [52]. Thanks to the recent proofs by Ngo [67] of the fundamental lemma and Waldspurger's work [80], we have a natural notion of transfer $f \rightsquigarrow f^{G^*}$ from a test fonction $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{GL}(N,k))$ to a representative f^{G^*} of a stable equivalence class of functions in $C_c^\infty(G^*(k))$ such that f and f^{G^*} are *associated* i.e. they have matching stable orbital integrals [5], see [43] for more details about twisted transfer. Over Archimedean places existence of transfer is due to Shelstad, see [75]; we note that in that case being K-finite is preserved by transfer. When $G^* = \mathrm{SO}(N,\eta)$ one must moreover ask that f^{G^*} is invariant under an outer automorphism α of G^*; we may assume that $\alpha^2 = 1$.

Let Ψ be a local parameter as above and $\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_\Psi}$ be the space of Π_Ψ. We fix an intertwining operator $A_\theta : \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_\Psi} \to \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_\Psi}$ $(A_\theta^2 = 1)$ intertwining Π_Ψ and $\Pi_\Psi \circ \theta$.

When $G^* = \mathrm{SO}(N,\eta)$ we identify the irreducible representations π of $G^*(k)$ that are conjugated by α. Then $\mathrm{trace}\pi(f^{G^*})$ is well defined when f^{G^*} is as explained above.

The following proposition follows from [3, Theorem 2.2.1] (see also [7, Theorem 30.1]).

3.7. **Proposition.** *There exists a finite family $\prod(\Psi)$ of representations of $G^*(k)$, and some multiplicities $m(\pi) > 0$ ($\pi \in \prod(\Psi)$) such that, for associated f and f^{G^*} :*

$$\mathrm{trace}(\Pi_\Psi(f)A_\theta) = \sum_{\pi \in \prod(\Psi)} \varepsilon(\pi) m(\pi) \mathrm{trace}\ \pi(f^{G^*}), \tag{3.7.1}$$

where each $\varepsilon(\pi)$ is a sign $\in \{\pm 1\}$.

We remark that (3.7.1) uniquely determines $\prod(\Psi)$ as a set of representations-with-multiplicities; it also uniquely determines the signs $\varepsilon(\pi)$. In fact Arthur explicitely computes these signs for some particular choice of an intertwiner A_θ.

By the local Langlands correspondence, a local parameter Ψ for $G^*(k)$ can be represented as a homomorphism

$$\Psi : W_k' \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to {}^L G. \tag{3.7.2}$$

Arthur associates to such a parameter the L-parameter $\varphi_\Psi : W_k' \to {}^L G$ given by

$$\varphi_\Psi(w) = \Psi\left(w, \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{1/2} & \\ & |w|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

One key property of the local Arthur's packet $\prod(\Psi)$ is that it contains all representations of Langlands' L-packet associated to φ_Ψ. This is proved by Arthur, see also [65, Section 6].

Ignoring the minor generalization needed to cover the lack of Ramanujan's conjecture, the global part of Arthur's theory (see [3, Theorem 1.5.2]) now implies:

3.8. **Proposition.** *Let π be an irreducible automorphic representation of $G^*(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(G^*(F)\backslash G^*(\mathbb{A}))$. Then there exists a global Arthur parameter Ψ such that if v is any place of F then $\pi_v \in \prod(\Psi_v)$.*

---

[5] Here the orbital integrals on the $\mathrm{GL}(N)$-side are *twisted* orbital integrals.

Moreover, there exists a finite set S of places of F containing all Archimedean ones such that for all $v \notin S$, the L-parameter of π_v is φ_{Ψ_v}.

Remark. Proposition 3.8 in particular implies that the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ part of a local Arthur parameter has a *global* meaning. This puts serious limitations on the kind of non-tempered representations which can occur discretely: e.g. an automorphic representation π of $G^*(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs discretely in $L^2(G^*(F)\backslash G^*(\mathbb{A}))$ and which is non-tempered at one place v is non-tempered at all places.

The above remark explains how Arthur's theory will be used in our proof. This will be made effective through the use of L-functions.

3.9. **Application to L-functions.** Let π be an irreducible automorphic representation of $G^*(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(G^*(F)\backslash G^*(\mathbb{A}))$ and let

$$\Psi = \mu_1 \boxtimes R_1 \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mu_r \boxtimes R_r$$

be its global Arthur parameter (Proposition 3.8). We factor each $\mu_j = \otimes_v \mu_{j,v}$ where v runs over all places of F. Let S be a finite set of places of F containing the set S of Proposition 3.8, and all v for which either one $\mu_{j,v}$ or π_v is ramified. We can then define the formal Euler product

$$L^S(s,\Pi_\Psi) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{v \notin S} L_v(s - \frac{n_j-1}{2}, \mu_{j,v}) L_v(s - \frac{n_j-3}{2}, \mu_{j,v}) \ldots L_v(s - \frac{1-n_j}{2}, \mu_{j,v}).$$

Note that $L^S(s,\Pi_\Psi)$ is the partial L-function of a very special automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ with $M = \sum_{i\in[1,r]} d(n_i R_i)$; it is the product of partial L-functions of the square integrable automorphic representations associated to the parameters $\mu_j \boxtimes R_j$. According to Jacquet and Shalika [37] $L^S(s,\Pi_\Psi)$, which is a product absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \gg 0$, extends to a meromorphic function of s. It moreover follows from Proposition 3.8 and the definition of $L^S(s,\pi)$ that:

$$L^S(s,\pi) = L^S(s,\Pi_\Psi).$$

Remark. Given an automorphic character η we can similarly write $L^S(s,\eta\times\pi)$ as a product of L-functions associated to linear groups: replace μ_j by $\eta\otimes\mu_j$ in the above discussion (note that each μ_j is self-dual).

We can now relates Arthur's theory to Proposition 2.7:

3.10. **Lemma.** *Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(G^*(k))$ whose global Arthur parameter Ψ is a sum*

$$\Psi = \left(\boxplus_{(\rho,b)} \rho \boxtimes R_b\right) \boxplus \eta \boxtimes R_a$$

where η is a selfdual (quadratic) automorphic character and for each pair (ρ,b), either $b<a$ or $b=a$ and $\rho \not\cong \eta$. Then the partial L-function $L^S(s,\eta\times\pi)$ – here S is a finite set of places which contains all the Archimedean places and all the places where π ramifies – is holomorphic in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > (a+1)/2$ *and it has a simple pole in $s=(a+1)/2$.*

Proof. Writing $L^S(s,\eta\times\pi)$ explicitely on a right half-plane of absolute convergence; we get a product of $L^S(s-(a-1)/2,\eta\times\eta)$ by factors $L^S(s-(b-1)/2,\eta\times\rho)$. Our hypothesis on a forces $b \le a$ and if $b=a$, $\rho \not\cong \eta$. The conclusion of the lemma follows. $\square$

3.11. **Non quasi-split case.** Arthur's theory is fully developped in [3]. In chapter 9 – still to be added – Arthur will extend his results to G (inner form of G^*). As these results are still unavailable at the time we are writing, in appendix A we provide some indications on how to associate to $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(G)$ a global Arthur parameter Ψ such that outside a finite set S of places v of F the L-parameter of π_v is φ_{Ψ_v}. This is enough to state and prove Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.10 with G^* replaced by G.

3.12. **Infinitesimal character.** Let π_{v_0} be the local Archimedean factor of representation $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(G)$ with global Arthur parameter Ψ. We may associate to Ψ the parameter $\varphi_{\Psi_{v_0}} : \mathbb{C}^* \to G^\vee \subset \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\varphi_{\Psi_{v_0}}(z) = \Psi_{v_0}\left(z, \begin{pmatrix} (z\overline{z})^{1/2} & \\ & (z\overline{z})^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Being semisimple, it is conjugate into the maximal torus

$$T^\vee = \{\mathrm{diag}(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell, x_\ell^{-1}, \ldots, x_1^{-1})\}$$

of $G^\vee$. We may therefore write $\varphi_{\Psi_{v_0}} = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_\ell, \eta_\ell^{-1}, \ldots, \eta_1^{-1})$ where each η_j is a character $z \mapsto z^{P_i}\overline{z}^{Q_i}$. One easily checks that the vector

$$\nu_\Psi = (P_1, \ldots, P_\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell \cong \mathrm{Lie}(T) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

is uniquely defined modulo the action of the Weyl group W of $G(F_{v_0})$. The following proposition is detailed in [9].

3.13. **Proposition.** *The infinitesimal character of* π_{v_0} *is the image of* ν_Ψ *in* $\mathbb{C}^\ell/W$.

Recall that the infinitesimal character ν_Ψ is said to be *regular* if the P_j are all distinct.

4. A SURJECTIVITY THEOREM FOR THETA LIFTINGS

4.1. **Notations.** Let F be a number field and $\mathbb{A}$ be its ring of adeles. Fix ψ a non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{A}/F$. Let V be a nondegenerate quadratic space over F with $\dim_F V = m$. We set $\ell = [m/2]$ and $N = 2\ell$.

We say that a representation $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ – i.e. an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ – is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group if there exists a symplectic space X with $\dim X \leq N$ and a lift $\tilde{\pi}$ of π to $\mathrm{O}(V)$ such that $\tilde{\pi}$ is the image of a cuspidal automorphic form of $\mathrm{Mp}(X)$ by the ψ-theta correspondence. We note that then the restriction of $\tilde{\pi}$ to $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is isomorphic to π. In fact – but we don't need it here – the representation $\tilde{\pi}$ should be uniquely determined here. At each finite place this follows from Kudla-Rallis' theta dichotomy [50] and there is little doubt that this still holds at Archimedean places (see Kashiwara-Vergne [40] for the case of compact places).

Here we combine propositions 2.7 and 3.8 to prove the following result which is the main automorphic ingredient in our work.

We say that a global representation $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ is *highly non-tempered* (at v_0) if its local Arthur parameter Ψ_{v_0} contains a factor $\eta \otimes R_a$ where η is a quadratic character and $3a > m - 1$.

4.2. **Theorem.** *Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$. Assume that π is highly non-tempered (at v_0) and that π_{v_0} has a regular infinitesimal character. Then there exists an automorphic quadratic character χ such that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group associated to a symplectic space of dimension $m-a-1$.*

Proof. Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ and let $\tilde{\pi} \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{O}(V))$ be a lift of π. Recall from Remark 2.6 that the partial L-function $L^S(s,\tilde{\pi}) = L^S(s,\pi)$.

4.3. **Lemma.** *The global Arthur parameter of π is a sum*

$$\Psi = \left(\boxplus_{(\rho,b)} \rho \boxtimes R_b\right) \boxplus \eta \boxtimes R_a$$

where η is a selfdual (quadratic) automorphic character and each pair (ρ,b) consists of a (selfdual) cuspidal automorphic representation ρ of some $\mathrm{GL}(d_\rho)$ and a positive integer $b<a$ such that $\sum_{(\rho,b)} bd_\rho + a = N$.

Proof. The global Arthur parameter of π is a sum $\Psi = \boxplus_{(\rho',b')} \rho' \boxtimes R_{b'}$ (without multiplicity). The restriction of Ψ to the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ factor is a sum $\boxplus d_{\rho'} R_{b'}$ so that one of the b' is equal to a. Let (ρ_0,a) be one of the (ρ',b') such that $b'=a$. Then

$$d_{\rho_0} a + \sum_{(\rho',b') \neq (\rho_0,a)} d_{\rho'} b' = N.$$

We first note that $a,b \equiv m-1 \bmod 2$ as the representations $\eta \otimes R_a$ and $\rho \otimes R_b$ must be orthogonal (resp. symplectic) if the dual group of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is orthogonal (resp. symplectic). In particular a and b are both odd if m is even. The hypothesis $3a > m-1$ therefore implies that $3a > N$ so that: either $d_{\rho_0} = 2$ or $d_{\rho_0} = 1$. The first case cannot happen: every b' in the last sum would then be $< a$ and the local parameter of ρ_0 cannot contribute by a quadratic character η to Ψ_{v_0}. This is in contradiction with the hypothesis that Ψ_{v_0} contains a factor $\eta \otimes R_a$ where η is a quadratic character. We now prove that in the second case there is no $b \geq a$ occuring in the second sum. Indeed: suppose by contradiction that such a (ρ,b) occurs. The hypothesis $3a > m-1$ forces $d_\rho = 1$. According to §3.12 (since ρ_0 is a quadratic character) (ρ_0,a) contributes to the infinitesimal character of π_{v_0} by $\left(\frac{a-1}{2}, \frac{a-3}{2}, \dots, 0\right)$ or $\left(\frac{a-1}{2}, \frac{a-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ according to the parity of a. Now since $d_\rho = 1$ the automorphic representation ρ is also a quadratic character and (ρ,b) contributes to the infinitesimal character of π_{v_0} by $\left(\frac{b-1}{2}, \frac{b-3}{2}, \dots, 0\right)$ or $\left(\frac{b-1}{2}, \frac{b-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ according to the parity of b. Finally since $a,b \equiv m-1 \bmod 2$, both a and b have the same parity and the infinitesimal character of π_{v_0} cannot be regular in contradiction with our hypothesis. □

Remark. The stronger hypothesis $a > \ell = N/2$ (without any hypothesis on the infinitesimal character of π_{v_0}) directly implies that $b<a$ if (ρ,b) appears in the parameter of π.

4.4. Let η be the automorphic character given by Lemma 4.3. Then Lemma 3.10 implies that for some finite set of places S, the partial L-function $L^S(s,\pi\times\eta)$ is holomorphic in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > (a+1)/2$ and has a simple pole in $s = (a+1)/2$. Adding a finite set of places to S we may assume that this also holds for the partial L-function $L^S(s,\tilde{\pi}\times\eta)$.

Now let $p = \frac{1}{2}(m-a-1)$ and X be a symplectic F-space with $\dim X = 2p$. Proposition 2.7 implies that there exists an automorphic sign character ϵ of $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{A})$ such that the ψ^{-1}-theta lifting of $(\tilde{\pi} \otimes \eta) \otimes \epsilon$ to $\mathrm{Mp}_{2p}(\mathbb{A})$ does not vanish.

4.5. Here we prove that $\pi' := \Theta^X_{\psi^{-1},V}((\tilde{\pi} \otimes \eta) \otimes \epsilon)$ is cuspidal. Let π'_0 be the first (non-zero) occurrence of the ψ^{-1}-theta lifting of $(\tilde{\pi} \otimes \eta) \otimes \epsilon$ in the Witt tower of the symplectic spaces. By the Rallis theta tower property [69], then π'_0 is cuspidal. Let $2p_0$ be the dimension of the symplectic space corresponding to π'_0. We want to prove that $p_0 = p$. By the unramified correspondence we know $\tilde{\pi}_v$ in all but finitely many places v. It correspond to $\tilde{\pi}_v$ a *unique* (see e.g. [62]) Arthur packet Ψ_v which contains π_v – the restriction of π_v to $\mathrm{SO}(V)(F_v)$. And Ψ_v contains a factor $\eta_v \otimes R_{a'}$ with $a' = m - 2p_0 - 1$. In particular $a' \geq a$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.10 writing explicitely the partial L-function $L^S(s, \pi \times \eta)$ on a right half-plane of absolute convergence, we get a product of $L^S(s-(a'-1)/2, \eta \times \eta)$ by factors which are holomorphic in $(a'+1)/2$. This forces the partial L-function $L^S(s, \pi \times \eta)$ to have a pole in $s = (a'+1)/2$ and it follows from Lemma 3.10 that $a' \leq a$. Finally $a = a'$ and $p_0 = m - a - 1$.

4.6. The main theorem of [64] and [38, Theorem 1.2] now apply to the representation $(\tilde{\pi} \otimes \eta) \otimes \epsilon$ to show that

$$\Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\Theta^X_{\psi^{-1},V}((\tilde{\pi} \otimes \eta) \otimes \epsilon)) = (\tilde{\pi} \otimes \eta) \otimes \epsilon.$$

In otherwords: $\Theta^V_{\psi,X}(\pi') = (\tilde{\pi} \otimes \eta) \otimes \epsilon$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.2 with $\chi = \eta \otimes \epsilon$. □

Part 2. Local computations

5. Cohomological unitary representations

5.1. **Notations.** Let p and q two non-negative integers with $p + q = m$. In this section $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$ and $K = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G. We let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ the real Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$ be the Cartan decomposition associated to the choice of the maximal compact subgroup K. We denote by θ the corresponding Cartan involution. If $\mathfrak{l}_0$ is a real Lie algebra we denote by $\mathfrak{l}$ its complexification $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

5.2. **Cohomological $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules.** Let (π, V_π) be an irreducible unitary $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module and E be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of G. We say that (π, V_π) is *cohomological (w.r.t. the local system associated to E)* if it has nonzero $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_\pi \otimes E)$.

Cohomological $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules are classified by Vogan and Zuckerman in [79]: Let $\mathfrak{t}_0$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}_0$. A *θ-stable parabolic subalgebra* $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}(X) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is associated to an element $X \in i\mathfrak{t}_0$. It is defined as the direct sum

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u},$$

of the centralizer $\mathfrak{l}$ of X and the sum $\mathfrak{u}$ of the positive eigenspaces of $\mathrm{ad}(X)$. Since $\theta X = X$, the subspaces $\mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ are all invariant under θ, so

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p},$$

and so on.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ is the complexification of $\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$. Let L be the connected subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}_0$. Fix positive system $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{l})$ of the roots of $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathfrak{l}$. Then $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}) = \Delta^+(\mathfrak{l}) \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{u})$ is a positive system of the roots of $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Now extend $\mathfrak{t}$ to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, and choose $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ a positive system of roots of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ such that its restriction to $\mathfrak{t}$ gives $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Let ρ be half the sum of the roots in $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\rho(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ half the sum of the roots in $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}$. A one-dimensional representation $\lambda : \mathfrak{l} \to \mathbb{C}$ is admissible if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) λ is the differential of a unitary character of L,
(2) if $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u})$, then $\langle \alpha, \lambda_{\mathfrak{t}} \rangle \geq 0$.

Given $\mathfrak{q}$ and an admissible λ, let $\mu(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)$ be the representation of K of highest weight $\lambda_{|\mathfrak{t}} + 2\rho(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$.

The following proposition is due to Vogan and Zuckerman [79, Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 6.1].

5.3. **Proposition.** *Assume that λ is zero on the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$. There exists a unique irreducible unitary $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ such that:*

(1) *$A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ contains the K-type $\mu(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)$.*
(2) *$A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ has infinitesimal character $\lambda + \rho$.*

Vogan and Zuckerman (see [79, Theorem 5.5 and 5.6]) moreover prove:

5.4. **Proposition.** *Let (π, V_π) be an irreducible unitary $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module and E be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of G. Suppose $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_\pi \otimes E) \neq 0$. Then there is a θ-stable parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, such that:*

(1) *$E/\mathfrak{u}E$ is a one-dimensional unitary representation of L; write $-\lambda : \mathfrak{l} \to \mathbb{C}$ for its differential.*
(2) *$\pi \cong A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$. Moreover, letting $R = \dim(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_\pi \otimes E) &\cong H^{\bullet - R}(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}, \mathbb{C}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(\wedge^{\bullet - R}(\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{p}), \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

(3) *As a consequence of (2) we have*

$$H^R(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_\pi \otimes E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(\wedge^0(\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{p}), \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C} \tag{5.4.1}$$

We can now prove the following proposition.

5.5. **Proposition.** *The representation $\mu(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)$ of K has the following properties*

(1) *$\mu(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)$ is the only representation of K common to both $\wedge^R(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes E^*$ and $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$.*
(2) *$\mu(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)$ occurs with multiplicity one in $\wedge^R(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes E^*$.*
(3) *$\mu(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)$ occurs with multiplicity one in $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$.*

Proof. From [79], Proposition 5.4(c) we have

$$H^R(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_\pi \otimes E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^R(\mathfrak{p}), A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) \otimes E).$$

But combining this equation with (e) of the proposition above we conclude

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^R(\mathfrak{p}), A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) \otimes E) = 1.$$

This last equation implies all three statements of the proposition. □

Let $e(\mathfrak{q})$ be a generator of the line $\wedge^R(\mathfrak{u}\cap\mathfrak{p})$. Then $e(\mathfrak{q})$ is the highest weight vector of an irreducible representation $V(\mathfrak{q})$ of K contained in $\wedge^R\mathfrak{p}$ (and whose highest weight is thus necessarily $2\rho(\mathfrak{u}\cap\mathfrak{p})$). It follows that $V(\mathfrak{q})$ is the unique occurrence of $\mu(\mathfrak{q})$ in $\wedge^R(\mathfrak{p})$. We will refer to the special K-types $\mu(\mathfrak{q})$ as *Vogan-Zuckerman K-types*. Let $V(\mathfrak{q},\lambda)$ denote the Cartan product of $V(\mathfrak{q})$ and E^* (this means the irreducible submodule of the tensor product $V(\mathfrak{q})\otimes E^*$ with highest weight the sum $2\rho(\mathfrak{u}\cap\mathfrak{p})+\lambda$). By definition $V(\mathfrak{q},\lambda)$ occurs in $\wedge^R(\mathfrak{u}\cap\mathfrak{p})\otimes E^*$ and hence, by (2) of Proposition 5.5 above it is the unique copy of $\mu(\mathfrak{q},\lambda)$ in $\wedge^R(\mathfrak{u}\cap\mathfrak{p})\otimes E^*$. From the discussion immediately above and Propostion 5.5 we obtain

5.6. **Corollary.** *Any nonzero element* $\omega\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^R\mathfrak{p}\otimes E^*, A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda))$ *factors through the isotypic component* $V(\mathfrak{q},\lambda)$.

5.7. We will make geometric use of the isomorphism of Proposition 5.4(2). In doing so we will need the following lemmas which are essentially due to Venkataramana [78].

We let T be the torus of K whose Lie algebra is $i\mathfrak{t}_0$. The action of T on the space $\mathfrak{p}$ is completely reducible and we have a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{p}=(\mathfrak{u}\cap\mathfrak{p})\oplus(\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{p})\oplus(\mathfrak{u}^-\cap\mathfrak{p}),$$

where the element $X\in\mathfrak{t}$ acts by strictly positive (resp. negative) eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{u}\cap\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{u}^-\cap\mathfrak{p}$) and by zero eigenvalue on $\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{p}$. Now using the Killing form, the inclusion map $\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{p}\to\mathfrak{p}$ induces a *restriction* map $\mathfrak{p}\to\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{p}$ and we have the following:

5.8. **Lemma.** *Consider the restriction map* $B:[\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p}]^T\to[\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{p})]^T$ *and the cup-product map* $A:[\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p}]^T\to\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p}$ *given by* $y\mapsto y\wedge e(\mathfrak{q})$. *Then the kernels of* A *and* B *are the same.*

Proof. This is [78, Lemma 1.3]. Note that although it is only stated there for Hermitian symmetric spaces, the proof goes through without any modification. □

Now consider the restriction map

$$[\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p}]^K\to[\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{p})]^{K\cap L}. \tag{5.8.1}$$

An element $c\in[\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p}]^K$ defines – by cup-product – a linear map in

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p})$$

that we still denote by c. The following lemma is essentially the same as [78, Lemma 1.4].

5.9. **Lemma.** *Let* $c\in[\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p}]^K$. *Then we have:*

$$c(V(\mathfrak{q}))=0\Leftrightarrow c\in\mathrm{Ker}\left([\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p}]^K\to[\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{p})]^{K\cap L}\right).$$

Proof. As a K-module $V(\mathfrak{q})$ is generated by $e(\mathfrak{q})$. We therefore deduce from the K-invariance of c that:

$$c(V(\mathfrak{q}))=0\Leftrightarrow c\wedge e(\mathfrak{q})=0.$$

The second equation is equivalent to the fact that c belongs to the kernel of the map B of Lemma 5.8. But $B(c)=0$ if and only if c belongs to the kernel of the restriction map

$$[\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{p}]^K\to[\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{l}\cap\mathfrak{p})]^{K\cap L}.$$

This concludes the proof. □

5.10. In the notation of [13], we may choose a Killing-orthogonal basis ε_i of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ such that the positive roots are those roots $\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ as well as the roots ε_i $(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$ if m is odd. The finite dimensional irreducible representations of G are parametrized by a highest weight $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_\ell \varepsilon_\ell$ such that λ is dominant (i.e. $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{\ell-1} \geq |\lambda_\ell|$ and $\lambda_\ell \geq 0$ if m is odd) and integral (i.e. every $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$).

In the applications we will mainly be interested in the following examples.

Examples. 1. The group $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(n,1)$ and $\lambda = 0$. Then for each integer $q = 0, \dots, \ell-1$ the K-representation $\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}$ is just $\wedge^q \mathbb{C}^n$ with $K = \mathrm{SO}(n)$; it is irreducible and we denote it τ_q. In addition, if $n = 2\ell$, $\wedge^\ell \mathfrak{p}$ decomposes as a sum of two irreducible representations τ_ℓ^+ and τ_ℓ^-. From this we get that for each integer $q = 0, \dots, \ell-1$ there exists exactly one irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module (π_q, V_q) such that $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_q) \neq 0$. In addition, if $n = 2\ell$, there exists two irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module $(\pi_q^\pm, V_q^\pm)$ such that $H^\ell(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_\ell^\pm) \neq 0$. Moreover:

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_q) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } k \neq q, n-q, \\ \mathbb{C} \text{ if } k = q \text{ or } n-q \end{cases}$$

and, if $n = 2\ell$,

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_\ell^\pm) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } k \neq \ell, \\ \mathbb{C} \text{ if } k = \ell. \end{cases}$$

The Levi subgroup $L \subset G$ associated to (π_q, V_q) $(q = 0, \dots, \ell-1)$ is $L = C \times \mathrm{SO}_0(n-2q, 1)$ where $C \subset K$.

2. The group $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(n,1)$ and $\lambda = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the highest weight of its standard representation in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Then there exists a unique $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module (π, V_π) such that $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_\pi \otimes \mathbb{C}^m) \neq 0$. The Levi subgroup $L \subset G$ associated to (π, V_π) is $L = C \times \mathrm{SO}_0(n-2, 1)$ where $C \subset K$

3. The group $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(n,2)$ and $\lambda = 0$. Then $K = \mathrm{SO}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes (\mathbb{C}^2)^*$ where $\mathbb{C}^n$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}^2$) is the standard representation of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ (resp. $\mathrm{SO}(2)$). We denote by $\mathbb{C}^+$ and $\mathbb{C}^-$ the $\mathbb{C}$-span of the vectors $e_1 + ie_2$ and $e_1 - ie_2$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$. The two lines $\mathbb{C}^+$ and $\mathbb{C}^-$ are left stable by $\mathrm{SO}(2)$. This yields a decomposition $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-$ which corresponds to the decomposition given by the natural complex structure on $\mathfrak{p}_0$. For each non-negative integer q the K-representation $\wedge^q \mathfrak{p} = \wedge^q (\mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-)$ decomposes as the sum:

$$\wedge^q \mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{a+b=q} \wedge^a \mathfrak{p}^+ \otimes \wedge^b \mathfrak{p}^-.$$

The K-representations $\wedge^a \mathfrak{p}^+ \otimes \wedge^b \mathfrak{p}^-$ are not irreducible in general: there is at least a further splitting given by the Lefschetz decomposition:

$$\wedge^a \mathfrak{p}^+ \otimes \wedge^b \mathfrak{p}^- = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\min(a,b)} \tau_{a-k, b-k}.$$

One can check that for $2(a+b) < n$ each K-representation $\tau_{a,b}$ is irreducible. Moreover: only those with $a = b$ can occur as a K-type of a cohomological module. For each non-negative integer r such that $4r < n$ there thus exists exactly one cohomological module $A_{r,r}$ such that:

$$H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; A_{r,r}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \text{ if } q = 2r + 2k \ (0 \leq k \leq n-2r), \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover: $H^{2r}(\mathfrak{g}, K; A_{r,r}) = H^{r,r}(\mathfrak{g}, K; A_{r,r})$. The Levi subgroup $L \subset G$ associated to $A_{r,r}$ ($4r < n$) is $L = C \times \mathrm{SO}_0(n-2r, 2)$ where $C \subset K$. See e.g. [32, §1.5] for more details.

5.11. We now consider the general case $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(p,q) = \mathrm{SO}_0(V)$, where V is a real quadratic space of dimension m and signature (p,q) two non-negative integers with $p+q=m$. We denote by $(,)$ the non-degenerate quadratic form on V and let v_α, $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$, v_μ, $\mu = p+1, \dots, q$, be an orthogonal basis of V such that $(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = 1$ and $(v_\mu, v_\mu) = -1$. We denote by V_+ (resp. V_-) is the span of $\{v_\alpha \ : \ 1 \leq \alpha \leq p\}$ (resp. $\{v_\mu \ : \ p+1 \leq \mu \leq m\}$). As a representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q) = \mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_-)$, the space $\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to $V_+ \otimes (V_-)^*$.

First recall that, as a $\mathrm{GL}(V_+) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_-)$-module, we have (see [24, Equation (19), p. 121]):

$$\wedge^R (V_+ \otimes V_-^*) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash R} S_\mu(V_+) \otimes S_{\mu^*}(V_-)^*. \tag{5.11.1}$$

Here $S_\mu(\cdot)$ denotes the Schur functor (see [25]), we sum over all partition of R (equivalently Young diagram of size $|\mu| = R$) and μ^* is the conjugate partition (or transposed Young diagram).

We will see that as far as we are concerned with special cycles, we only have to consider the decomposition of the submodule $\wedge^R(V_+ \otimes V_-^*)^{\mathrm{SL}(V_-)}$. Then each Young diagram μ which occurs in (5.11.1) is of type $\mu = (q, \dots, q)$.

Following [25, p. 296], we may define the harmonic Schur functor $S_{[\mu]}(V_+)$ as the image of $S_\mu(V_+)$ by the $\mathrm{SO}(V_+)$-equivariant projection of $V_+^{\otimes R}$ onto the harmonic tensors. The representation $S_{[\mu]}(V_+)$ is irreducible with highest weight μ. From now on we suppose that μ has at most $\frac{p}{2}$ (positive) parts. Then Littlewood gave a formula for the decomposition of $S_\mu(V_+)$ as a representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V_+)$ by restriction (see [25, Eq. (25.37), p. 427]):

5.12. **Proposition.** *The multiplicity of the finite dimensional* $\mathrm{SO}(V_+)$*-representation* $S_{[\nu]}(V_+)$ *in* $S_\mu(V_+)$ *equals*

$$\sum_\xi \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_+)}(S_\mu(V_+), S_\nu(V_+) \otimes S_\xi(V_+)),$$

where the sum is over all nonnegative integer partitions ξ *with rows of* even *length.*

5.12.1. *The Euler form.* It is well known (see e.g. [31, Theorem 5.3.3]) that $[\mathrm{Sym}^q(V_+)]^{\mathrm{SO}(V_+)}$ is trivial if q is odd and 1-dimensional generated by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_q} \sigma \cdot \theta_q \tag{5.12.1}$$

where $\theta = \sum_{\alpha=1}^p v_\alpha \otimes v_\alpha$ and

$$\theta_q = \underbrace{\theta \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta}_{\ell} = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell} v_{\alpha_1} \otimes v_{\alpha_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\alpha_\ell} \otimes v_{\alpha_\ell},$$

if $q = 2\ell$ is even. Note that

$$\wedge^q (V_+ \otimes V_-^*)^{\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SL}(V_-)} \cong [\mathrm{Sym}^q(V_+)]^{\mathrm{SO}(V_+)} \otimes \wedge^q(V_-)^*.$$

It is therefore trivial if q is odd and 1-dimensional if q is even. Using the isomorphism of (5.12.1) we obtain a generator of $[\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}]^{\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SL}(V_-)}$ as the image of

$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_q} \sigma \cdot \theta_q$ under the above isomorphism. The associated invariant q-form on D is called the *Euler form* e_q. The Euler form e_q is zero if q is odd and for $q = 2\ell$ is expressible in terms of the curvature two-forms $\Omega_{\mu,\nu} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} (v_\alpha \otimes v_\mu^*) \wedge (v_\alpha \otimes v_\nu^*)$ by the formula

$$e_q = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_q} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \Omega_{p+\sigma(1),p+\sigma(2)} \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega_{p+\sigma(2\ell-1),p+\sigma(2\ell)} \in \wedge^q \mathfrak{p}. \tag{5.12.2}$$

As in the above example it more generally follows from [31, Theorem 5.3.3] that we have:

5.13. **Proposition.** *The subspace* $[\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}]^{\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SL}(V_-)}$ *is the subring of* $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}$ *generated by the Euler class* e_q.

Remark. Proposition 5.13 implies that

$$[\wedge^{nq} \mathfrak{p}]^{\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SL}(V_-)} = \mathbb{C} \cdot e_q^n.$$

It is consistent with Proposition 5.12 since one obviously have:

$$\sum_\xi \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_+)}(S_{n \times q}(V_+), S_\xi(V_+)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is even} \end{array} \right. .$$

5.14. Let $V_r \subset \wedge^{rq} \mathfrak{p}$ $(0 \leq r \leq p/2)$ denote the realization of the irreducible K-type μ_r isomorphic to

$$S_{[r \times q]}(V_+) \otimes (\wedge^q V_-)^r \subset \wedge^{rq}(V_+ \otimes V_-^*).$$

Remark. The K-type μ_r is the Vogan-Zuckerman K-type $\mu(\mathfrak{q}_r)$ where q_r is any θ-stable parabolic subalgebra with corresponding Levi subgroup $L = C \times \mathrm{SO}_0(p - 2r, q)$ with $C \subset K$. In particular we may as well the maximal one where $L = \mathrm{U}(r) \times \mathrm{SO}(p - 2r, q)$, see §7.19 where μ_r is analysed in details.

Wedging with the Euler class defines a linear map in

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SL}(V_-)}(\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}).$$

We still denote by e_q the linear map. Note that under the restriction map (5.8.1) to $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ the Euler class e_q restricts to the Euler class in $\wedge^q(\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{p})$. It then follows from Lemma 5.9 that if q is even $e_q^k(V_r)$ is a *non-trivial* K-type in $\wedge^{(r+k)q} \mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $k \leq p - 2r$. This leads to the following:

5.15. **Proposition.** *The irreducible* K*-types* μ_r *are the only Vogan-Zuckerman* K*-types that occur in the subring*

$$[\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}]^{\mathrm{SL}(V_-)} = \oplus_{n=0}^{p} S_{n \times q}(V_+) \otimes (\wedge^q V_-)^n.$$

Moreover:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_-)} \left(V_r, [\wedge^{nq} \mathfrak{p}]^{\mathrm{SL}(V_-)} \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_q^{n-r} & \textit{if } n = r, \ldots, p - r \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. Cohomological representations of $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$, or equivalently their lowest K-types $\mu(\mathfrak{q})$, are parametrized in [8, §2.2] by certain types of Young diagram ν so that

$$\mu(\mathfrak{q}) \cong S_{[\nu]}(V_+) \otimes S_{[\nu^*]}(V_-)^*.$$

Here we are only concerned with K-types which have trivial $\mathrm{SO}(V_-)$-representation. Therefore $\nu = r \times q$ for some integer r. This proves the first assertion of the proposition.

We now consider the decomposition of

$$[\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}]^{\mathrm{SL}(V_-)} = \oplus_{n=0}^{p} S_{n\times q}(V_+) \otimes (\wedge^q V_-)^n$$

into irreducibles. By (Poincaré) duality it is enough to consider $S_{n\times q}(V_+)\otimes(\wedge^q V_-)^n$ with $n \leq p/2$. It then follows from Proposition 5.12 that the multiplicity of $S_{[r\times q]}(V_+)$ in $S_{n\times q}(V_+)$ equals

$$\sum_{\xi} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_+)}(S_{n\times q}(V_+), S_{r\times q}(V_+) \otimes S_\xi(V_+)),$$

where the sum is over all nonnegative integer partitions ξ with rows of even length. Each term of the sum above is a Littlewood-Richardson coefficient. The Littlewood-Richardson rule states that $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_+)}(S_{n\times q}(V_+), S_{r\times q}(V_+) \otimes S_\xi(V_+))$ equals the number of Littlewood-Richardson tableaux of shape $(n\times q)/(r\times q) = (n-r)\times q$ and of weight ξ, see e.g. [24]. The point is that the shape $(n\times q)/(r\times q)$ is an $n-r$ by q rectangle and it is immediate that there is only one semistandard filling of an n by q rectangle that satifies the reverse lattice word condition, see [24], §5.2, page 63, (the first row must be filled with ones, the second with twos etc.). We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_+)}&(S_{n\times q}(V_+), S_{r\times q}(V_+) \otimes S_\xi(V_+)) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_+)}(S_{(n-r)\times q}(V_+), S_\xi(V_+)).\end{aligned}$$

The multiplicity of $S_{[r\times q]}(V_+)$ in $S_{n\times q}(V_+)$ therefore equals 0 is q is odd and 1 if q is even. Since in the last case

$$e_q^{n-r}(V_r) \cong S_{[r\times q]}(V_+) \otimes (\wedge^q V_-)^n \subset [\wedge^{nq} V_+ \otimes V_-^*]^{\mathrm{SL}(V_-)} = S_{n\times q}(V^+) \otimes (\wedge^q V_-)^n,$$

this concludes the proof. □

Remark. Proposition 5.15 implies the decomposition (1.12.1) of the Introduction.

We conclude the section by showing that the Vogan-Zuckerman types μ_r are the only K-types to give small degree cohomology.

5.16. **Proposition.** *Consider a cohomological module* $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$. *Suppose that* $R = \dim(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ *is strictly less than both* $p+q-3$ *and* $pq/4$. *Then: either* $L = C \times \mathrm{SO}_0(p-2n, q)$ *with* $C \subset K$ *and* $R = nq$ *or* $L = C \times \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q-2n)$ *with* $C \subset K$ *and* $R = np$.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that L contains as a direct factor the group $\mathrm{SO}_0(p-2a, q-2b)$ for some positive a and b. Then $R \geq ab + b(p-2a) + a(q-2b)$. And writing:

$$\begin{aligned}ab + b(p-2a) &+ a(q-2b) - (p+q-3) \\ &= (a-1)(b-1) + (b-1)(p-2a-1) + (a-1)(q-2b-1)\end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $R \geq p+q-3$ except perhaps if $p = 2a$ or $q = 2b$. But in that last case $R \geq pq/4$. □

6. Cohomological Arthur packets

For archimedean v, local Arthur packets $\prod(\Psi)$ should coincide with the packets constructed by Adams, Barbasch and Vogan [1]. Unfortunately this is still unproved. We may nevertheless build upon their results to obtain a conjectural description of all the real Arthur packets which contain a cohomological representation.

6.1. **Notations.** Let p and q two non-negative integers with $p+q=m$. We set $\ell=[m/2]$ and $N=2\ell$. In this section $G=\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$, K is a maximal compact subgroup of G and $K_0=\mathrm{SO}(p)\times\mathrm{SO}(q)$. We let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ the real Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{g}_0=\mathfrak{k}_0\oplus\mathfrak{p}_0$ be the Cartan decomposition associated to the choice of the maximal compact subgroup K. We denote by θ the corresponding Cartan involution. If $\mathfrak{l}_0$ is a real Lie algebra we denote by $\mathfrak{l}$ its complexification $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{l}_0\otimes\mathbb{C}$.

We finally let $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the Weil group of $\mathbb{R}$

6.2. **The Adams-Johnson packets.** Let $\mathfrak{l}\supset\mathfrak{g}$ be the Levi component of a θ-stable parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{l}$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$ and we let L be the corresponding connected subgroup of G. Let $T\subset G$ be a θ-stable Cartan subgroup of G such that $T\cap L$ is a Cartan subgroup of L. We fix $\lambda:\mathfrak{l}\to\mathbb{C}$ an admissible one-dimensional representation that is zero on the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{t}\cap\mathfrak{l}$ in $\mathfrak{t}$. We will identify λ with its highest weight in $\mathfrak{t}^*$ that is its restriction to $\mathfrak{t}$.

Adams and Johnson have studied the particular family – to be called *Adams-Johnson parameters* – of local Arthur parameters

$$\Psi : W_{\mathbb{R}}\times\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})\to{}^LG$$

such that

(1) Ψ factors through ${}^LL$, that is $\Psi : W_{\mathbb{R}}\times\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})\overset{\Psi_L}{\to}{}^LL\to{}^LG$ where the last map is the canonical extension [73, Proposition 1.3.5] of the injection $L^\vee\subset G^\vee$, and
(2) φ_{Ψ_L} is the L-parameter of a unitary character of L whose differential is λ.

The restriction of the parameter Ψ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ therefore maps $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to a principal unipotent element in $L^\vee\subset G^\vee$.

6.3. The packet $\prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi)$ constructed by Adams and Johnson takes the following form. Let $W(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{t})^\theta$ (resp. $W(\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{t})^\theta$) be those elements of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ which commute with θ. And let $W(G,T)$ be the real Weyl group of G. The representation in $\prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi)$ are parametrized by the double cosets

$$S=W(\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{t})^\theta\backslash W(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{t})^\theta/W(G,T).$$

For any $w\in S$, the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_w=w\mathfrak{l}w^{-1}$ is still defined over $\mathbb{R}$, and is the Levi subalgebra of the θ-stable parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}_w=w\mathfrak{q}w^{-1}$. Let $L_w\subset G$ be the corresponding connected group. The representations in $\prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi)$ are the irreducible unitary representations of G whose underlying $(\mathfrak{g},K_0)$-modules are the Vogan-Zuckerman modules $A_{\mathfrak{q}_w}(w\lambda)$.

We call *Adams-Johnson packets* the packets associated to Adams-Johnson parameters as above.

The following *folklore* conjecture does not seem to follow from any published work.

6.4. **Conjecture.**
1. *For any Adams-Johnson parameter Ψ one has $\prod(\Psi) = \prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi)$. In otherwords, the Arthur packet associated to Ψ coincides with the Adams-Johnson packet associated to Ψ.*
2. *The only Arthur packets that contain a cohomological representation of G are Adams-Johnson packets.*

Remark. Adams-Johnson packets are a special case of the packets define in [1]. In otherwords: calling *ABV packets* the packets defined in [1], any Adams-Johnson packet is an ABV packet defined by a parameter Ψ of a particular form. According to J. Adams (private communication) part 2 of Conjecture 6.4 with "ABV packets" in place of "Arthur packets" is certainly true. It would therefore be enough to prove the natural extension of part 1 of Conjecture 6.4 to any ABV packet. In chapter 26 of [1], Adams, Barbasch and Vogan prove that ABV packets are compatible with endoscopic lifting.[6] Part 1 of Conjecture 6.4 amounts to a similar statement for twisted endoscopy. This seems still open but is perhaps not out of reach.

6.5. We now give a more precise description of the possible Adams-Johnson parameters: The Levi subgroups L corresponding to a θ-stable parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ are of the forms

$$\mathrm{U}(p_1, q_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{U}(p_r, q_r) \times \mathrm{SO}(p_0, q_0) \tag{6.5.1}$$

with $p_0 + 2\sum_j p_j = p$ and $q_0 + 2\sum_j q_j = q$. We let $m_j = p_j + q_j$ $(j = 0, \ldots, r)$. Then $m_0 = p_0 + q_0$ has the same parity as m; we set $\ell_0 = [m_0/2]$ and $N_0 = 2\ell_0$. Here and after we assume that $p_0 q_0 \neq 0$. The parameter Ψ_L corresponding to such an L maps $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to a principal unipotent element in each factor of $L^\vee \subset G^\vee$. The parameter Ψ_L therefore contains a $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ factor of the maximal dimension in each factor of $L^\vee$. These factors consist of $\mathrm{GL}(m_j)$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$, and the group $G^\vee_{m_0} = \mathrm{SO}(N_0, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(N_0, \mathbb{C})$ (according to the parity of m). In any case the biggest possible $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ representation is R_{m_0-1}.[7] We conclude that the restriction of Ψ to $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ decomposes as:

$$(\mu_1 \otimes R_{m_1} \oplus \mu_1^{-1} \otimes R_{m_1}) \oplus \ldots \oplus (\mu_r \otimes R_{m_r} \oplus \mu_r^{-1} \otimes R_{m_r}) \oplus \Psi_0 \tag{6.5.2}$$

where the μ_j are unitary characters of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ and

$$\Psi_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \chi \otimes R_{m_0-1} & \text{if } m_0 \text{ is odd,} \\ \chi \otimes R_{m_0-1} \oplus \chi' \otimes R_1 & \text{if } m_0 \text{ is even.} \end{array} \right. \tag{6.5.3}$$

Here χ and χ' are quadratic characters of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$.

6.6. Now consider a cohomological representation π of G. Its underlying $(\mathfrak{g}, K_0)$-module is a Vogan-Zuckerman module $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$. It follows from their construction that we may choose $\mathfrak{q}$ so that the group L has no compact (non-abelian) simple factors; in that case $\mathfrak{q}$ and the unitary character of L whose differential is λ are uniquely determined up to conjugation by K_0. The group L is therefore as in (6.5.1) with either $p_j q_j \neq 0$ or $(p_j, q_j) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$. So that:

$$L^\vee \cong \mathrm{GL}(1)^s \times \mathrm{GL}(m_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(m_t) \times G^\vee_{N_0}$$

where each m_j, $j = 1, \ldots, t$, is > 1, $r = s + t$ and $m_{t+1} = \ldots = m_r = 1$. We let Ψ be the Adams-Johnson parameter associated to L as in the preceeding paragraph.

---

[6] In particular, it would be enough to check Conjecture 6.4 only for quasi-split groups.

[7] Note that R_a is symplectic if a is even and orthogonal if a is odd.

6.7. **Lemma.** (1) *The cohomological representation π belongs to $\prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi)$ and its L-parameter is φ_Ψ.*

(2) *If $\pi \in \prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi')$ for some Adams-Johnson parameter Ψ'. Then Ψ' contains*

$$(\mu_1 \otimes R_{m_1} \oplus \mu_1^{-1} \otimes R_{m_1}) \oplus \ldots \oplus (\mu_t \otimes R_{m_t} \oplus \mu_t^{-1} \otimes R_{m_t}) \oplus \Psi_0$$

as a direct factor.

Proof. 1. The representation π belongs to $\prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi)$ by definition of the Adams-Johnson packets. The Langlands parametrization of Vogan-Zuckerman modules is given by [79, Theorem 6.16]. In the case of unitary groups this is detailed in [10] where the parametrization is moreover related to Arthur parameters. Everything works similarly for orthogonal groups and we get that the L-parameter of π is φ_Ψ.

2. If $\pi \in \prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi')$ for some Adams-Johnson parameter Ψ' we let L' be the Levi subgroup attached to the parameter Ψ'. The underlying $(\mathfrak{g}, K_0)$-module of π is isomorphic to some Vogan-Zuckerman module associated to a θ-stable parabolic algebra whose associated Levi subgroup is L'_w for some $w \in S$. It first follows from the Vogan-Zuckerman construction that L and L'_w can only differ by compact factors. But it follows from [2, Lemma 2.5] that all the L'_w $(w \in S)$ are inner forms of each other so that the dual group of L'_w may be identified to $L'^{\vee}$ which therefore contains $\mathrm{GL}(m_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(m_t) \times G^{\vee}_{N_0}$ as direct factor. And Lemma 6.7 follows from §6.5. □

In particular Lemma 6.7 implies that if π is a cohomological representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ associated to a Levi subgroup $L = \mathrm{SO}(p-2r,q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$ with $p > 2r$ and $m-1 > 3r$. Then if π is contained in a (local) Adams-Johnson packet $\prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi)$, the (local) parameter Ψ contains a factor $\eta \otimes R_a$ where η is a quadratic character and $3a > m-1$. Theorem 4.2 therefore implies the following:

6.8. **Proposition.** *Assume Conjecture 6.4. Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ and let v be an infinite place of F such that $\mathrm{SO}(V)(F_v) \cong \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$. Assume that π_v is a cohomological representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ associated to a Levi subgroup $L = \mathrm{SO}(p-2r,q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$ with $p > 2r$ and $m-1 > 3r$. Then: there exists an automorphic character χ such that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group associated to a symplectic space of dimension $2r$.*

Unfortunately Conjecture 6.4 seems to be open. Instead we prove the weaker (but unconditional) following result.

6.9. **Proposition.** *Let π be a cohomological representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ associated to a Levi subgroup $L = \mathrm{SO}(p-2r,q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$. Then if π is contained in a (local) Arthur packet $\prod(\Psi)$, the (local) parameter Ψ contains a $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ factor R_a with $a \geq m-2r-1$.*

Since the reader may want to believe Conjecture 6.4 we postpone the proof of Proposition 6.9 to Appendix B (see Proposition 17.4 and the remark following it). It relies on the theory of exponents. Note that we have only defined local Arthur packets when G is quasi-split. In the general case we nevertheless explain – in Appendix A – how to associate to a global representation $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ a global Arthur parameter Ψ such that outside a finite set of places v of F the L-parameter of π_v is φ_{Ψ_v}. Proposition 6.9 should then be understood as:

*If v_0 is an infinite place of F such that $\mathrm{SO}(V)(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ and π_{v_0} is a cohomological representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ associated to a Levi subgroup $L = \mathrm{SO}(p-$

$2r, q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$. Then the associated Arthur parameter Ψ contains a $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ factor R_a with $a \geq m - 2r - 1$.

In particular we get the following global corollary (notations are as in §4.1, see in particular Remark 4.3):

6.10. **Corollary.** *Consider a global representation $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ and let v_0 be an infinite place of F such that $\mathrm{SO}(V)(F_{v_0}) \cong \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$. Assume that π_{v_0} is a cohomological representation of $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ associated to a Levi subgroup $L = \mathrm{SO}(p - 2r, q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$ with $m - 2r - 1 > \ell = [m/2]$. Then π is highly non-tempered (at v_0).*

As we will explain later in Remark 7.40, a cohomological representation as in Corollary 6.10 does not occur in Howe's theta correspondence from a symplectic group smaller than Sp_{2r}. In conjonction with Theorem 4.2, Corollary 6.10 therefore implies that *there exists an automorphic character χ such that $\pi \otimes \chi$ is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group associated to a symplectic space of dimension $2r$.*

6.11. *Remark.* We believe that the hypothesis $m - 1 > 3r$ in Proposition 6.8 is optimal. This is indeed the case if $p = 3$, $q = 1$ and $r = 1$, see Proposition 15.14.

We now provide support for the above remark.

6.12. In the remaining part of this section we assume that Conjecture 6.4 – which relates the Adams-Johnson's pakets and the Arthur's packet containing at least one cohomological representations – holds. In case m is odd we moreover assume that the representations of the metaplectic groups are classified with Arthur's like parameters (see below). Assuming that we will prove:

If $3r \geq m - 1$ there exists cohomological cuspidal representations which are not in the image of the θ correspondence from $\mathrm{Mp}(2r)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(2r)$ even up to a twist by an automorphic character.

6.13. We first assume that $m = N + 1$ is odd.

A particular case of Arthur's work is the classification of square integrable representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, F)$ using $\mathrm{GL}(3, F)$; this can be also covered by the known Gelbart-Jacquet correspondance between $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(3)$. We therefore take it for granted.

We denote by F_2 the localization of the field F at the places of residual characteristic 2.

Let τ_2 be a cuspidal irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(3, F_2)$ autodual which comes from a representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, F_2)$ or, in other terms, whose L-parameter factorizes through $\mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{C})$. We denote by $\tilde{\tau}_2$ the corresponding representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, F_2)$. We fix $\tilde{\tau}$ a cuspidal irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, F)$ whose F_2 component is $\tilde{\tau}_2$ and which is a discrete series at the archimedean places. We go back to $\mathrm{GL}(3, F)$ denoting by τ the automorphic representation corresponding to $\tilde{\tau}$; because of the condition on the F_2-component τ is necessarily cuspidal.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, 3r - m + 1\}$, we also fix a cuspidal irreducible representation ρ_i of $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$. We assume that each ρ_i is of symplectic type, i.e. its local parameter is symplectic. In other words each ρ_i is coming – by the Langlands-Arthur functoriality – from $\mathrm{SO}(3, F)$, equivalently $L(s, \rho_i, \mathrm{Sym}^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$. We moreover assume that at each archimedean place $v|\infty$, each representation $\rho_{i,v}$ belongs to the discrete series. We consider the Arthur parameter

$$\Psi = \left(\boxplus_{i=1}^{3r-m+1} \rho_i \boxtimes R_1\right) \boxplus \tau \boxtimes R_{m-1-2r}. \tag{6.13.1}$$

This is the Arthur parameter of a packet of representations of $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$.

6.14. We now look more precisely at Ψ at an archimedean place $v|\infty$. As a morphism of $W_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(N, \mathbb{C})$ it is the sum:

$$\Psi_v = \left(\boxplus_{i=1}^{3r-m+1} \phi_{\rho_{i,v}} \boxtimes R_1\right) \boxplus \phi_{\tilde{\tau}_v} \boxtimes R_{m-2r-1} \boxplus 1 \boxtimes R_{m-2r-1},$$

where for $i = 1, \dots, 3r-m+1$, $\phi_{\rho_{i,v}}$ is the Langlands parameter of the discrete series $\rho_{i,v}$ and $\phi_{\tilde{\tau}_v}$ is the Langlands parameter of $\tilde{\tau}_v$. All such local parameters may be obtained by the above construction. By a suitable choice of data we can therefore assume that Ψ_v coincides with an Adams-Johnson parameter. In particular the representations attached to it are all cohomological for some fixed system of coefficients. Let λ_v be the corresponding highest weight.

We may moreover assume the Adams-Johnson parameter Ψ_v is associated to the Levi subgroup $L = \mathrm{SO}(m)$ if $v \neq v_0$ and $L = \mathrm{U}(1)^{3r-m+1} \times \mathrm{U}(m-2r-1) \times \mathrm{SO}(p-2r, q)$ if $v = v_0$.[8] We also ask that $\lambda_v = 0$ if $v \neq v_0$, and fix $\lambda_v = \lambda$ if $v = v_0$. It follows from (the proof of) Lemma 6.7(2) that the trivial representation π_v of $G(F_v)$ is contained in $\prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi_v)$ if $v \neq v_0$ and that the cohomological representation π_{v_0} of $G(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ whose underlying $(\mathfrak{g}, K_0)$-module is the Vogan-Zuckerman module $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$, with $\mathfrak{q}$ a θ-stable parabolic subalgebra with real Levi component isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(1)^r \times \mathrm{SO}(p-2r, q)$, is contained in $\prod_{\mathrm{AJ}}(\Psi_{v_0})$. We fix these local components π_v.

6.15. The multiplicity formula to construct a global square integrable representation of $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ from the local components is still the subject of work in progress of Arthur; but we can anticipate that we have enough freedom at the finite places to construct a square integrable representation π in the global Arthur's packet and with local component at the archimedean places, the component we have fixed.

We want to show that the representation π is certainly not obtained via theta correspondence from a cuspidal representation of a metaplectic group $\mathrm{Mp}(2n)$ with $2n \leq 2r$. To do that we continue to anticipate some results: Here we anticipate that *the square integrable representations of the metaplectic group can also be classified as those of the symplectic group but using* $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ *as dual group*; after work by Adams, Adams-Barbash, Renard, Howard this is work in progress by Wen Wei Li.

To prove that π is not a theta lift we can now argue by contradiction: Let σ be a cuspidal irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(2n)$ (with $2n \leq 2r < p$) such that π is a theta lift of σ. Write $\boxplus_{i=1}^{v} \sigma_i \boxtimes R_{n_i}$ the Arthur like parameter attached to σ. To simplify matter assume that V is an orthogonal form of discriminant 1 at each place (otherwise we would have to twist by the quadratic character which corresponds by class field theory to this discriminant). Consider the parameter:

$$\oplus_{i \in [1,v]} \, \sigma_i \boxtimes R_{n_i} \oplus 1 \boxtimes R_{m-1-2n}. \tag{6.15.1}$$

Here we use the fact that $m-1-2n \geq m-1-2r \geq p-2r \geq 1$.

The local theta correspondence is known at each place where σ and π are both unramified, see [60]. This implies that at every unramified place π_v is necessarily the unramified representation in the local Arthur packet associated to the parameter (6.15.1). But by definition, π_v is also in the local packet associate to (6.13.1); this implies that (6.13.1) and (6.15.1) define automorphic (isobaric) representations of $\mathrm{GL}(2n)$ which are isomorphic almost everywhere. These automorphic representation are therefore isomorphic and (6.13.1) must coincide with (6.15.1). This is in contradiction with the fact that there is no factor $\eta \boxtimes R_{m-1-2n}$ – for some automorphic quadratic character η of $\mathrm{GL}(1)$ – in (6.13.1).

---

[8] Recall that $G(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ and that $G(F_v) = \mathrm{SO}(m)$ is $v \neq v_0$.

6.16. We now assume that $m = N$ is even. We moreover assume that $p - 2r > 1$.

We then do the same: First construct τ as above. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, 3r-m+1\}$ we fix a cuspidal irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$ of orthogonal type this means that $L(s, \rho_i, \wedge^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$; we can impose any discrete series at the archimedean places we want. The Arthur parameter we look at is now:

$$\boxplus_{i=1}^{3r-m+1} \rho_i \boxtimes R_1 \boxplus \tau \boxtimes R_{m-1-2r} \boxplus \eta \boxtimes R_1, \tag{6.16.1}$$

where η is a suitable automorphic quadratic character of $\mathrm{GL}(1)$ is such a way that this parameter is relevant for the quasisplit form of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, see [3].

Now we argue as above to construct a global representation π of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ in this packet which is as we want at the archimedean places. We construct (6.15.1) as above and here we have not to anticipate more results than those announced by Arthur. But to conclude we have to make sure that $m - 1 - 2n > 1$ because there is a factor $\eta \boxtimes R_1$ in (6.16.1). Nevertheless: as we have hypothesised that

$$m - 1 - 2n \geq m - 1 - 2r \geq p - 2r > 1,$$

we obtain a contradiction which proves that π is not a θ-lift of a cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ with $n \leq r$.

7. The classes of Kudla-Millson and Funke-Millson

In this section we will introduce the $(\mathfrak{so}(p,q), K)$-cohomology classes (with $K = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$) of Kudla-Millson and Funke-Millson, find explicit values for these classes on the highest weight vectors of the Vogan-Zuckerman special K-types $V(n, \lambda)$, see Proposition 7.24 and Proposition 7.26, and from those values and a result of Howe deduce the key result that the translates of the above classes under the universal enveloping algebra of the symplectic group span $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda), \mathcal{P}(V^n))$ where $\mathcal{P}(V^n)$ is the polynomial Fock space, see Theorem 7.32. Our computations will give an new derivation of some of the formulas in [40].

7.1. **Notations.** Let V be a real quadratic space of dimension m and signature (p,q) two non-negative integers with $p + q = m$. Set $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(V)$ and let K be a maximal compact subgroup. We write $\mathcal{S}(V^n)$ for the space of (complex-valued) Schwartz functions on V^n. Let $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ if m is odd or simply $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ if m is even. We recall that $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ acts in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(V^n)$ via the oscillator representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ associated to the additive character $\psi : x \mapsto \exp(2i\pi x)$ of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $K' \subset \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ be the inverse image of the maximal compact subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \ : \ a + ib \in \mathrm{U}(n) \right\} \tag{7.1.1}$$

of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$. When m is odd we let $(\det)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ denote a character of K' whose square descends to the determinant character of $\mathrm{U}(n)$. We let $\mathbb{C}_{\frac{m}{2}}$ the corresponding one-dimensional representation of K'.

As in the preceeding section we let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the complexified Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ its Cartan decomposition, where $\mathrm{Lie}(K) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{k}$. We let $\mathfrak{g}'$ be the complexified Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

7.2. **Three consequences of our assumption relating n and m.** We will begin by noting three consequences of our basic assumption

$$2n < [\frac{m-1}{2}]$$

that will be important to us in what follows.

7.3. **Lemma.** *Let $m_0 = [\frac{m}{2}] = \mathrm{rank}(G)$ and $p_0 = [\frac{p}{2}] = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{SO}(V_+))$. Then we have*

1. *If $m = p + q$ is even then $n < \mathrm{rank}(G) - 1$.*
2. *If $m = p + q$ is odd then $n < \mathrm{rank}(G)$.*
3. *$n \leq \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{SO}(V_+))$.*

Proof. We have for $m = 2m_0$

$$n < \frac{1}{2}[\frac{2m_0 - 1}{2}] = \frac{m_0 - 1}{2} < m_0 - 1$$

and also for for $m = 2m_0 + 1$

$$n < \frac{1}{2}[\frac{2m_0 + 1 - 1}{2}] = \frac{m_0}{2} < m_0.$$

Finally we have

$$n < \frac{1}{2}\frac{p + q - 1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\frac{2p - 1}{2} = \frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{4} < \frac{p}{2}.$$

□

As we will explain in Subsection 7.30, item (3) will imply we are in the first family for the two families of formulas in [40] concerning the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_+)$ on the (pluri)harmonic polynomials on V_+^n. In what follows we will refer to the formulas in this first family as belonging to "Case (1)" of [40].

7.4. **The Fock model.** In what follows we will use the Fock model of the oscillator representation. We let W be a real vector space of dimension $2n$ equipped with a non-degenerate skew symmetric form $\langle , \rangle$ such that $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Sp}(W)$. Fix a positive definite complex structure J on W. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be a symplectic basis for W such that

$$Jx_i = y_i \quad \text{and} \quad Jy_i = -x_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We may decompose $W \otimes \mathbb{C}$ according to

$$W \otimes \mathbb{C} = W' + W''$$

where W' (resp. W'') is the $+i$ (resp. $-i$)-eigenspace of J. We define complex bases $\{\tilde{w}_1' \dots, \tilde{w}_n'\}$ and $\{\tilde{w}_1'', \dots, \tilde{w}_n''\}$ for W' and W'' respectively by

$$\tilde{w}_j' = x_j - iy_j \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{w}_j'' = x_j + iy_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

The following will be important in the rest of the paper. We leave the proof to the reader.

7.5. **Lemma.** *The subgroup* $\mathrm{U}(n)$ *of* $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ *embedded according to equation* (7.1.1) *acts on* W'' *by the standard representation and on* W' *by the dual of the standard representation.*

We finally denote by $(,)$ the non-degenerate quadratic form on V. By a slight abuse of notations we will also denote by $\langle,\rangle$ the non-degenerate skew-symmetric form $(,)\otimes\langle,\rangle$ on $V\otimes W$.

Now let v_α, $\alpha=1,\dots,p$, v_μ, $\mu=p+1,\dots,q$, be an orthogonal basis of V such that $(v_\alpha,v_\alpha)=1$ and $(v_\mu,v_\mu)=-1$. We denote by V_+ (resp. V_-) the span of $\{v_\alpha\ :\ 1\le\alpha\le p\}$ (resp. $\{v_\mu\ :\ p+1\le\mu\le m\}$).

The $(\mathfrak{sp}_{2mn},\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{mn)})$-module associated to the oscillator representation is made explicit in the Fock realization, see [36]. Using it, one sees that the space of $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{mn}$-finite vectors is the symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(V_+\otimes W''+V_-\otimes W')$. We introduce linear functionals

$$\{z_{\alpha,j},z_{\mu,j}\ :\ 1\le\alpha\le p,\quad p+1\le\mu\le m,\quad 1\le j\le n\}$$

on $V_+\otimes W'+V_-\otimes W''$ by the formulas

$$z_{\alpha,j}(v\otimes w)=\langle v\otimes w,v_\alpha\otimes\tilde{w}''_j\rangle,\quad 1\le\alpha\le p,\quad 1\le j\le n,$$

$$z_{\mu,j}(v\otimes w)=\langle v\otimes w,v_\mu\otimes\tilde{w}'_j\rangle,\quad p+1\le\mu\le m,\quad 1\le j\le n.$$

Hence we have

$$x_j=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}z_{\alpha,j}v_\alpha+\sum_{\mu=p+1}^{p+q}z_{\mu,j}v_\mu.$$

We use these coordinates to identify the space $\mathrm{Sym}(V_+\otimes W''+V_-\otimes W')$ (which we identify with the space of polynomial functions on $V_+\otimes W'+V_-\otimes W''$ using the symplectic form on $V\otimes W$) with the space $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^{mn})$ of polynomials in mn variables $z_{1,j},\dots,z_{m,j}$ $(j=1,\dots,n)$. We will use $\mathcal{P}_+$ to denote the polynomials in the "positive" variables $z_{\alpha,j},1\le\alpha\le p,1\le j\le n$. We will use the symbol $\mathcal{P}^{(\ell)}$ to denote the subspace of polynomials of degree ℓ and similarly for $\mathcal{P}_+^{(\ell)}$. It will be important to record the structure of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^{mn})$ as a $K'\times K$-module. By Lemma 7.5 we know that the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ on W'' is equivalent to the standard one. We will accordingly identify $\mathbb{C}^n$ with W'' (and $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ with W') as modules for $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$. We can then replace W'' with $\mathbb{C}^n$ and W' by $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ (since we are only concerned here with the $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$-module structure of W' and W''). We will use $e_1,\cdots,e_n$ to denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$, accordingly under the above identification e_j corresponds to $\tilde{w}''_j$ for $1\le j\le n$.

7.6. **Lemma.** *We have an isomorphism of* $K'\times K$*-modules*

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^{pn}\times(\mathbb{C}^*)^{qn})\cong\mathrm{Sym}(V_+\otimes W''+V_-\otimes W')=\mathrm{Sym}(V_+\otimes W'')\otimes\mathrm{Sym}(V_-\otimes W').$$

Thus the variables $z_{\alpha,j},1\le\alpha\le p,1\le j\le n$, transform (in j) according to the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ and the variables $z_{\mu,j},p+1\le\alpha\le p+q,1\le j\le n$, transform in j according to the dual of the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$. We will henceforth use the symbol $\mathcal{P}$ to denote the above algebra of polynomials *equipped with the graded* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$*-module structure just described.*

Numbering the nm variables as $z_1, \dots, z_{mn}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\mathfrak{sp}_{\mathbb{C}}) &= \mathfrak{sp}^{(1,1)} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}^{(2,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}^{(0,2)}, \\ \mathfrak{sp}^{(1,1)} &= \operatorname{span}\left\{ \frac{1}{2}\left(z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} z_i \right) \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{sp}^{(2,0)} &= \operatorname{span}\{z_i z_j\}, \\ \mathfrak{sp}^{(0,2)} &= \operatorname{span}\left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathfrak{sp}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2mn}$. The indices i and j vary from 1 to nm. Note that while $\mathfrak{sp}_{2mn}$ is a real Lie algebra, the $\mathfrak{sp}^{(a,b)}$ are complex subalgebras of $\omega(\mathfrak{sp}_{\mathbb{C}})$. In the Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{sp}_{2mn} = \mathfrak{u}_{mn} \oplus \mathfrak{q} \tag{7.6.1}$$

we have

$$\omega(\mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathfrak{sp}^{(1,1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathfrak{sp}^{(2,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}^{(0,2)}.$$

7.6.1. *The positive definite Fock model* $\mathcal{P}_+$. In what follows we will be mostly concerned with the subspace $\mathcal{P}_+$ of $\mathcal{P}$, that is, polynomials in the "positive" variables $z_{\alpha,j}$ alone. It will be useful (both for our computations and to compare our formulas with those of [40]) to regard these variables as coordinate functions on the space $\mathrm{M}_{p,n}(\mathbb{C})$ of p by n matrices. The following lemma justifies this. In what follows if U is a complex vector space then $\mathrm{Pol}(U)$ denotes the polynomial functions on U.

7.7. **Lemma.** *There is an isomorphism of* $K' \times K$*-modules*

$$\mathcal{P}_+ = \mathrm{Sym}(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \cong \mathrm{Pol}(\mathrm{M}_{p,n}(\mathbb{C})).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Pol}(\mathrm{M}_{p,n}(\mathbb{C})) &\cong \mathrm{Pol}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, V_+)) \cong \mathrm{Pol}(V_+ \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^*) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Sym}((V_+ \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^*)^*) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use (as we will do repeatedly) that $V_+ \cong V_+^*$ as a K-module. ☐

We will sometimes regard the $z_{\alpha,j}$ as matrix coordinates in what follows.

7.8. **Some conventions.** By abuse of notations we will use, in the following, the same symbols for corresponding objects and operators in both the Schwartz and Fock models.

We use the convention that indices $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ run from 1 to p and indices $\mu, \nu, \dots$ run from $p+1$ to m. In this numbering $K = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$ acts so that for each j, the group $\mathrm{SO}(p)$ rotates the variables $z_{\alpha,j}$ and $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ rotates the variables $z_{\mu,j}$

Note that $\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{C}^p \otimes (\mathbb{C}^q)^* \cong \mathrm{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$, with our convention we let $\omega_{\alpha,\mu}$ be the linear form which maps an element of $\mathfrak{p}$ to its (α,μ)-coordinate.

Finally, for multi-indices $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q)$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell)$ we will write

$$\omega_{\underline{\alpha}} = \omega_{\alpha_1,p+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \omega_{\alpha_q,p+q},$$

$$z_{\underline{\alpha},j} = z_{\alpha_1,j} \ldots z_{\alpha_q,j},$$

$$v_{\underline{\beta}} = v_{\beta_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\beta_\ell}.$$

7.9. We are interested in the reductive dual pair $\mathrm{O}(V)\times\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ inside $\mathrm{Mp}_{2mn}(\mathbb{R})$. We suppose that $\mathrm{O}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ are embedded in $\mathrm{Mp}_{2mn}(\mathbb{R})$ in such a way that the Cartan decomposition (7.6.1) of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2mn}$ also induces Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module and a $(\mathfrak{g}', K')$-module. We will make use of the structure of $\mathcal{P}$ as a $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}', K\cdot K')$-module. We first recall the definition of harmonics (see [36]).

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{o}_p \times \mathfrak{o}_q$ of K is a member of a reductive dual pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}')$ where $\mathfrak{l}' = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}\times\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. We can decompose

$$\mathfrak{l}' = \mathfrak{l}'^{(2,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{l}'^{(1,1)} \oplus \mathfrak{l}'^{(0,2)}, \qquad \text{where } \mathfrak{l}'^{(a,b)} = \mathfrak{l}' \cap \mathfrak{sp}^{(a,b)}.$$

Then the harmonics are defined by

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(K) = \left\{ P \in \mathcal{P} \;:\; l(P) = 0 \text{ for all } l \in \mathfrak{l}'^{(0,2)} \right\}.$$

Remark. The space $\mathcal{H}$ is smaller that the usual space of harmonics $\mathcal{H}(G)$ in $\mathcal{P}$ associated to the "indefinite Laplacians", the latter being associated to the dual pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$ rather than $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}')$. The space $\mathcal{H}$ is easily described by separating variables: Let $\mathcal{P}_+$, resp. $\mathcal{P}_-$, be the space of all polynomial functions on $V_+ \otimes W' \cong V_+^n$, resp. $V_- \otimes W' \cong V_-^n$. It is naturally realized as a subspace of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^{mn})$, namely the space of polynomials in the variables $z_{\alpha,j}$, resp. $z_{\mu,j}$. We obviously have $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_+ \otimes \mathcal{P}_+$. Now denote by $\mathcal{H}_+$, resp. $\mathcal{H}_-$, the harmonic polynomials in V_+^n, resp. V_-^n, (the "pluriharmonics" in the terminology of Kashiwara-Vergne [40]). We then have:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \otimes \mathcal{H}_-.$$

7.10. **Some special harmonic polynomials.** In this subsection we will introduce subspaces $\mathcal{H}'(V_+^n)$ and $\mathcal{H}''(V_+^n)$ of $\mathcal{H}_+$ which are closed under polynomial multiplication but not closed under the action of $K = \mathrm{O}(V_+)$.

We begin by introducing coordinates (that we will call "Witt coordinates") that will play a key role in what follows. The resulting coordinates $w'_{\alpha,j}$, $w''_{\alpha,j}$, t_j, $1 \le \alpha \le [\frac{p}{2}]$, $1 \le j \le n$, coincide (up to an exchange of order of the indices α, j) with the coordinates $x_{j\alpha}$, $y_{j\alpha}$, t_j, $1 \le \alpha \le [\frac{p}{2}]$, $1 \le j \le n$, of Kashiwara and Vergne [40] (Kashiwara and Vergne use i instead of j and ν instead of α). First we define an ordered *Witt basis* $\mathcal{B}$ for V_+. Let $p_0 = [p/2]$. We define an involution $\alpha \to \alpha'$ of the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2p_0\}$ by

$$\alpha' = 2p_0 - \alpha + 1.$$

If p is even define u'_α, u''_α $(1 \le \alpha \le p_0)$ by

$$u'_\alpha = \frac{v_\alpha - i v_{\alpha'}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

and

$$u''_\alpha = \frac{v_\alpha + i v_{\alpha'}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then $(u'_1, \cdots, u'_{p_0}, u''_1, \cdots, u''_{p_0})$ is the required ordered Witt basis. In case p is odd we define u'_α and u''_α $(1 \le \alpha \le p_0)$ as above then add v_p as the last basis vector. In both cases we will use $\mathcal{B}$ to denote the above ordered basis.

We note that u'_α $(1 \le \alpha \le p_0)$, and u''_α $(1 \le \alpha \le p_0)$, are isotropic vectors which satisfy

$$(u'_\alpha, u'_\beta) = 0, \quad (u''_\alpha, u''_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } (u'_\alpha, u''_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha, \beta.$$

Of course in the odd case v_p is orthogonal to all the u'_α's and u''_β's.

Define coordinates

$$(w'_1, \cdots, w'_{p_0}, w''_{p_0}, \cdots, w''_1) \quad \text{for } \dim(V_+) \text{ even},$$

$$\text{resp. } (w'_1, \cdots, w'_{p_0}, w''_{p_0}, \cdots, w''_1, t) \quad \text{for } \dim(V_+) \text{ odd},$$

by

$$x = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_0} w'_\alpha(x)u'_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_0} w''_\alpha(x)u''_\alpha \quad \text{in case } p \text{ is even}$$

and

$$x = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_0} w'_\alpha(x)u'_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_0} w''_\alpha(x)u''_\alpha + tv_p \quad \text{in case } p \text{ is odd.}$$

The above coordinates on V_+ induce coordinates $w'_{\alpha,k}$, $w''_{\alpha,k}$, t_k on V_+^n for $1 \le \alpha \le p$, $1 \le k \le n$, and for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in V_+^n$ we have

$$x_k = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_0} w'_{\alpha,k}(\mathbf{x})u'_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_0} w''_{\alpha,k}(\mathbf{x})u''_\alpha \quad \text{in case } p \text{ is even}$$

and

$$x_k = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_0} w'_{\alpha,k}(\mathbf{x})u'_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p_0} w''_{\alpha,k}(\mathbf{x})u''_\alpha + t_k v_p \quad \text{in case } p \text{ is odd.}$$

We note the formulas

(1) $z_{\alpha,j}(\mathbf{x}) = (x_j, v_\alpha)$, $1 \le \alpha \le p, 1 \le j \le n$
(2) $w'_{\alpha,j}(\mathbf{x}) = (x_j, u''_\alpha)$, $1 \le \alpha \le p_0, 1 \le j \le n$
(3) $w''_{\alpha,j}(\mathbf{x}) = (x_j, u'_\alpha)$, $1 \le \alpha \le p_0, 1 \le j \le n$.

We find as a consequence that

$$w'_{\alpha,j} = z_{\alpha,j} + iz_{\alpha',j} \text{ and } w''_{\alpha,j} = z_{\alpha,j} - iz_{\alpha',j}, \quad 1 \le \alpha \le p_0,\ 1 \le j \le n. \tag{7.10.1}$$

It will be convenient to define $w'_{\alpha,j}$, resp. $w''_{\alpha,j}$, for α satisfying $p_0 + 1 \le \alpha \le 2p_0$ by using equation (7.10.1). Hence we have

$$w'_{\alpha',j} = iw''_{\alpha,j}, 1 \le \alpha \le 2p_0.$$

For both even and odd p we denote the algebra of polynomials in $w'_{\alpha,j}$ by $\mathcal{H}'(V_+^n)$ and the algebra of polynomials in $w''_{\alpha,j}$ by $\mathcal{H}''(V_+^n)$. The following lemma is critical in what follows.

7.11. **Lemma.** *The $\mathbb{C}$-algebras $\mathcal{H}'(V_+^n)$ and $\mathcal{H}''(V_+^n)$ of $\mathcal{P}_+$ lie in the vector space $\mathcal{H}_+$.*

Proof. The Laplacians $\Delta_{ij}, 1 \le i, j \le n$, whose kernels define $\mathcal{H}_+$ are given by sums of *mixed* partials

$$\Delta_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w'_{\alpha,i} \partial w''_{\alpha,j}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w'_{\alpha,j} \partial w''_{\alpha,i}} \text{ for } p \text{ even}$$

and

$$\Delta_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w'_{\alpha,i} \partial w''_{\alpha,j}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w'_{\alpha,j} \partial w''_{\alpha,i}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} \text{ for } p \text{ odd.}$$

See [40], pages 22 and 26. □

Remark. The only harmonic polynomials we will encounter in this Chapter will belong to the subring $\mathcal{H}''(V_+^n)$.

7.12. **The matrix $W''(\mathbf{x})$ and the harmonic polynomials $\Delta_k(\mathbf{x})$.** We will use $\mathbf{x}$ to denote an n-tuple of vectors, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in V^n$. Let $W''(\mathbf{x})$ be the p_0 by n matrix with $(\alpha, j)^{\text{th}}$ entry $w''_{\alpha,j}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq p_0, 1 \leq j \leq n$, that is the coordinates of x_j relative to $u''_1, \cdots, u''_{p_0}$. Following the notation of [40] we let $\Delta_k(\mathbf{x})$ be the leading principal k by k minor of the matrix $W''(\mathbf{x})$ (by this we mean the determinant of the upper left k by k block). The polynomials $\Delta_k(\mathbf{x}), 1 \leq k \leq n$ belong to $\mathcal{H}''(V_+)$ and hence they belong to $\mathcal{H}$. For $1 \leq k \leq p_0$ we let $W''_k(\mathbf{x})$ be the submatrix obtained by taking the first k rows of $W''(\mathbf{x})$. We then have the following equation

$$W''_k(\mathbf{x}g) = W''_k(\mathbf{x})g, g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), 1 \leq k \leq p_0. \tag{7.12.1}$$

7.13. **The classes of of Kudla-Millson and Funke-Millson.** Let λ be a dominant weight for G expressed as in §5.10. Assume that λ has at most n nonzero entries. By supressing the last $m_0 - n$ zeroes the dominant weight λ for G gives rise to a dominant weight $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$ of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ (also to be denoted λ) and as such a finite dimensional irreducible representation $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ and thus of K'. Here $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denotes the Schur functor (see [25]); it occurs as an irreducible subrepresentation in $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes \ell}$ and we denote by ι_λ the inclusion $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \to (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes \ell}$.

Now let $\ell = \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n$. Following [25, p. 296], we may define the harmonic Schur functor $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ as the image of the classical Schur functor $S_\lambda(V)$ of V under the G-equivariant projection of $V^{\otimes \ell}$ onto the harmonic tensors. We denote by $\pi_{[\lambda]}$ the G-equivariant projection $V^{\otimes \ell} \to S_{[\lambda]}(V)$. The representation $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ is irreducible with highest weight λ. Note that all $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ we will encounter are self-dual. This is obvious if m is odd as all representation of $\mathrm{SO}(m)$ are then self-dual, when m is even this follows from the fact that λ has $n < \mathrm{rank}(G)$ nonzero entries. In what follows, if V' is a representation of K' and k is an integer then $V'[k/2]$ will denote the representation of K' which is the tensor product of V' and $\mathbb{C}_{\frac{k}{2}}$.

In [26] Funke and Millson construct a nonzero Schwartz form

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K'}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)[m/2], \mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{P}_+^{(nq+\ell)} \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V))).$$

The finite dimensional representation $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ gives rise to a G-equivariant hermitian vector bundle with fiber $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ on the symmetric space G/K where the G-action is via $x : (v, gK) \mapsto (x \cdot v, xgK)$. For each $w \in S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and each $\mathbf{x} \in V^n$ we may therefore interpret $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}(w)(\mathbf{x})$ as a differential nq-form on G/K which takes values in the vector bundle associated to $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$.

The main result of [26], specialized to our setting, is the following:

7.14. **Proposition.** *For each $w \in S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathbf{x} \in V^n \cong \mathrm{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$, $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}(w)(\mathbf{x})$ defines a closed differential form on G/K with values in $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$. Moreover $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ defines an element in*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K'}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)[m/2], (\wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes \mathcal{P} \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V))^K)$$

or equivalently a section of the homogeneous vector bundle over the Siegel upper-half space corresponding to the representation of K' given by $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)[m/2]$ with values in the G-invariant closed bundle-valued nq-forms $A^{nq}(G/K; \mathcal{P} \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V))^G$.

These Schwartz forms are the generalization of the "scalar-valued" Schwartz forms considered by Kudla and Millson [46, 47, 48] to the coefficient case. We now digress to explain how to construct the forms with trivial coefficients as restriction of forms associated to the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(p,q)$.

7.15. **Restriction of Kazhdan forms.** The natural embedding $\mathrm{O}(p,q)\subset\mathrm{U}(p,q)$ yields a totally real embedding of G/K into the Hermitian symmetric space U/L where $U=\mathrm{U}(p,q)$ and $L=\mathrm{U}(p)\times\mathrm{U}(q)$. The tangent space $\mathfrak{p}$ of G/K identifies with the holomorphic tangent space $\mathfrak{p}_U^{1,0}$ of U/L.

We let

$$\psi_q=\sum_{\underline{\alpha}} z_{\underline{\alpha}}\otimes\omega_{\underline{\alpha}}\in\mathrm{Hom}_L(\wedge^{q,0}\mathfrak{p}_U,\mathcal{P}_+^{(q)}).$$

(Here $n=1$.) We call *Kazhdan form* the form ψ_q as it was first used by Kazhdan (in the case $q=1$) to prove a non-vanishing theorem for the first cohomology group of certain arithmetic manifolds associated to the group $\mathrm{U}(n,1)$; see [41, 12]. One important feature of the Kazhdan form is that, interpreted as a differential form on U/L, the form ψ_q is closed, holomorphic and square integrable (hence harmonic), see [40, 77].

In the Fock model the "scalar-valued" Schwartz form (Kudla-Millson form) $\varphi_{q,0}$ is – up to a constant – the restriction of the Kazhdan form ψ_q. It is given by the formula:

$$\varphi_{q,0}=\sum_{\underline{\alpha}} z_{\underline{\alpha}}\otimes\omega_{\underline{\alpha}}\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^{q}\mathfrak{p},\mathcal{P}_+^{(q)}).$$

We will often write φ_q instead of $\varphi_{q,0}$.

Note that, interpreted as a differential form on G/K, the form $\varphi_{q,0}$ is closed but not harmonic.

The reader will verify that there are analogous Kazhdan forms $\psi_{nq,\lambda}$ and restriction formulas for the general $\varphi_{nq,\lambda}$ with values in the *reducible* representation $S_\lambda(V)$ but there is no such Kazhdan form for the harmonic-valued projection $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}=\pi_{[\lambda]}\circ\varphi_{nq,\lambda}$ with values in the *irreducible* representation $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$.

Remark. By construction the Kazhdan form ψ_q factors through the subspace $[\wedge^{q,0}\mathfrak{p}_U]^{\mathrm{SU}(q)}$ of $\mathrm{SU}(q)$-invariants in $\wedge^{q,0}\mathfrak{p}_U$. In particular the form $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ defines a element in

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K'}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)[m/2],\mathrm{Hom}_K([\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}]^{\mathrm{SL}(q)},\mathcal{P}\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V))).$$

As announced in the Introduction it will therefore follow from Proposition 9.8 that the subspace of the cohomology $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K,S_{[\lambda]}(V))$ generated by special cycles is in fact contained in $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K,S_{[\lambda]}(V))^{\mathrm{SC}}$. (This of course explains the notation.)

7.16. **The cocycles of Kudla-Millson for general n and the cocycles of Funke-Millson.** We may more generally define $\varphi_{nq,0}$ as the (external) wedge-product:

$$\varphi_{nq,0}=\underbrace{\varphi_{q,0}\wedge\ldots\wedge\varphi_{q,0}}_{n\text{ times}}.$$

Again, we will often write φ_{nq} instead of $\varphi_{nq,0}$. Note that $\varphi_{nq,0}$ is the restriction of the holomorphic Kazhdan nq form $\psi_q\wedge\cdots\wedge\psi_q$.

We can also give a coordinate-free definition of $\varphi_{nq,0}$. For $x \in V_+$ let $\tilde{x}$ be the q-fold exterior product of bivectors given by

$$\tilde{x} = (x \wedge v_{p+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (x \wedge v_{p+q}).$$

Let $\varphi^*_{nq,0} : \mathrm{Sym}^{nq}(V_+^n)^* \to \wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ be the adjoint of φ_{nq}. Then we have (identifying $V_+ \wedge V_-$ to $\mathfrak{p}^*$ using the invariant form $(\ ,\ )$)

$$\varphi^*_{nq,0}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \tilde{x}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{x}_n. \tag{7.16.1}$$

Remark. We caution the reader that the right-hand side of equation (7.16.1) is the wedge product in $\wedge^{nq}(V_+ \wedge V_-)$ so it is the wedge of nq bivectors. If we evaluate this element on a decomposable nq-vector $a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{nq} \in \wedge^{nq}(V_+ \wedge V_-)$ we will get the determinant of an nq by nq matrix with entries $(x_i \wedge v_{p+j}, a_k)$ arranged in an order which we will not need to specify.

In order to go from the forms with trivial coefficients to those with nontrivial coefficients one multiplies $\varphi_{nq,0}$ by a remarkable K'-invariant element $\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}$ of degree zero in the $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-complex with values in $S_{[\lambda]}(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \mathcal{P}(V^n) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)$. These elements (as λ varies) are projections of the basic element $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ whose properties will be critical to us. Thus (in the Fock model) we have

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} = \varphi_{nq,0} \cdot \varphi_{0,[\lambda]}.$$

7.16.1. *The K'-equivariant family of zero $(\mathfrak{so}(p,q), K)$-cochains $\varphi_{0,\ell}$.* In [26] Funke and Millson define (for $\mathbb{C}^n$ the standard representation of $U(n)$)

$$\varphi_{0,\ell} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K' \times S_\ell}((T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n)), \mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{P}_+^{(\ell)} \otimes T^\ell(V_+)))$$

by

$$\varphi_{0,\ell}(e_I) = \sum_{\underline{\beta}} z_{\beta_1, i_1} \cdots z_{\beta_\ell, i_\ell} \otimes v_{\underline{\beta}} \tag{7.16.2}$$

(up to a constant factor) where $e_I = e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_\ell}$ and $\underline{I} = (i_1, \cdots, i_\ell)$. Here K' acts on $T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_+^{(\ell)}$ and the symmetric group S_ℓ acts on $T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $T^\ell(V_+)$. They also set:

$$\varphi_{nq,\ell} = \varphi_{nq,0} \cdot \varphi_{0,\ell} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K' \times S_\ell}(T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n)[m/2], \mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{P}_+^{(nq+\ell)} \otimes T^\ell(V)),$$

and

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} = (1 \otimes \pi_{[\lambda]}) \circ \varphi_{nq,\ell} \circ \iota_\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K'}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{P}_+^{(nq+\ell)} \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V))).$$

In what follows it will be important to note that $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathcal{P}_+^{(nq+\ell)} = S^{nq+\ell}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+)$ by (the action induced by) the standard action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Also the map $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ has image contained in $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{P}_+^\ell \otimes T^\ell(V_+))$. We will now rewrite $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ to deduce some remarkable properties that it posseses.

7.16.2. *Three properties of $\varphi_{0,\ell}$.* Note first that a map $\varphi : U \to W \otimes V$ corresponds to a map $\varphi^* : V^* \otimes U \to W$. Hence, using the isomorphism $V_+ \cong V_+^*$ we obtain

$$\varphi^*_{0,\ell} : T^\ell(V_+) \otimes T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathcal{P}_+^{(\ell)}$$

by the formula

$$\varphi^*_{0,\ell}(v_{\underline{\beta}} \otimes e_I) = (\varphi_{0,\ell}(e_I), v_{\underline{\beta}}).$$

Equation (7.16.2) then becomes

$$\varphi^*_{0,\ell}(v_{\underline{\beta}} \otimes e_I) = z_{\beta_1,i_1} \cdots z_{\beta_\ell,i_\ell}. \tag{7.16.3}$$

Rearranging the tensor factors $\mathbb{C}^n$ and V_+ we may consider the map $\varphi^*_{0,\ell}$ as a map

$$\varphi^*_{0,\ell} : T^\ell(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \cong \mathcal{P}^\ell_+.$$

This rearrangement leads immediately to two important properties of $\varphi^*_{0,\ell}$.

First, we have the the following *factorization property* of $\varphi^*_{0,\ell}$ that will play a critical role in the proof of Proposition 7.26. Note that as a special case of Equation (7.16.3), $\varphi^*_{0,1}(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathcal{P}_+$ satifies the equation

$$\varphi^*_{0,1}(v_\alpha \otimes e_j) = z_{\alpha,j}.$$

We see then that $\varphi^*_{0,\ell} : T^\ell(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathcal{P}^\ell_+$ may be factored as follows. Given a decomposable ℓ-tensor $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z} = (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_\ell) \otimes (z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_\ell) \in T^\ell(V_+) \otimes T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n)$, rearrange the tensor factors to obtain $(x_1 \otimes z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_\ell \otimes z_\ell) \in T^\ell(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then we have

$$\varphi^*_{0,\ell}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z}) = \varphi^*_{0,1}(x_1 \otimes z_1)\varphi^*_{0,1}(x_2 \otimes z_2) \cdots \varphi^*_{0,1}(x_\ell \otimes z_\ell).$$

From this the following *multiplicative property* is clear

7.17. **Lemma.** *Let* $\mathbf{z}_1 \in T^a(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathbf{z}_2 \in T^b(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathbf{x}_1 \in T^a(V_+), \mathbf{x}_2 \in T^b(V_+)$ *with* $a + b = \ell$. *Then*

$$\varphi^*_{0,\ell}((\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_2) \otimes (\mathbf{z}_1 \otimes \mathbf{z}_2)) = \varphi^*_{0,a}((\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{z}_1) \cdot \varphi^*_{0,b}(\mathbf{x}_2 \otimes \mathbf{z}_2).$$

The second property we will need is that $\varphi^*_{0,\ell}$ is (up to identifications) simply the projection from the ℓ-th graded summand of the tensor algebra on $V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ to the corresponding summand of the symmetric algebra. Hence, the map $\varphi^*_{0,\ell}$ descends to give a map

$$\varphi^*_{0,\ell} : \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \tag{7.17.1}$$

which is clearly the identity map. The following lemma is then clear.

7.18. **Lemma.** $\varphi^*_{0,\ell}$ *carries harmonic tensors to harmonic tensors.*

From now on we will abuse notation and abbreviate $\varphi^*_{0,\ell}$ to $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ for the rest of this subsection.

7.19. The Vogan-Zuckerman K-types associated to the special Schwartz forms $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$.

For the rest of this section the symbols V, V_+ and V_- will mean the complexifications of the the real vector spaces formerly denoted by these symbols.

We recall that the Vogan-Zuckerman K-type $\mu(\mathfrak{q})$ is the lowest K-type of $A_\mathfrak{q}$. It may be realized by the K-invariant subspace $V(\mathfrak{q}) \subset \wedge^R(\mathfrak{p})$ generated by the highest weight vector $e(\mathfrak{q}) \in \wedge^R(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) \subset \wedge^R(\mathfrak{p})$. The K-type $\mu(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)$ is the lowest K-type of $A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)$. It may be realized by the K-invariant subspace $V(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda) \subset \wedge^R(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes E^*$ which is the Cartan product of $V(\mathfrak{q})$ and E^*.

Our goal in this section is to prove the following

7.20. **Proposition.**

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}(V(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)) \subset \mathcal{H}_+.$$

Remark. We remind the reader that we modified $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ to $\varphi^*_{0,\ell}$ in subsection 7.16.2. This results in a modification of $\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}$ and hence of $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$. Thus we should have written $\varphi^*_{nq,[\lambda]}$ instead of $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ in the above theorem and in all that follows. Since this amounts to rearranging a tensor product we will continue to make this abuse of notation in what follows.

The key to proving the Proposition will be to explicitly compute $\mu(\mathfrak{q}), V(\mathfrak{q})$ and $e(\mathfrak{q})$ and the harmonic Schur functors $S_{[\lambda]}$ for the case in hand in terms of the multilinear algebra of V_+ and the form $(\ , \ )$. We will define a totally isotropic subspace $E_n \subset V_+ \otimes C$ of dimension n and $\mathfrak{q}$ will be the stabilizer of a fixed flag in E_n. Anticipating this we change the notation from $V(\mathfrak{q}, \lambda)$ to $V(n, \lambda)$. Also we take $R = nq$ because for all the parabolics we construct below we will have

$$\dim\,(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = nq.$$

For special orthogonal groups associated to an even dimensional vector space parabolic subalgebras are not in one-to-one correspondence with isotropic flags but rather with isotropic oriflammes. This will not be a problem here. The reason for this comes from the following considerations. First all parabolics we consider here will come from flags of isotropic subspaces in E_n. Second, there is no difference betweeen oriflammes and flags if all the isotropic subspaces considered are in dimension strictly less than the middle dimension minus 1. Finally, note that in the even case we have $n < m_0 - 1$. See [28, Chapter 11, p. 158] for details.

Furthermore, again in the even case, since our highest weight λ of E^* has at most n nonzero entries and $n < m_0 - 1 < m_0$ the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ with highest weight λ will extend to an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{O}(V)$. This extension will be unique up to tensoring with the determinant representation. In other words for us there will be no difference (up to tensoring by the determinant representation) between the representation theory of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and $\mathrm{O}(V)$.

7.20.1. *The proof of Proposition 7.20 for the case of trivial coefficients.* We will first prove Proposition 7.20 for the case of trivial coefficients.

If we are interested only in obtaining a representation $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ which will give cohomology *with trivial coefficients* in degree nq we may take $\mathfrak{q}$ to be a maximal parabolic, hence to be the stabilizer of a totally isotropic subspace $E' \subset V$. We remind the reader that throughout this section V, V_+ and V_- are the complexifications of the corresponding real subspaces which we have denoted V, V_+ and V_- in the rest of the paper. In order for $\mathfrak{q}$ to be θ-stable it is necessary and sufficient that E' splits compatibly with the splitting $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$. The simplest way to arrange this is to choose $E' \subset E'_+ \subset V_+$. Hence, we choose E' to be the n-dimensional totally isotropic subspace $E' = E'_n \subset V_+$ given by

$$E'_n = \mathrm{span}\{u'_1, u'_2, \cdots, u'_n\}.$$

Now let E''_n be the dual n dimensional subspace of V_+ given by

$$E''_n = \mathrm{span}\{u''_1, u''_2, \cdots, u''_n\}.$$

Then E''_n is a totally isotropic subspace of V_+ of dimension n with $E'_n \cap E''_n = 0$ such that the restriction of $(,)$ to $E'_n + E''_n$ is nondegenerate (so E'_n and E''_n are dually-paired by $(,)$). Let $U = (E'_n + E''_n)^\perp$. We will abbreviate E'_n and E''_n to E' and E'' henceforth. We obtain

$$V = E' \oplus U \oplus E'' \oplus V_-. \tag{7.20.1}$$

In what follows we will identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ with $\wedge^2(V)$ by $\rho : \wedge^2(V) \to \mathfrak{so}(V)$ given by

$$\rho(u \wedge v)(w) = (u,w)v - (v,w)u.$$

The reader will verify that under this identification the Cartan splitting of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ corresponds to

$$\mathfrak{so}(V) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} = (\wedge^2(V_+) \oplus \wedge^2(V_-)) \oplus (V_+ \otimes V_-).$$

Equation (7.20.1) then induces the following splitting of $\mathfrak{so}(V) \cong \wedge^2(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\wedge^2(V) = (E' \otimes E'') \oplus (E' \otimes U) \oplus (E' \otimes V_-) \oplus (E'' \otimes U) \oplus (E'' \otimes V_-) \\ \oplus (U \otimes V_-) \oplus \wedge^2(E') \oplus \wedge^2(U) \oplus \wedge^2(E'') \oplus \wedge^2(V_-).\end{aligned}$$

The reader will then verify the following lemma concerning the Levi splitting of $\mathfrak{q}$ and its relation with the above Cartan splitting of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. Recall that $\mathfrak{q}$ is the stabilizer of E'. Let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ be the Levi decomposition.

7.21. **Lemma.** (1) $\mathfrak{q} = [(E' \otimes E'') \oplus (U \otimes V_-) \oplus \wedge^2(U)] \oplus [(E' \otimes U) \oplus (E' \otimes V_-)) \oplus \wedge^2(E')]$
(2) $\mathfrak{l} = (E' \otimes E'') \oplus (U \otimes V_-) \oplus \wedge^2(U)$
(3) $\mathfrak{u} = (E' \otimes U) \oplus (E' \otimes V_-)) \oplus \wedge^2(E')$
Hence, we have

$$\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p} = (E' \otimes V_-) = \mathrm{span}(\{u'_j \wedge v_{p+k} : 1 \le j \le n, 1 \le k \le q\})$$

whence

$$e(\mathfrak{q}) = [(u'_1 \wedge v_{p+1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (u'_1 \wedge v_{p+q})] \wedge \cdots \wedge [(u'_n \wedge v_{p+1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (u'_n \wedge v_{p+q})].$$

Next we describe the Vogan-Zuckerman subspace $V(n) \subset \wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p} \cong \wedge^{nq}(V_+ \otimes V_-)$ (underlying the realization of the Vogan-Zuckerman special K-type in $\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}$) using the standard formula for the decomposition of the exterior power of a tensor product, see equation (1.11.1) or Equation (19), or the formula on page 80 of [25]. Here $n \times q$ denotes the partition of nq given by q repeated n times.

7.22. **Lemma.** *The subspace $V(n)$ of $\wedge^{nq}(V_+ \otimes V_-)$ is given by*

$$V(n) = S_{[n\times q]}(V_+) \boxtimes S_{[q \times n]}(V_-) = S_{[n \times q]}(V_+) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}.$$

7.23. We denote by $V(n,\lambda)$ the *Cartan product* of $V(n) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*$ i.e. the highest K-type of the tensor product $V(n) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^* \cong V(n) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)$.

We can now prove Proposition 7.20 for the case of trivial coefficients. We refer the reader to subsection 7.12 for the definition of the p_0 by n matrix $W''(\mathbf{x})$. We recall that $\Delta_n(\mathbf{x})$ is the determinant of the leading principal n by n minor of $W''(\mathbf{x})$.

7.24. **Proposition.** *We have*

$$\varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta_n(\mathbf{x})^q \in \mathcal{H}''(V_+^n).$$

and consequently

$$\varphi_{nq}(V(n)) \subset \mathcal{H}_+.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in V_+^n$. Then we have (see equation (7.16.1) and Remark 7.16 for the meaning of the notation)

$$\varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi^*_{nq}(\mathbf{x})(e(\mathfrak{q})) = (\tilde{x}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{x}_n, \widetilde{u'_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widetilde{u'_n}). \tag{7.24.1}$$

Here the second equation follows from equation (7.16.1) and

$$\widetilde{u'_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widetilde{u'_n} = [(u'_1\wedge v_{p+1})\wedge\cdots\wedge(u'_1\wedge v_{p+q})]\wedge\cdots\wedge[(u'_n\wedge v_{p+1})\wedge\cdots\wedge(u'_n\wedge v_{p+q})] = e(\mathfrak{q}).$$

From equation (7.24.1), we see that $\varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(\mathbf{x})$ is the determinant of the nq by nq matrix $A(\mathbf{x})$ with matrix entries $(x_i \wedge v_{p+\alpha}, u'_\gamma \wedge v_{p+\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x_i, u'_\gamma)$ arranged in some order (with more work we could show $A(\mathbf{x}) = I_q \otimes W''(\mathbf{x})$ but we prefer to avoid this computation and procede more invariantly). By definition $(x_i, u'_\gamma) = w''_{\gamma,i}$. Noting that $1 \le \gamma \le n$ we see that $\varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(\mathbf{x})$ is a polynomial in the coordinates $w''_{\alpha,j}$ with $1 \le \alpha, j \le n$. Hence $\varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(\mathbf{x})$ is the value of a polynomial function $p(W'')$ on the space of n by n matrices W'' at $W'' = W''_n(\mathbf{x}) = (w''_{\alpha,j}) : 1 \le \alpha, j \le n$.

Now, letting ρ denote the standard (not the oscillator) action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{P}_+$, we have

$$\rho(g) \circ \varphi_{nq} = \det(g)^q \ \varphi_{nq}, \ g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}).$$

Evaluating both sides at $e(\mathfrak{q})$ we obtain

$$\rho(g)(\varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(\mathbf{x})) = \varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(\mathbf{x}g) = \det(g)^q \ \varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(\mathbf{x})$$

Hence p satisfies (see equation (7.12.1) for the first equality below, the second equality is the equation immediately above)

$$p(W''_n(\mathbf{x})g) = p(W''_n(\mathbf{x}g)) = \det(g)^q \ p(W''_n(\mathbf{x})).$$

Hence in case $\det(W''_n(\mathbf{x})) \neq 0$ we have

$$p(W''_n(\mathbf{x})) = p(I_n) \det(W''_n(\mathbf{x}))^q.$$

By Zariski density of the invertible matrices (and the fact that every n by n matrix W'' may be written as $W''_n(\mathbf{x})$ for a suitable $\mathbf{x}$) the above equation holds for all n by n matrices W''. It remains to evaluate the value of p on the identity matrix I_n.

We claim $p(I_n) = 1$. This follows from the two equations.

$$p(I_n) = \varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(u''_1, \cdots, u''_n) \text{ and } \varphi_{nq}(e(\mathfrak{q}))(u''_1, \cdots, u''_n) = 1.$$

The first equation asserts that the matrix of coordinates $(w''_{\alpha,i})$ of the n-tuple $(u''_1, \cdots, u''_n)$ is I_n and using (7.24.1) the second equation asserts

$$(\widetilde{u''_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widetilde{u''_n}, \widetilde{u'_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widetilde{u'_n}) = \det(I_{nq}) = 1.$$

Both are obvious. □

7.25. **A derivation of the formulas for the simultaneous highest weight harmonic polynomials in Case (1).** In this section we will prove the general case of Proposition 7.20, in other words, we will prove that $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}(V(n, \lambda))$ takes values in $\mathcal{H}_+$. We will do this by giving an *explicit* formula for $\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]})$ which will obviously be in $\mathcal{H}''(V^n_+)$. Our computation will give a new derivation of the formulas of Kashiwara and Vergne for the simultaneous highest weight vectors in $\mathcal{H}_+$ for their Case (1), Propositions (6.6) and (6.11) of [40]. This derivation will be an immediate consequence of the multiplicative property, Lemma 7.17, of $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ and standard facts in representation theory.

First we need to make an observation. Note that $V(n, \lambda)$ is the lowest K-type of $A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)$, with $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ as above. But if not all the entries of λ are equal then we can no longer take $\mathfrak{q}$ to be a *maximal* parabolic and we will have to replace the totally isotropic subspace E_n by a flag in E_n. For example, if all the entries of λ are different then we must take a full flag in E_n. However for all parabolics $\mathfrak{q}$ obtained we obtain the same formula for $\mathfrak{u}\cap\mathfrak{p}$ and the formula above for $e(\mathfrak{q})$ remains valid. In fact (because induction in stages is satisfied for derived functor induction) one can always take $\mathfrak{q}$ to be the stabilizer of a full flag in E_n and hence will have the property that the Levi subgroup L_0 of Q intersected with G will be $\mathrm{U}(1)^n$. This we will do for the rest of the paper.

Next, we recall that since $V(n,\lambda)$ is embedded in $\wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p})\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*$ as the Cartan product, the highest vector of $e(\mathfrak{q},\lambda)$ of $V(n,\lambda)$ is given by

$$e(\mathfrak{q},\lambda)=e(\mathfrak{q})\otimes v^*_{[\lambda]} \tag{7.25.1}$$

where $v^*_{[\lambda]}$ is the highest weight vector of $S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*$. In what follows let e_λ be a highest weight vector of $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Also since $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}=\varphi_{nq,0}\cdot\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}$ we have

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda\otimes e(\mathfrak{q},\lambda))=\varphi_{nq,0}(e(\mathfrak{q}))\cdot\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda\otimes v^*_{[\lambda]}). \tag{7.25.2}$$

Here and in the formula just above we think of $\varphi_{nq,0}$ as an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p}),\mathcal{P})$ and $\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}$ as an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{K'\times K}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)[m/2]\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*,\mathcal{P})$. Since they both take values in the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{P}$ we can multiply those values. The resulting product is what is used in the equation (7.25.2) and induces the product in the previous equation. Since $\mathcal{H}''(V_+^n)$ is closed under multiplication if we can prove that both factors of the right-hand side of equation (7.25.2) are contained in the ring $\mathcal{H}''(V_+^n)$ we can conclude that

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda\otimes e(\mathfrak{q},\lambda))\in\mathcal{H}''(V_+^n)\subset\mathcal{H}_+.$$

Accordingly, since $V(n,\lambda)$ is an irreducible $K'\times K$-module, the action of $K'\times K$ preserves $\mathcal{H}_+$, and $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ is a $K'\times K$ homomorphism, Proposition 7.20 will follow.

In fact we now prove a stronger statement than the required $\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda\otimes v^*_{[\lambda]})\in\mathcal{H}''(V_+^n)$. Namely we give a new proof of the formulas of [40] in Case (1). This proof makes clear that their formula follows with very little computation. Namely we first realize the representation $V(\lambda)$ with highest weight λ of $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ in a tensor power of symmetric powers of fundamental representations (exterior powers of the standard representation V_+) corresponding to representing the highest weight λ in terms of the fundamental weights $\varpi_j, 1\le j\le p_0$

$$\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{p_0}a_i\varpi_i. \tag{7.25.3}$$

We then write down the standard realization of the highest weight vector in this tensor product, see equation (7.27.1) below. The point is that this realization is represented as a product, it is "factored". We then apply the K-homomorphism $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ to this vector using the *multiplicative property*, Lemma 7.17 and obtain the desired realization of it in $\mathcal{P}_+^\ell$ as a product of powers of leading principal minors of the matrix $W''(\mathbf{x})$. Thus the new feature of the proof is the existence and factorization property of the map $\varphi_{0,\ell}$. We now give the details.

The reader will verify that (after changing from the basis of the dual of the Cartan given by the fundamental weights to the standard basis) that the following formula is the same as those of [40], Proposition (6.6), Case (1) and Proposition (6.11), Case (1).

7.26. **Proposition.** *Write the highest weight λ in terms of the fundamental weights according to $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\varpi_i$. Then we have (up to a constant multiple)*

$$\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]})(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta_1(\mathbf{x})^{a_1}\Delta_2(\mathbf{x})^{a_2}\cdots\Delta_n(\mathbf{x})^{a_n}. \tag{7.26.1}$$

and consequently

$$\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V_+)) \subset \mathcal{H}_+ \tag{7.26.2}$$

Combining equation (7.26.1) *with the equation of Proposition 7.24 we obtain*

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda \otimes e(\mathfrak{q}) \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]})(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta_1(\mathbf{x})^{a_1}\Delta_2(\mathbf{x})^{a_2}\cdots\Delta_n(\mathbf{x})^{a_n+q}. \tag{7.26.3}$$

7.27. **Corollary.**

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]}) \in \mathcal{H}''(V_+^n) \subset \mathcal{H}_+.$$

Proof. First, as stated, we give the standard realization of the of highest weight vectors of in the tensor product $T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n)\otimes T^\ell(V^*)$, namely we have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} e_\lambda \otimes v^*_\lambda = {} & [e_1^{\otimes a_1} \otimes (e_1\wedge e_2)^{\otimes a_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes (e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n)^{\otimes a_n}] \\ & \otimes [u_1^{\otimes a_1} \otimes (u_1 \wedge u_2)^{\otimes a_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_1\wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_n)^{\otimes a_n}]. \end{aligned} \tag{7.27.1}$$

Indeed, note that the above tensor is annihilated by the nilradicals of both Borels. It is obviously annihilated by $\wedge^2(E)$. Since the rest of the nilradical of the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ for $\mathfrak{so}(V_+)$ map is spanned by the root vectors $u_j \wedge u'_i, 1 \le j < i \le p_0$, that map u_i to u_j with $j < i$ (and u_1 to 0), the claim follows for $\mathfrak{so}(V_+)$. Similarly the Borel subalgebra for $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is spanned by the elements $E_{ij}, 1 \le j < i \le n$, that map e_i to e_j with $j < i$ (and e_1 to 0) and are zero on all basis vectors other than e_i . Note also that the u_i's are orthogonal isotropic vectors hence the above tensor in the u_i's is a harmonic tensor in $T^\ell(V_+)$. Lastly the above vector has weight λ by construction. Note that with the above realization of $e_\lambda \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]}$ in $T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+^*)$ we have

$$\varphi_{0,[\lambda]}(e_\lambda \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]}) = \varphi_{0,\ell}(e_\lambda \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]})$$

where on the right-hand side we consider $e_\lambda \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]} \in T^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+^*)$. We now apply the factorization property of $\varphi_{0,\ell}$, see Lemma 7.17.

Indeed, factoring the right-hand side of equation (7.27.1) into n factors (not counting the powers a_i) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{0,\ell}(e_\lambda \otimes v^*_{[\lambda]}) = {} & \varphi_{0,1}(e_1 \otimes u_1)^{a_1}\varphi_{0,2}([e_1\wedge e_2]\otimes[u_1\wedge u_2])^{a_2}\cdots \\ & \cdots \varphi_{0,n}([e_1 \wedge \cdots e_n] \otimes [u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_n])^{a_n}. \end{aligned}$$

But then observe that

$$\varphi_{0,k}([e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k] \otimes [u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge_k]) = \Delta_k(\mathbf{x}).$$

The Proposition follows. □

7.28. **The derivation of the correspondence of representations on the harmonics.** In this subsection we will see how the map $\varphi_{0,\ell} : \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+) \to \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+) \cong \mathrm{Pol}^\ell(\mathrm{M}_{p\times n}(\mathbb{C}))$ induces the decomposition formula for the dual pair $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_+)$ acting on $\mathcal{H}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+)$. In what follows let $P(\ell, n)$ be the set of ordered partitions of ℓ into less than or equal to n parts (counting repetitions). We will assume the known result that $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_+)$ *acting on* $\mathcal{H}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+)$ *forms a dual pair*, see [35], and compute what the resulting correspondence is using $\varphi_{0,\ell}$.

7.29. **Proposition.** *Under the assumption* $n \le [p/2]$ *the map* $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ *induces an isomorphism of* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_+)$*-modules*

$$\varphi_{0,\ell} : \sum_{\lambda \in P(\ell,n)} S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \boxtimes S_{[\lambda]}(V_+) \to \mathcal{H}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+).$$

As a consequence the correspondence between $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$*-modules and* $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ *modules induced by the action of the dual pair on the harmonics is* $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \leftrightarrow S_{[\lambda]}(V_+)$ *(so loosely put "take the same partition").*

Proof. We first recall the decomposition of the ℓ-th symmetric power of a tensor product, see [25], page 80,

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(\ell,n)} S_\lambda(C^n) \otimes S_\lambda(V_+).$$

Hence we obtain an isomorphism of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_+)$-modules

$$\varphi_{0,\ell} : \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(\ell,n)} S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes S_\lambda(V_+) \to \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+) \cong \mathrm{Pol}^\ell((\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes V_+^*).$$

But by equation (7.26.2) of Proposition 7.26 we have (under the assumption $n \le [p/2]$),

$$\varphi_{0,\ell}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)) \subset \mathcal{H}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+).$$

The map is obviously an injection.

To prove the map is a surjection let $\lambda \in P(\ell,n)$. Then, from the assumption preceding the statement of the theorem, the $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$-isotypic subspace

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathcal{H}^\ell(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_+))$$

is an irreducible representation for $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$. But we have just seen it contains the subspace $S_{[\lambda]}(V_+)$. Hence it coincides with $S_{[\lambda]}(V_+)$. From this the proposition follows. □

7.30. **The relation with the work of Kashiwara and Vergne.** The previous results are closely related to the work of Kashiwara and Vergne, [40] studying the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(k)$ on the harmonic polynomials on $M(n,k)$. We first note that Propositions 7.24 and 7.26 do not follow from the results of [40] since we do not know a priori that the cocycles φ_{nq} resp. $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ take harmonic values on the highest weight vectors $e(\mathfrak{q})$ resp. $e(\mathfrak{q},\lambda)$ (and this and the results of Howe are the key tools underlying the proof of Theorem 7.32).

For the benefit of the reader in comparing the results of Section 7.25, Proposition 7.26 and Section 7.28, Proposition 7.29 with the corresponding results of [40] we provide a dictionary between the notations of our paper and theirs. We are studying the action of the dual pair $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_+)$ on the harmonic polynomials $\mathcal{H}_+$. Thus our p corresponds to their k and their n coincides with our n. Their ℓ is the rank of $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ which we have denoted p_0. Kashiwara and Vergne take for the Fock module the polynomials on $\mathrm{M}_{n\times p}(\mathbb{C}) \cong V_+^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, that is the $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_+)$-module $\mathrm{Sym}(V_+ \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^*)$ whereas we take the polynomials on $V_+^* \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^* \cong V_+ \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^* \cong \mathrm{M}_{p\times n}(\mathbb{C})$ that is $\mathrm{Sym}(V_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ for our Fock model. In the two correspondences between $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ modules and $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ modules the $*$ on the second factor causes their $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$-modules to be the contragredients of ours.

There are two results in [40] that are reproved here using $\varphi_{0,\ell}$ (in "Case 1", $n = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \le p_0 = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{O}(V_+))$. First, we give a new derivation of their formula for the simultaneous $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(V_+)$-highest weight vectors in the space of harmonic polynomials $\mathcal{H}_+$ in Proposition 7.26. Second, we give a new proof of the correspondence between $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ modules and $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ modules in Proposition 7.29. Both of our proofs here are based on the properties of the element $\varphi_{0,\ell}$. As noted above, the correspondence between irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ is different from that of Kashiwara and Vergne (the representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ they obtain are the contragredients of ours).

7.31. **The computation of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P})$ as a $U(\mathfrak{g}')$ module.** In this subsection we will prove the following theorem by combining Proposition 7.20 with a result of Howe.

7.32. **Theorem.** *As a $(\mathfrak{g}',K')$-module, $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P})$ is generated by the restriction of $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ to $V(n,\lambda)$, i.e.*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P}) = U(\mathfrak{g}')\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}.$$

Moreover: there exists a $(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}',K\times K')$-quotient $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ such that the $(\mathfrak{g}',K')$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N})$ is irreducible, generated by the image of $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}|_{V(n,\lambda)}$ and isomorphic to the underlying $(\mathfrak{g}',K')$-module of the (holomorphic) unitary discrete series representation with lowest K'-type (having highest weight) $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\frac{m}{2}}$.

Here $U(\mathfrak{g}')$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}'$.

The theorem will be a consequence of general results of Howe and the results obtained above combined with the following lemmas.

7.33. We consider the decomposition of $\mathcal{P}$ into K-isotypical components:

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{R}(K,\omega)} \mathcal{J}_\sigma,$$

see [36, §3].

Remark. However, we have to be careful about two group-theoretic points concerning our maximal compact subgroups K and K'. First we address K'. The action of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ on the Fock model $\mathcal{P}$ is the standard action on polynomial functions *twisted by a character.* Recall we identify $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^{mn})$ with the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ of polynomials on m by n complex matrices, $\mathrm{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then the action of the restriction of the Weil representation ω to $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ is given by the following formula. Let $Z \in \mathrm{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$. Then we have for $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$

$$\omega(g)P(Z) = \det(g)^{\frac{p-q}{2}}P(Zg). \tag{7.33.1}$$

To be precise, we do not get an action of the general linear group but rather of its connected two-fold cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}(n,\mathbb{C})$, the metalinear group. We will ignore this point in what follows as we have done with the difference between the symplectic and metaplectic groups. Second we address K. In what follows the theory of dual pairs requires us to use $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ and $\mathrm{O}(V_-)$ below. The reader will verify that in fact we may replace $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ and $\mathrm{O}(V_-)$ by $\mathrm{SO}(V_+)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V_-)$. However we note $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ transforms by a power of the determinant representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_-)$ which will consequently be ignored. Thus in what follows K will denote the product $\mathrm{SO}(V_+)\times\mathrm{SO}(V_-)$. The main point that allows us to make this restriction to the connected group $K = \mathrm{SO}(V_+)\times\mathrm{SO}(V_-)$ is that the restriction of the representation $V(n,\lambda)$ of $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$ to $\mathrm{SO}(V_+)$ is irreducible.

In what follows the key point will be to compute the $V(n,\lambda)$-isotypic component in $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \otimes \mathcal{H}_-$ as a $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ module under the action induced by the Weil representation. We will temporarily ignore the twist by $\det^{(p-q)/2}$. Then denoting the above isotypic subspace by $\mathcal{H}_{V(n,\lambda)}$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{V(n,\lambda)} = \mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{H}) \otimes V(n,\lambda). \tag{7.33.2}$$

Here the first factor is a $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ module where $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ acts by post-composition.

In what follows it will be very important that the representation $V(n,\lambda)$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_-)$ has trivial restriction to the second factor. To keep track of this, up until the end of the proof of Lemma 7.38, we will denote the restriction of the representation $V(n,\lambda)$ to the first factor $\mathrm{SO}(V_+)$ of K by $V(n,\lambda)_+$. Thus as a representation of the product $\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_-)$ we have

$$V(n,\lambda) = V(n,\lambda)_+ \boxtimes 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (7.33.3) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{H}_+ \otimes \mathcal{H}_-) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_+)}(V(n,\lambda)_+,\mathcal{H}_+) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_-)}(1,\mathcal{H}_-) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_+)}(V(n,\lambda)_+,\mathcal{H}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it remains to compute the first tensor factor.

7.34. **Lemma.** *The* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$*-module* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_+)}(V(n,\lambda)_+,\mathcal{H}_+)$ *is the ireducible module with highest weight* $(q+\lambda_1,\dots,q+\lambda_n)$*. Hence we have an isomorphism of* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$*-modules*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_+)}(V(n,\lambda)_+,\mathcal{H}_+) \cong S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q.$$

Proof. The lemma is an immediate consequence of Proposition 7.29. Since the irreducible $\mathrm{O}(V_+)$-module $V(n,\lambda)_+$ has dominant weight $\mu = (q+\lambda_1,\dots,q+\lambda_n,0\dots,0,\cdots,0)$ it follows from Proposition 7.29 that the corresponding irreducible module for $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q$ and consequently has highest weight $(q+\lambda_1,\dots,q+\lambda_n)$. . □

Taking into account the twist of the standard $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$-action by $\det^{(p-q)/2}$ in the action of the Weil representation on $\mathcal{P}$ we find that the final determinant twist is $q+(p-q)/2 = m/2$. We conclude:

7.35. **Lemma.** *Under the action coming from the restriction of the Weil representation the* $V(n,\lambda)$ *isotypic subspace of* $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \otimes \mathcal{H}_-$ *decomposes as a* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \times [\mathrm{SO}(V_+) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_-)]$ *-module according to :*

$$\mathcal{H}_{V(n,\lambda)} = (S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\frac{m}{2}}) \otimes V(n,\lambda). \tag{7.35.1}$$

Recall by Proposition 7.20 we have

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{H}_+ \otimes \mathcal{H}_-)$$

But $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ takes values in $\mathcal{H}_+$ thus induces an element $\varphi^+_{nq,[\lambda]} \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{H}_+)$ such that

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} = \varphi^+_{nq,[\lambda]} \otimes 1.$$

Note that $\mathrm{GL}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(V_+)$ acting on $\mathcal{H}_+$ forms a dual pair and $\mathrm{O}(V_-)$ acting on $\mathcal{H}_-$ forms a dual pair, $V(n,\lambda) = V(n,\lambda)_+ \boxtimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} = \varphi^+_{nq,[\lambda]} \otimes 1$. We have

7.36. **Lemma.** *We have:*

1. $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_+)}(V(n,\lambda)_+, \mathcal{H}_+) = U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))\cdot\varphi^+_{nq,[\lambda]}$.
2. $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_-)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H}_-) = U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))\cdot 1 = \mathbb{C}$.
3. $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda), \mathcal{H}_+\otimes\mathcal{H}_-) = [U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))\otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))]\cdot\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$.

Note that we have a product of dual pairs $(\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})\times \mathrm{O}(V_+))\times(\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})\times \mathrm{O}(V_-))$. Since $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ takes values in $\mathcal{H}_+\otimes\mathbb{C}$ the action of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))\otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))$ of (3) of Lemma 7.36 on $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ coincides with the "*diagonal*" action of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))$ (i.e. coming from the diagonal inclusion of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ into the product of the two first factors of the two dual pairs above). It is critical in what follows that this diagonal $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is the complexification of the Lie algebra of the maximal compact subgroup K' of the metaplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ in our basic dual pair $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{O}(V)$. Hence we obtain the improved version of (3) of the previous lemma that we will need to prove the first statement of Theorem 7.32.

7.37. **Lemma.**

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda), \mathcal{H}_+\otimes\mathcal{H}_-) = U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))\cdot\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}.$$

The next lemma proves the first assertion of Theorem 7.32.

7.38. **Lemma.**

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda), \mathcal{P}) = U(\mathfrak{g}')\cdot\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}.$$

Proof. By Howe [36, Proposition 3.1] the space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+\otimes\mathcal{H}_-$ generates $\mathcal{P}$ as a $U(\mathfrak{g}')$-module, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P} = U(\mathfrak{g}')(\mathcal{H}_+\otimes\mathcal{H}_-).$$

We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P})) &= \mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda), U(\mathfrak{g}')(\mathcal{H}_+\otimes\mathcal{H}_-))\\
&= U(\mathfrak{g}')(\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{H}_+\otimes\mathcal{H}_-))\\
&= U(\mathfrak{g}')U(\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C}))\cdot\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} = U(\mathfrak{g}')\cdot\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

7.39. We now prove the second assertion of Theorem 7.32.

It follows from Li [53] that the $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-module $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ occurs in Howe's theta correspondence (see [36, Theorem 2.1]). In particular: there exists a $(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}', K\times K')$ quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ which has the form

$$\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N}\cong A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)\otimes\pi',$$

where π' is a finitely generated, admissible, and quasisimple $(\mathfrak{g}',K')$-module. This yields a projection

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P})\to\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N})\\
&\qquad\qquad = \pi'\otimes\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)) = \pi'\otimes\mathbb{C}.
\end{aligned}$$

But since $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P})\cong U(\mathfrak{g}')\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$, the projection is nonzero and π' is irreducible the projection must map the generator $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}|_{V(n,\lambda)}$ of the $U(\mathfrak{g}')$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V(n,\lambda),\mathcal{P})$ to a generator of π'. Finally: Li makes Howe's correspondence explicit. In our case π' is the underlying $(\mathfrak{g}',K')$-module of the holomorphic unitary discrete series representation with lowest K'-type $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\frac{m}{2}}$ which is the K' type generated by $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 7.32.

7.40. *Remark.* The $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ does not occur in Howe's theta correspondence from a symplectic group smaller than $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $k < n$. It follows e.g. from [24, Cor. 3 (a)] that as a K-module $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^{km})_+ \cong \mathrm{Sym}((\mathbb{C}^p)^{\oplus k})$ does not contain $V(n)$. As $V(n)$ occurs as a K-type in $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ it follows from the proof of Theorem 7.32 that $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ does not occur in Howe's theta correspondence from $\mathrm{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{R})$. $\square$

Part 3. Geometry of arithmetic manifolds

8. COHOMOLOGY OF ARITHMETIC MANIFOLDS

8.1. **Notations.** Let F be a totally real field of degree d and $\mathbb{A}$ the ring of adeles of F. Let V be a nondegenerate quadratic space over F with $\dim_F V = m$. We assume that $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ is compact at all but one infinite place. We denote by v_0 the infinite place where $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is non compact and assume that $G(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$. Let $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{GSpin}(V)$ be the set of all invertible elements in the even Clifford algebra such that $gVg^{-1} = V$. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \to F^* \to \widetilde{G} \to G \to 1, \tag{8.1.1}$$

where F^* is the subgroup of the center which acts trivially on V. We denote by $\mathrm{Nspin} : \widetilde{G} \to F^*$ the spinor norm map and let $\widetilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ be its kernel. We finally let

$$D = \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)/(\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)).$$

8.2. **Arithmetic manifolds.** In this paragraph we mainly follow [45, §1]. For any compact open subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, we denote by $\widetilde{K}$ its preimage in $\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and let

$$X_K = \widetilde{G}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p, q) \times \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))/(\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q))\widetilde{K}.$$

The connected components of X_K can be described as follows. Write

$$\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f) = \sqcup_j \widetilde{G}(F)_+ g_j \widetilde{K}.$$

Here $\widetilde{G}(F)_+$ consists of those elements whose spinor norm – viewed as an element of F^* – is totally positive, i.e. lies in $F^*_{\infty +} = (\mathbb{R}^*_+)^d$ where d is the degree of $F/\mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$X_K = \sqcup_j \Gamma_{g_j} \backslash D,$$

where Γ_{g_j} is the image in $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)_0$ of

$$\Gamma'_{g_j} = \widetilde{G}(F)_+ \cap g_j \widetilde{K} g_j^{-1}.$$

Since the group $\widetilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ is connected, simply connected[9] and semisimple, the strong approximation theorem implies (see e.g. [59, Thm. 5.17]) that

$$\pi_0(X_K) \cong \widetilde{G}(F)_+ \backslash \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)/\widetilde{K} \cong \mathbb{A}^*/F^*_c \mathrm{Nspin}(\widetilde{K})$$

where F^*_c denote the closure of $F^* F^*_{\infty +}$ in $\mathbb{A}^*$.

We let $\Gamma_K = \Gamma_1$ and $Y_K = \Gamma_K \backslash D$ be the associated connected component of X_K. These are the arithmetic manifolds we are interested in. Note that the manifolds considered in the introduction are particular cases of these.

---

[9] Here we work in the algebraic category: connected means Zariski-connected and a semisimple group G is simply connected if any isogeny $G' \to G$ with G' connected is an isomorphism.

8.3. The group $\pi_0(X_K)$ acts on X_K by permutation of the connected components:

$$X_K = \sqcup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{A}^*/F_c^* \mathrm{Nspin}(\widetilde{K})} Y_K^\sigma.$$

For any $x \in \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$, let L be the projection of $x\widetilde{K}x^{-1}$ in $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. There is a natural map $X_K \to X_L$ given by right multiplication by x^{-1}. Its restriction to the connected component Y_K gives a map

$$Y_K \to Y_L^{\mathrm{Nspin}(x)}.$$

8.4. **Differential forms.** Let (ρ, E) be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $G_\infty = \mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$. Let $K_\infty = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$. The representation $\rho_{|K_\infty}$ on E gives rise to a G_∞-equivariant Hermitian bundle on D, namely, $(E \times G_\infty)/K_\infty$, where the K_∞-action (resp. G_∞-action) is given by $(v,g) \overset{k}{\mapsto} (\rho^*(k^{-1})v, gk)$ (resp. $(v,g) \overset{x}{\mapsto} (v, xg)$). There is, up to scaling, one G_∞-invariant Hermitian metric on E. We fix an inner product $(,)_E$ in this class. We denote this Hermitian vector bundle also by E. Note that this bundle is G_∞-equivariantly isomorphic to the trivial vector bundle $E \times D$, where the G_∞-action is via $x : (v, gK_\infty) \mapsto (\rho^*(x)v, xgK_\infty)$. Smooth sections of E are identified with maps $C^\infty(G,E)$ with the property that $f(gk) = \rho^*(k^{-1})f(g)$.

8.5. Now let $\Gamma_K = \Gamma_1$ as above and keep notations as in section 5 with G (resp. K) replaced by G_∞ (resp. K_∞). The bundle of E-valued differential k-forms on $Y_K = \Gamma_K \backslash D$ can be identified with the vector bundle associated with the K_∞-representation $\wedge^k \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes E$. Note that $\wedge^k \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes E$ is naturally endowed with a K_∞-invariant scalar product: the tensor product of $(,)_E$ with the scalar product on $\wedge^k \mathfrak{p}^*$ defined by the Riemannian metric on D. The space of differentiable E-valued k-forms on Y_K, denoted $\Omega^k(Y_K, E)$, is therefore identified with

$$\left(C^\infty(\Gamma_K \backslash G_\infty) \otimes E \otimes \wedge^k \mathfrak{p}^*\right)^{K_\infty} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}\left(\wedge^k \mathfrak{p}, C^\infty(\Gamma_K \backslash G_\infty) \otimes E\right).$$

A compactly supported element $\varphi \in \Omega^k(Y_K, E)$ defines a smooth map $\Gamma_K \backslash G_\infty \to \wedge^k \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes E$ which satisfies:

$$\varphi(gk) = \wedge^k \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*(k^{-1}) \otimes \rho^*(k^{-1})(\varphi(g)) \quad (g \in G_\infty, \quad k \in K_\infty)$$

so that the norm

$$\varphi \mapsto \int_{Y_K} ||\varphi(xK_\infty)||^2_{\wedge^k \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes E} dx$$

is well defined. The space of square integrable k-forms $\Omega^k_{(2)}(Y_K, E)$ is the completion of the space of compactly supported differentiable E-valued k-forms on Y_K with respect to this latter norm.

8.6. **The de Rham complex.** The de Rham differential

$$d : \Omega^k(Y_K, E) \to \Omega^{k+1}(Y_K, E)$$

turns $\Omega^\bullet(Y_K, E)$ into a complex. Let d^* be the formal adjoint. We refer to $dd^* + d^*d$ as the *Laplacian*. It extends to a self-adjoint non-negative densely defined elliptic operator $\Delta_k^{(2)}$ on $\Omega^k_{(2)}(Y_K, E)$, the form Laplacian. We let

$$\mathcal{H}^k(Y_K, E) = \{\omega \in \Omega^k_{(2)}(Y_K, E) \ : \ \Delta_k^{(2)}\omega = 0\}$$

be the space of harmonic k-forms. Hodge theory shows that $\mathcal{H}^k(Y_K, E)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{H}^k_{(2)}(Y_K, E)$ – the *reduced* L^2-cohomology group – when Y_K is compact the latter group is just $H^k(Y_K, E)$ the k-th cohomology group of the de Rham complex $\Omega^\bullet(Y_K, E)$. We will mainly work with $\mathcal{H}^k(Y_K, E)$.

8.7. Let (π, V_π) be an irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$-module and consider the linear map:

$$T_\pi : \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(\wedge^* \mathfrak{p}, V_\pi) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty}(V_\pi, L^2(\Gamma_K \backslash G_\infty) \otimes E) \to \Omega^k_{(2)}(Y_K, E)$$

which maps $\psi \otimes \varphi$ to $\varphi \circ \psi$. The image of T_π is either orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}^k(Y_K, E)$ or $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; V_\pi \otimes E) \neq 0$ i.e. π is cohomological. In the latter case we denote by $\mathcal{H}^k(Y_K, E)_\pi$ (resp. $\overline{H}^k_{(2)}(Y_K, E)_\pi$) the subspace of $\mathcal{H}^k(Y_K, E)$ (resp. $\overline{H}^k_{(2)}(Y_K, E)$) corresponding to the image of T_π.

A global representation $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ with K-invariant vectors and such that the restriction of σ_{v_0} to $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ is isomorphic to π, and σ_v is trivial for every infinite place $v \neq v_0$, contributes to

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty}(V_\pi, L^2(\Gamma_K \backslash G_\infty) \otimes E).$$

We denote by $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, E)_\pi$ the corresponding subspace of $\mathcal{H}^k(Y_K, E)_\pi$ (obtained using the map T_π).

Let $m_K(\pi)$ be the multiplicity with which π occurs as an irreducible cuspidal summand in $L^2(\Gamma_K \backslash G_\infty)$. It follows from Matsushima's formula, see e.g. [12], that

$$H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, E)_\pi \cong m_K(\pi) H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; V_\pi \otimes E).$$

8.8. Since X_K is a finite disjoint union of isometric copies of Y_K we may easily translate the above definitions into $\mathcal{H}^k(X_K, E)$, $\mathcal{H}^k(X_K, E)_\pi$, $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E)_\pi$, etc...

Moreover: each of these spaces inherits an action of the abelian group $\pi_0(X_K) = \mathbb{A}^*/F_c^* \mathrm{Nspin}(\widetilde{K})$. Therefore we have a decomposition:

$$H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E)_\pi = \bigoplus_\chi H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E)^\chi_\pi$$

into isotypical spaces for the characters χ of $\pi_0(X_K)$. These can be identified with characters of the profinite abelian group

$$\widehat{\pi}_0 = \varprojlim_K \pi_0(X_K).$$

Similarly we set[10]

$$H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi = \varinjlim_K H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E)_\pi$$

and

$$H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), E)_\pi = \varinjlim_K H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, E)_\pi.$$

Note that the former inherits an action of $\widehat{\pi}_0$ and as such has a decomposition:

$$H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi = \bigoplus_\chi H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)^\chi_\pi$$

into isotypical spaces for the characters χ of $\widehat{\pi}_0$.

Now the inclusion map $Y_K \to X_K$ yields a surjective map

$$H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E)_\pi \to H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, E)_\pi.$$

---

[10] These are only notations. We won't consider such spaces as $\mathrm{Sh}(G)$ or $\mathrm{Sh}^0(G)$.

As these inclusions have been chosen in a compatible way we get a surjective map:

$$H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi \to H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), E)_\pi.$$

8.9. **Twisting.** Let $\omega \in H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)^\chi_\pi$ and let K be an open compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that:

1. $\omega \in H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E)_\pi$;
2. χ is a character of $\pi_0(X_K)$.

Denoting by ω_0 the image of ω in $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), E)_\pi$ we have $\omega_0 \in H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, E)_\pi$; it is the restriction of ω to Y_K. Extending ω_0 by 0 on all the other components of X_K we may think of ω_0 as a cohomology class in $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E)_\pi$. Since $\pi_0(X_K)$ acts (simply transitively) on the set of connected components of X_K and therefore on $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E)_\pi$, we have:

$$\omega = \sum_{\sigma \in \pi_0(X_K)} \chi(\sigma^{-1})\sigma(\omega_0).$$

Now if η is any character of $\widehat{\pi}_0 = \lim_{\overleftarrow{K}} \pi_0(X_K)$ there exists a compact open subgroup $L \subset K$ such that η is a character of $\pi_0(X_L)$. Let $p : X_L \to X_K$ be the covering map corresponding to the inclusion $L \subset K$. The image of ω in $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_L, E)_\pi$ is $p^*\omega$; by a slight abuse of notations we still denote it by ω (which is more accurately an element in $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi$). Similarly the map p restricts to a covering map $p_0 : Y_L \to Y_K$ and we still denote by ω_0 its image $p_0^*\omega_0 \in H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_L, E)_\pi$. Extending ω_0 by 0 on all the other components of X_L we may think of ω_0 as a cohomology class in $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_L, E)_\pi$. We then define:

$$\omega_\eta = \sum_{\sigma \in \pi_0(X_L)} (\chi\eta)(\sigma^{-1})\sigma(\omega_0).$$

Note that $\omega_\eta \in H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)^{\chi\eta}_\pi$ maps to the same element as ω in $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), E)_\pi$.

8.10. **Cohomology classes arising from the θ-correspondence.** Fix (π, V_π) a cohomological irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$-module such that

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; V_\pi \otimes E) \neq 0.$$

Note that $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)$ is generated by the images of $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \sigma \otimes E)$ where σ varies among all irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occur as irreducible subspaces in the space of cuspidal automorphic functions in $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ and such that σ_v is the trivial representation for each infinite place $v \neq v_0$. We let

$$H^k_\theta(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E) \subset H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)$$

be the subspace generated by those $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ that are in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group, see §4.1. And we define

$$H^k_\theta(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi = H^k_\theta(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E) \cap H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi.$$

Remark. We can fix one choice of nontrivial additive character ψ: Every other nontrivial additive character is of the form $\psi_t : x \mapsto \psi(tx)$ for some $t \in F^*$. Notations being as in §2.4, one then easily checks that

$$\Theta^V_{\psi_t, X}(\pi') = \Theta^V_{\psi, X}(\pi'_t)$$

where the automorphic representation π'_t is obtained from twisting π' by an automorphism of $\mathrm{Mp}(X)$. We may thus drop explicit reference to ψ.

We can now state and prove the main theorem of this section.

8.11. **Theorem.** *Assume π is associated to a Levi subgroup $L = \mathrm{SO}(p-2r, q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$ with $m - 2r - 1 > \ell$. Then: the natural map*

$$H^k_\theta(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi \to H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), E)_\pi$$

is surjective.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 6.10 and Theorem 4.2 that $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi$ is generated by the images of $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; (\sigma \otimes \eta) \otimes E)$ where the representations $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ are in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group and such that the underlying $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$-module of σ_{v_0} is isomorphic to π, each σ_v (for $v \neq v_0$ infinite) is the trivial representation, and η varies among all automorphic characters of $G(\mathbb{A})$.

Note that $\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ acts on

$$\varinjlim_K \Omega^k(X_K, E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{p}, C^\infty(\widetilde{G}(F) \backslash (\mathrm{SO}(p,q) \times \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)) \otimes E)$$

by right translation. This yields actions on $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)$ and $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi$ that are easily seen to commute with the action of $\widehat{\pi}_0$. Indeed: if we denote by $\widetilde{G}_f$ the closure of $\widetilde{G}(F)_+$ in $\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$, the spinor norm Nspin identifies the quotient $\widetilde{G}(F)_+ \backslash \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ with the abelian group $\widehat{\pi}_0$. This allows to identify $C^\infty(\widetilde{G}(F) \backslash (\mathrm{SO}(p,q) \times \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)))$ with the tensor product

$$C^\infty(\widetilde{G}(F) \backslash (\mathrm{SO}(p,q) \times \widetilde{G}_f)) \otimes C^\infty(\widehat{\pi}_0) = \varprojlim_K \left[C^\infty(Y_K) \otimes C^\infty(\pi_0(X_K)) \right].$$

And the action of $\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ by right translation commutes with the action of $\widehat{\pi}_0$ through the regular representation in $C^\infty(\widehat{\pi}_0)$.

The image of each $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; (\sigma \otimes \eta) \otimes E)$ is therefore contained in an isotypical space $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)^\chi_\pi$. Moreover: the character η of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ induces a character of $\widehat{\pi}_0$ and if ω belongs to the image of $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; (\sigma \otimes \eta) \otimes E)$ in $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)_\pi$, then $\omega_{\eta^{-1}}$ belongs to the image of $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \sigma \otimes E)$. Theorem 8.11 now follows from §8.9 as ω and $\omega_{\eta^{-1}}$ have the same image in $H^k_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), E)_\pi$. $\square$

8.12. The following remark follows from Proposition 6.8.

Remark. If we assume Conjecture 6.4, then Theorem 8.11 remains valid as long as $p > 2r$ and $m - 1 > 3r$. As motivated in §6.12 we moreover believe that these conditions are necessary.

It is not true in general that the automorphic representations of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ that are in the image of the cuspidal theta corespondence from a smaller group are cuspidal. This is the reason why in the next theorem we assume that V is anisotropic.

One can therefore deduce from Theorem 8.11 the following:

8.13. **Theorem.** *Assume that V is anisotropic. Let r be a positive integer such that $m - 2r - 1 > \ell$ and let $\pi = A_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda)$ be a cohomological $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$ whose associated Levi subgroup L is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(p-2r, q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$ and such that λ has at most r nonzero entries. Then: the global theta correspondence induces an isomorphism between the space of cuspidal holomorphic Siegel modular forms, of weight*

$S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^r)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\frac{m}{2}}$ *at* v_0 *and of weight* $\mathbb{C}_{-\frac{m}{2}}$ *at all the others infinite places, on the* connected *Shimura variety associated to the symplectic group* $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}|_F$ *and the space* $H^{rq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_\pi$.

Proof. The surjectivity follows from Theorem 8.11 and Theorem 7.32. The injectivity follows from Rallis inner product formula [69]. In our case it is due to Li, see the proof [53, Theorem 1.1]. More precisely: let f_1, f_2 two cuspidal holomorphic Siegel modular forms of weight $(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^r)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\frac{m}{2}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\frac{m}{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\frac{m}{2}}$ on the connected Shimura variety associated to the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}|_F$. These are functions in $L^2(\mathrm{Mp}(X)\backslash \mathrm{Mp}_{2r}(\mathbb{A}))$ which respectively belong to the spaces of two cuspidal automorphic representations σ_1', $\sigma_2' \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{Mp}(X))$. And Rallis' inner product formula – as recalled in [53, §2] – implies that if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are functions in $\mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^r)$ then:

$$\langle \theta^{f_1}_{\psi,\phi_1}, \theta^{f_2}_{\psi,\phi_2} \rangle = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathrm{Mp}(\mathbb{A})} \langle \omega_\psi(h)\phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \langle \sigma'(h) f_1, f_2 \rangle dh & \text{if } \sigma_1' = \sigma_2' = \sigma', \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma_1' \neq \sigma_2'. \end{cases}$$

We are thus reduced to the case where $\sigma_1' = \sigma_2'$. But the integral on the right-hand side then decomposes as a product of local integrals. At each unramified finite place these are special (non-vanishing) values of local L-functions, see [53, §5]. It therefore remains to evaluate the remaining local factors. And Li proves that these are non-zero in our special case where σ'_{v_0} is a holomorphic discrete series of weight $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^r) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\frac{m}{2}}$ and σ_v (v infinite, $v \neq v_0$) is the trivial representation. □

9. Special cycles

9.1. **Notations.** We keep notations as in §8.1 and keep following the adelization [45] of the work of Kudla-Millson. We denote by $(,)$ the quadratic form on V and let n be an integer $0 \leq n \leq p$. Given an n-tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V^n$ we let $U = U(\mathbf{x})$ be the F-subspace of V spanned by the components of $\mathbf{x}$. We write $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ for the $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with ijth entry equal to (x_i, x_j). Assume $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is totally positive semidefinite of rank t. Equivalently: as a sub-quadratic space $U \subset V$ is totally positive definite of dimension t. In particular: $0 \leq t \leq p$ (and $t \leq n$). The constructions of the preceeding section can therefore be made with the space $U^\perp$ in place of V. Set $H = \mathrm{SO}(U^\perp)$. There is a natural morphism $H \to G$. Recall that we can realize D as the set of negative q-planes in V_{v_0}. We then let D_H be the subset of D consisting of those q-planes which lie in $U^\perp_{v_0}$.

9.2. **Special cycles with trivial coefficients.** Let $U = U(\mathbf{x})$ as above. Fix $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ a compact open subgroup. As in §8.2 we write

$$\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f) = \sqcup_j \widetilde{G}(F)_+ g_j \widetilde{K}.$$

Recall that

$$\Gamma'_{g_j} = \widetilde{G}(F)_+ \cap g_j \widetilde{K} g_j^{-1}.$$

We set

$$\Gamma'_{g_j,U} = \widetilde{H}(F)_+ \cap g_j \widetilde{K} g_j^{-1} = \widetilde{H}(F) \cap \Gamma'_{g_j}.$$

Let $\Gamma_{g_j,U}$ be the image of $\Gamma'_{g_j,U}$ in $\mathrm{SO}(p-t,q)_0$. We denote by $c(U, g_j, K)$ the image of the natural map

$$\Gamma_{g_j,U} \backslash D_H \to \Gamma_{g_j} \backslash D, \quad \Gamma_{g_j,U} z \mapsto \Gamma_{g_j} z. \tag{9.2.1}$$

Remark. For K small enough the map (9.2.1) is an embedding. The cycles $C_U := c(U, 1, K)$ are therefore connected totally geodesic codimension t submanifolds in

Y_K. These are the totally geodesic cycles of the introduction for the particular Y_K considered there.

9.3. We now introduce composite cycles as follows. For $\beta \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(F)$ totally positive semidefinite, we set

$$\Omega_\beta = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in V^n \ : \ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) = \beta \text{ and } \dim U(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{rank}\beta \right\}.$$

Then Γ'_{g_j} acts on $\Omega_\beta(F)$ with finitely many orbits. Given a K-invariant Schwartz function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A}_f)^n)$ we define

$$Z(\beta,\varphi,K) = \sum_j \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega_\beta(F) \\ \mathrm{mod}\ \Gamma'_{g_j}}} \varphi(g_j^{-1}\mathbf{x}) c(U(\mathbf{x}),g_j,K). \tag{9.3.1}$$

Let $t = \mathrm{rank}(\beta)$. Being rapidly decreasing any cuspidal $q(p-t)$-form can be integrated along $Z(\beta,\varphi,K)$. Using the canonical pairing between $H^{qt}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K)$ and $H^{q(p-t)}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K)$ we can thefore associate to $Z(\beta,\varphi,K)$ a class $[\beta,\varphi]^0 \in H^{qt}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K)$. We let

$$[\beta,\varphi] := [\beta,\varphi]^0 \wedge e_q^{n-t} \in H^{qn}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K)$$

where we abusively denote by e_q the Euler form (an invariant q-form) dual to the Euler class of section 5.

9.4. **Special cycles with nontrivial coefficients.** Following [26] we now promote the cycles (9.3.1) to cycles with coefficients.

Let λ be a dominant weight for G expressed as in §5.10. Assume that λ has at most n nonzero entries and that $n \leq p$. Then λ defines a dominant weight $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$ of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ and as such a finite dimensional irreducible representation $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ and thus of K'. As above we denote by $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ the finite dimensional irreducible representation of G with highest weight λ.

Fix a neat level K so that each $\Gamma_{g_j,U(\mathbf{x})}$ in (9.3.1) acts trivially on $U(\mathbf{x})$. The components $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ of each $\mathbf{x}$ are therefore all fixed by $\Gamma_{g_j,U(\mathbf{x})}$. Hence any tensor word in these components will be fixed by $\Gamma_{g_j,U(\mathbf{x})}$. Given a tableau T on λ, see [24],[11] we set

$$c(U(\mathbf{x}),g_j,K)_T = c(U(\mathbf{x}),g_j,K) \otimes \mathbf{x}_T.$$

Here $\mathbf{x}_T \in S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ is the harmonic tensor corresponding to T. We can similarly define $Z(\beta,\varphi,K)_T$ as a cycle with coefficient in $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$. We let $[\beta,\varphi]^0_T$, resp. $[\beta,\varphi]_T$, be the corresponding element in $H^{qt}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))$, resp. $H^{qn}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))$.

We finally define

$$[\beta,\varphi]_\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), H^{qn}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))$$

as the linear map defined by

$$[\beta,\varphi]_\lambda(\epsilon_T) = [\beta,\varphi]_T$$

where $(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n)$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and ϵ_T is the standard basis of $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ parametrized by the tableaux on λ.

---

[11] Note that a tableau is called a semistandard filling in [26].

9.5. **Back to the forms of Kudla-Millson and Funke-Millson.** Recall from §7.13 that we have defined an element

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} \in \mathrm{Hom}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}, \mathbf{S}(V(F_{v_0})^n) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V))).$$

The quotient space

$$\widehat{D} := G(F_{v_0})/K_\infty \cong \mathrm{SO}(p,q)/(\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q))$$

is disconnected and is the disjoint union of two copies of D. We let $\Omega^\bullet(\widehat{D}, S_{[\lambda]}(V))$ denote the complex of smooth $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$-valued differentiable forms on $\widehat{D}$:

$$\Omega^\bullet(\widehat{D}) = \left[C^\infty(G(F_{v_0})) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{p}^*\right]^{K_\infty}.$$

Fixing the base point $z_0 = eK$ in $\widehat{D}$, we have an isomorphism

(9.5.1)

$$\left[\mathbf{S}(V(F_{v_0})^n) \otimes \Omega^{nq}(\widehat{D}, S_{[\lambda]}(V))\right]^{G(F_{v_0})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left[\mathbf{S}(V(F_{v_0})^n) \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V) \otimes \wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}^*\right]^{K_\infty},$$

given by evaluating at z_0. We therefore see $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ as an element

$$\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), \left[\mathbf{S}(V(F_{v_0})^n) \otimes \Omega^{nq}(\widehat{D}, S_{[\lambda]}(V))\right]^{G(F_{v_0})}\right).$$

9.6. Consider now a positive definite inner product space V_+ of dimension m over $\mathbb{R}$. We may still consider the Schwartz form $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(V_+^n)$. Recall that through the identification of the Fock space as a subspace of the Schwartz space we get:

$$\varphi_0(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-\pi \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})).$$

Then, under the Weil representation ω_+ of $\mathrm{Mp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ associated to V_+, we have

$$\omega_+(k')\varphi_0 = \det(k')^{\frac{m}{2}}\varphi_0.$$

If $\mathbf{x} \in V_+^n$ with $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) = \beta \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$, then for $g' \in \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ we set

$$W_\beta(g') = \omega_+(g')\varphi_0(\mathbf{x}). \tag{9.6.1}$$

9.7. **Dual forms.** Now we return to the global situation. Let n be an integer with $1 \leq n \leq p$. We fix a level K and a K-invariant Schwartz function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A}_f)^n)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}(9.7.1)\quad \phi = \varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} \otimes \Big(\bigotimes_{\substack{v|\infty \\ v \neq v_0}} \varphi_0\Big) \otimes \varphi \\ \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), \left[\mathbf{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^n) \otimes \Omega^{nq}(\widehat{D}, S_{[\lambda]}(V))\right]^{G(F_{v_0})}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We may then form the theta function $\theta_{\psi,\phi}(g,g')$ as in §2.3. As a function of g it defines a $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$-valued closed nq-form on X_K which we abusively denote by $\theta_{nq,\lambda}(g',\varphi)$. Let $[\theta_{nq,\lambda}(g',\varphi)]$ be the (projection of the) corresponding class in $\mathrm{Hom}(S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*))$.

For $g' \in \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})^d \subset \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ and for $\beta \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(F)$ with $\beta \geq 0$, set

$$W_\beta(g') = \prod_{v|\infty} W_{\beta_v}(g'_v).$$

The following result is proved by Funke and Millson [26, Theorems 7.6 and 7.7]; it is a generalization to twisted coefficients of the main theorem of [48]. The way we rephrase it here in the adelic language is due to Kudla [45]. Recall that rapidly decreasing $q(n-p)$-forms can be paired with degree nq cohomology classes. We denote by $\langle , \rangle$ this pairing.

9.8. **Proposition.** *As a function of $g' \in \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ the cohomology class $[\theta_{nq,\lambda}(g',\varphi)]$ is a holomorphic Siegel modular form of weight $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\frac{m}{2}}$ with coefficients in $H^{qn}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, E^*_\lambda)$. Moreover: for any rapidly decreasing closed $q(p-n)$-form η on X_K with values in $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$, for any element $g' \in \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})^d \subset \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ and for any K-invariant map $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A}_f)^n)$ the Fourier expansion of $\langle [\theta_{nq,\lambda}(g',\varphi)], \eta \rangle$ is given by*

$$\langle [\theta_{nq,\lambda}(g',\varphi)], \eta \rangle = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \langle [\beta,\varphi]_\lambda, \eta \rangle W_\beta(g').$$

9.9. **Definition.** We let

$$H^{nq}_{\theta_{nq,\lambda}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$$

be the subspace of $H^{nq}_\theta(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ generated by those $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ that are in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ where the infinite components φ_v of the global Schwartz function ϕ satisfies

$$\varphi_{v_0} = \varphi_{nq,[\lambda]} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_v = \varphi_0, \ v|\infty, \ v \neq v_0.$$

We will call the corresponding map from the space of Siegel modular forms tensored with the Schwartz space of the finite adeles to automorphic forms for $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ the *special* theta lift and the correspondence between Siegel modular forms and automorphic forms for $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ the special theta correspondence. We will denote the special theta lift evaluated on $f' \otimes \varphi$ by $\theta_{nq,\lambda}(f' \otimes \varphi)$.

10. Main theorem

10.1. **Notations.** We keep notations as in the preceeding paragraphs. In particular we let λ be a dominant weight for G expressed as in §5.10. We assume that λ has at most n nonzero entries and that $n \leq p$. We let $\mathfrak{q}$ be the θ-stable parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ described in §7.19.

We let $SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))$ be the subspace of $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ spanned by the projection on the relevant K_∞-type of the images of the classes $[\beta,\varphi]_\lambda$.

10.2. Let K be a compact-open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Any K-invariant classes in $SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))$ defines a class in $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$. And it follows from [45, Corollary 5.11] that

$$SC^{nq}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V)) := SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))^K$$

is precisely the subset of $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ spanned by the projections of the images of the classes $[\beta,\varphi]_\lambda$ for K-invariant functions φ.

Note that if $\mathbf{x} \in V^n$ and $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})$ is totally positive semidefinite of rank $t \leq n$, the wedge product with e_q^{n-t} of the special cycle with coefficients $c(U(\mathbf{x}),1,K)_T$ defines a class in $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))$. We let $Z^{nq}(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))$ be the subspace of $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ spanned by the projections of these classes. The restriction map $X_K \to Y_K$ (restriction to a connected component) obviously yields a map

$$SC^{nq}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V)) \to Z^{nq}(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V)). \tag{10.2.1}$$

We don't know in general if this map is surjective or not. It will nevertheless follow from Theorem 10.10 that in small degree the map (10.2.1) is indeed surjective.

10.3. **The special theta lift is onto the $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$-isotypic component of the image of the general theta lift.** In this subsection we carry out what was called step 2 in the introduction. This subsection is the analogue for general $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ of subsections 6.8 - 6.11 of [33]. In particular we now recall their Lemma 6.9. We need the following definition of the complex linear antiautomorphism $Z \to Z^*$ of $U(\mathfrak{g}')$. For $Z \in U(\mathfrak{g}')$ with $Z = X_1X_2\cdots X_n$ we define

$$Z^* = (-1)^n X_n X_{n-1} \cdots X_1.$$

Now for general Schwartz functions φ and Siegel automorphic forms f we have the following

10.4. **Lemma.** *For $Z \in U(\mathfrak{g}')$ we have*

$$\theta(Zf,\varphi) = \theta(f, Z^*\varphi).$$

Proof. See [33, Lemma 6.9]. □

We now show that the projected *special* theta lift $\theta_{nq,\lambda}$ is onto $H^{nq}_{\theta}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ that is we have:

10.5. **Theorem.**

$$H^{nq}_{\theta_{nq,\lambda}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)} = H^{nq}_{\theta}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}.$$

Proof. In what follows we will use the following simple observation to produce the commutative diagram (10.5.3) below. Suppose H is a group and we have H-modules A, B, U, V. Suppose further that we have H-module homomorphisms $\Phi : U \to V$ and $\Psi : B \to A$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_H(A,U) \arrow[r, "\Phi_*"] \arrow[d, "\Psi^*"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(A,V) \arrow[d, "\Psi^*"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}(B,U) \arrow[r, "\Phi_*"] & \mathrm{Hom}(B,V)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{10.5.1}$$

Here Φ_* is postcomposition with Φ and Ψ^* is precomposition with Ψ.

In what follows H will be the group K_∞. We now define K_∞-module homomorphisms Φ and Ψ that we will concern us here. We begin with the $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$-module homomorphism Φ. Let $H_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ be the subspace of smooth vectors in $L^2(G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\, G(\mathbb{A}))$ which is the sum of the spaces H_σ of those representations $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ such that

- $\sigma_{v_0}|_{\mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)} = A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$.
- σ_v is the trivial representation for all the infinite places $v \neq v_0$ (note that at such places v we have $G(F_v) \cong \mathrm{SO}(p+q)$).
- σ is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from $\mathrm{Mp}(X)$.

As explained below Corollary 6.10, Remark 7.40 forces the dimension of the symplectic space X to be exactly $2n$. We now realize $H_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ as a subspace in

$$L^2(\widetilde{G}(F)\backslash \mathrm{SO}_0(p,q) \times \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)).$$

As explained in §8.7 we have:

$$H^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)} \cong H^{nq}(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty, H_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)} \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)).$$

But by Proposition 5.4 of [79] we have

$$H^{nq}(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty, H_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)} \otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n,\lambda), H_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}). \tag{10.5.2}$$

Now let π_0' (resp. π') be the holomorphic discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ with lowest K-type (having highest weight) $S_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\frac{m}{2}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}_{\frac{m}{2}}$). As recalled in §4.1 the lowest K_∞-type $V(n,\lambda)$ has a canonical lift $\widetilde{V(n,\lambda)}$ to $\mathrm{O}(p)\times\mathrm{O}(q)$. We let $\widetilde{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ be the unique irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{O}(p,q)$ with lowest K-type $\widetilde{V(n,\lambda)}$ and the same infinitesimal character as $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$, see [32, §6.1] for more details. It follows from [53] that π_0' corresponds to $\widetilde{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ under the local theta correspondence $\mathrm{Mp}(2n,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{O}(p,q)$ and that π' corresponds to the trivial representation of $\mathrm{O}(p+q)$ under the local theta correspondence $\mathrm{Mp}(2n,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{O}(p+q)$. Let $H'_{\pi_0'}$ be the subspace of $L^2(\mathrm{Mp}(2n,\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathrm{Mp}(2n,\mathbb{A}))$ which is the sum of the subspaces of smooth vectors $H'_{\sigma'}$ of those representations $\sigma'\in\mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{Mp}(X))$ such that

- $\sigma'_{v_0}=\pi'_0$.
- $\sigma'_v=\pi'$ for all the infinite places $v\neq v_0$ (note that at such places v we have $G(F_v)\cong\mathrm{SO}(p+q)$).

We realize the oscillator representation as a $(\mathfrak{g},K_\infty)\times G(\mathbb{A}_f)$-module in the subspace

$$\mathbf{S}(V(F_{v_0})^n)\times\mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A}_f)^n)\subset\mathbf{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^n).$$

Here the inclusion maps an element (φ_∞,φ) of the right-hand side to

$$\phi=\varphi_\infty\otimes\big(\bigotimes_{\substack{v\mid\infty\\ v\neq v_0}}\varphi_0\big)\otimes\varphi$$

where the factors φ_0 at the infinite places v not equal to v_0 are Gaussians, the unique element (up to scalar multiples) of the Fock space $\mathcal{P}(V(F_v)^n)$ which is fixed by the compact group $\mathrm{SO}(V(F_v))$. We abusively write elements of $\mathbf{S}(V(F_{v_0})^n)\times\mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A}_f)^n)$ as $\varphi_\infty\otimes\varphi$.

From now on we abreviate $H=H_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$, $H'=H'_{\pi_0'}$ and

$$\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{S}(V(F_{v_0})^n)\times\mathcal{S}(V(\mathbb{A}_f)^n).$$

It follows from the definition of the global theta lift (see §2.4) that for any $f\in H'$ and $\phi\in\mathbf{S}$ the map $f\otimes\phi\mapsto\theta^f_{\psi,\phi}$ is a $(\mathfrak{g},K_\infty)$-module homomorphism from $H'\otimes\mathbf{S}$ to the space H. We will drop the dependence of ψ henceforth and abbreviate this map to θ whence $f\otimes\phi\mapsto\theta(f\otimes\phi)$. Then in the diagram (10.5.1) we take $U=H'\otimes\mathbf{S}$ and $V=H$ and $\Phi=\theta$.

We now define the map Ψ. We will take A as above to be the vector space $\wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p})\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*$, the vector space B to be the submodule $V(n,\lambda)$ and Ψ to be the inclusion $i_{V(n,\lambda)}:V(n,\lambda)\to\wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p})\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*$ (note that there is a unique embedding up to scalars and the scalars are not important here).

From the general diagram (10.5.1) we obtain the desired commutative diagram (10.5.3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H'\otimes\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(\wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p})\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*,\mathbf{S}) \arrow[r, "\theta_*"] \arrow[d, "i^*_{V(n,\lambda)}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(\wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p})\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*,H) \arrow[d, "i^*_{V(n,\lambda)}"] \\
H'\otimes\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n,\lambda),\mathbf{S}) \arrow[r, "\theta_*"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n,\lambda),H)=H^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G),S_{[\lambda]}(V))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then

$$H^{nq}_\theta(\mathrm{Sh}(G),S_{[\lambda]}(V))=\mathrm{Image}(i^*_{V(n,\lambda)}\circ\theta_*).$$

Now we examine the diagram. Since $V(n,\lambda)$ is a summand, the map on the left is *onto*. Also by Corollary 5.6 the map on the right is an isomorphism.

We now define $U_{\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}}$ to be the affine subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(\wedge^{nq}(\mathfrak{p})\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*,\mathbf{S})$ defined by $\varphi_\infty = \varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$.

The theorem is then equivalent to the equation

$$i^*_{V_{nq,\lambda}}\circ\theta_*(H'\otimes U_{\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}}) = \mathrm{Image}(i^*_{V_{nq,\lambda}}\circ\theta_*). \tag{10.5.4}$$

Since the above diagram is commutative, equation (10.5.4) holds if and only if we have

$$\theta_*\circ i^*_{V_{nq,\lambda}}(H'\otimes U_{\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}}) = \mathrm{Image}(i^*_{V_{nq,\lambda}}\circ\theta_*) = H^{nq}_\theta(\mathrm{Sh}(G),S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}. \tag{10.5.5}$$

Put $\overline{U}_{\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}} = i^*_{V_{nq,\lambda}}(U_{\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}})$. Since the left-hand vertical arrow $i^*_{V(n,\lambda)}$ is onto, equation (10.5.5) holds if and only if

$$\theta_*(H'\otimes\overline{U}_{\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}}) = \theta_*(H'\otimes\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n,\lambda),\mathbf{S})). \tag{10.5.6}$$

We now prove equation (10.5.6).

To this end let $\xi\in\theta_*(H'\otimes\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n,\lambda),\mathbf{S}))$. Hence, by definition, there exists $\phi=\varphi_\infty\otimes\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n,\lambda),\mathbf{S})$ and $f\in H'$ such that

$$\theta_*(f\otimes\phi)=\xi. \tag{10.5.7}$$

We claim that in equation (10.5.7) (up to replacing the component f_{v_0}) we may replace the factor φ_∞ of ϕ by $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$ without changing the right-hand side ξ of equation (10.5.7). Indeed by Theorem 7.32 there exists $Z\in U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ such that

$$\varphi_{v_0} = Z\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}. \tag{10.5.8}$$

Now by Lemma 10.4 (with slightly changed notation) we have

$$\theta_*(f\otimes Z\phi) = \theta_*(Z^*f\otimes\phi). \tag{10.5.9}$$

Hence setting $f'=Z^*f$ we obtain, for all $f\in H'$,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= \theta_*(f\otimes(\varphi_\infty\otimes\varphi))\\ &= \theta_*(f\otimes(Z\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}\otimes\varphi))\\ &= \theta_*(Z^*f\otimes(\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}\otimes\varphi))\\ &= \theta_*(f'\otimes(\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}\otimes\varphi)).\end{aligned} \tag{10.5.10}$$

We conclude that the image of the space $H'\otimes U_{\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}}$ under θ_* coincides with the image of $H'\otimes\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n,\lambda),\mathbf{S})$ as required.

□

Remark. The reader will observe that equation (10.5.2) plays a key role in the paper. Roughly speaking, it converts problems concerning the functor

$$H^{nq}(\mathfrak{g},K_\infty,\bullet\otimes S_{[\lambda]}(V))$$

on $(\mathfrak{g},K_\infty)$-modules to problems concerning the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n,\lambda),\bullet)$. The first functor is not exact whereas the second is.

10.6. **Special cycles span.** In this section we will prove that the special cycles span at any fixed level. First as a consequence of Theorem 10.5 we have:

10.7. **Proposition.** *We have an inclusion*

$$H^{nq}_{\theta}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)} \subset SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V).$$

Proof. By Theorem 10.5, in the statement of Proposition 10.7 we may replace

$$H^{nq}_{\theta}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$$

by

$$H^{nq}_{\theta_{nq,\lambda}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}.$$

We then use proposition 9.8. Since cusp forms are rapidly decreasing we can pair classes in $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))$ with classes in $H^{(n-p)q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*)$. We denote by $\langle , \rangle$ this pairing. It is a perfect pairing. Hence letting $SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))^{\perp}$ and $H^{nq}_{\theta}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))^{\perp}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$ denote the respective annihilators in $H^{(n-p)q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*)$ it suffices to prove

$$SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))^{\perp} \subset H^{nq}_{\theta}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))^{\perp}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}. \tag{10.7.1}$$

To this end let $\eta \in SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))^{\perp} \subset H^{(p-n)q}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V)^*)$. Assume η is K-invariant for some level K. It then follows from proposition 9.8 (see [26, Theorem 7.7] in the classical setting) that for $g' \in \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})^d \subset \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ the Fourier expansion of the Siegel modular form

$$\theta_{\varphi}(\eta) := \langle [\theta_{nq,\lambda}(g', \varphi)], \eta \rangle (= \int_{X_K} \theta_{nq,\lambda}(g', \varphi) \wedge \eta)$$

is given by

$$\theta_{\varphi}(\eta) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \langle [\beta, \varphi]_{\lambda}, \eta \rangle W_{\beta}(g').$$

In particular: since η is orthogonal to the subspace

$$SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V)) \subset H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))$$

generated by the projections of the forms in the image of $[\beta, \varphi]_{\lambda}$ then all the Fourier coefficients of the Siegel modular form $\theta_{\varphi}(\eta)$ vanish and therefore $\theta_{\varphi}(\eta) = 0$. But then for any $f \in H_{\sigma'}$ $(\sigma' \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{Mp}(X)))$, we have:

$$\int_{X_K} \eta \wedge \theta(f, \varphi)[\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}] = \int_{\mathrm{Mp}(X) \backslash \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})} \theta_{\varphi}(\eta) f(g') dg' = 0.$$

This forces η to be orthogonal to all forms $\theta(f, \varphi)[\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}]$ hence we have verified equation (10.7.1) and hence proved the proposition. □

10.8. *Remark.* We do not know if the reverse inclusion holds in proposition 10.7. It obviously follows from theorem 8.11 that it holds when $2n < m - \ell - 1$ but the relation between cycles and θ-lifts is less transparent near the middle degree, we address this problem in conjecture 15.10.

10.9. Now Proposition 10.7 and Theorem 8.11 imply[12] that if $2n < m - \ell - 1$ the natural map

$$SC^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V)) \to H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$$

is surjective.

Taking invariants under a compact open subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ we get the following:

10.10. **Theorem.** *Suppose $2n < m - \ell - 1$. Then, for any compact open subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, the natural map*

$$SC^{nq}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V)) \to H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$$

is surjective. In particular:

$$Z^{nq}(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V)) = H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}.$$

10.11. *Remark.* If we assume Conjecture 6.4 then Theorem 10.10 remains valid as long as $p > 2n$ and $m-1 > 3n$. As motivated in §6.12 we moreover believe that these conditions are necessary. The decomposition of $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ into irreducible automorphic representations yields a decomposition of $H^\bullet(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))$ and, when $m - 1 \leq 3n$, one may try to classify which automorphic representations contribute to the part of the cohomology generated by special cycles. This is adressed in Theorem 15.9 and Conjecture 15.10.

Part 4. **Applications**

11. Hyperbolic manifolds

11.1. **Notations.** Let notations be as in the preceeding section. Assume moreover that G is anisotropic over F and that $q = 1$. For any compact open subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, the connected component Y_K is therefore a closed congruence hyperbolic p-manifold. These are called "of simple type" in the introduction. We point out that in that case the Euler form is trivial (q is odd) so that special cycles are totally geodesic cycles.

11.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** First note that if $n < \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$ then $2n < m - \ell - 1$ so that Theorem 10.10 applies. We consider the case where $\lambda = 0$.

Let $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be a compact open subgroup. Since Y_K is closed the cohomology of Y_K is the same as its cuspidal cohomology. And it follows from the first example of §5.10 that $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(0)$ – with $\mathfrak{q}$ as in §7.19 – is the unique cohomological module with trivial coefficients which occurs in degree n. The natural projection map

$$H^n(Y_K, \mathbb{C}) \to H^n_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, \mathbb{C})_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(0)}$$

is therefore an isomorphism and we conclude from Theorem 10.10 that $H^n(Y_K, \mathbb{C})$ is spanned by the classes of the special (totally geodesic) cycles. As these define *rational* cohomology classes Theorem 1.5 follows.

Remark. If we assume Conjecture 6.4 then Theorem 1.5 remains valid as long as $n < \frac{p}{3}$. As motivated in §6.12, this is certainly the best possible bound in general as cohomology classes of 3-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds are not generated by classes of special classes in general, see Proposition 15.14 for an explicit counterexample when $p = 3$ and $n = 1$.

---

[12] Note that the $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$-module $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is associated to the Levi subgroup $L = \mathrm{SO}(p-2n, q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^n$.

11.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.7.** First note that if $p \geq 6$ then $m - \ell - 1 > 2$ so that Theorem 10.10 applies. We consider the case where $\lambda = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ or $(2, 0, \ldots, 0)$. In the first case $S_{[\lambda]}(V) \cong \mathbb{C}^{p+1}$ and in the second case $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ is isomorphic to the complexification of $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})$ – the space of harmonic (for the Minkowski metric) degree two polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$.

Let $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be a compact open subgroup. Since Y_K is closed the cohomology of Y_K is the same as its cuspidal cohomology. And it follows from the second example of §5.10 that $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ – with $\mathfrak{q}$ as in §7.19 – is the unique cohomological module with coefficients in $S_{[\lambda]}(V)$ which occurs in degree 1. The natural projection map

$$H^1(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V)) \to H^1_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$$

is therefore an isomorphism and we conclude from Theorem 10.10 that $H^1(Y_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))$ is spanned by the classes of the special cycles. As these define *real* cohomology classes Theorem 1.7 follows.

Remark. If we assume Conjecture 6.4 then Theorem 1.7 remains valid as long as $p \geq 4$.

12. SHIMURA VARIETIES ASSOCIATED TO $\mathrm{O}(p, 2)$

12.1. **Notations.** Let notations be as in section 10. Assume moreover that G is anisotropic over F and that $q = 2$. For any compact open subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, the connected component Y_K is therefore a closed projective complex manifold of (complex) dimension p. These are the connected Shimura varieties associated to $\mathrm{O}(p, 2)$ in the introduction. We consider the case where $\lambda = 0$.

12.2. **The Lefschetz class.** In that setting D is a bounded symmetric domain in $\mathbb{C}^p$. Let $k(z_1, z_2)$ be its Bergmann kernel function and $\Omega(z) = \partial\overline{\partial} \log k(z, z)$ the associated Kähler form. For each compact open subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, $\frac{1}{2i\pi}\Omega$ induces a $(1,1)$-form on Y_K which is the Chern form of the canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{Y_K}$ of Y_K. As such it defines a *rational* cohomology class dual to (a possible rational multiple of) a complex subvariety: given a projective embedding this is the class of (a possible rational multiple of) a hyperplane section. Moreover: the cup product with $\frac{1}{2i\pi}\Omega$ induces the Lefschetz operator

$$L : H^k(Y_K, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{k+2}(Y_K, \mathbb{Q})$$

on cohomology. This is the same operator as the multiplication by the Euler form e_2.

12.3. According to the Hard Lefschetz theorem the map

$$L^k : H^{p-k}(Y_K, \mathbb{C}) \to H^{p+k}(Y_K, \mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism; and if we define the *primitive* cohomology

$$H^{p-k}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K, \mathbb{C}) = \ker(L^{k+1} : H^{p-k}(Y_K, \mathbb{C}) \to H^{p+k+2}(Y_K, \mathbb{C}))$$

then we have the *Lefschetz decomposition*

$$H^m(Y_K, \mathbb{C}) = \oplus_k L^k H^{m-2k}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K, \mathbb{C}).$$

The Lefschetz decomposition is compatible with the Hodge decomposition. In particular we set:

$$H^{n,n}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K,\mathbb{C}) = H^{n,n}(Y_K,\mathbb{C}) \cap H^{2n}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K,\mathbb{C}).$$

And it follows from the third example of §5.10 that

$$H^{n,n}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K,\mathbb{C}) = H^{2n}(Y_K,\mathbb{C})_{A_{n,n}}.$$

12.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.9.** First note that if $n < \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{p+1}{2}\right]$ then $2n < m-\ell-1$ so that Theorem 10.10 applies. Note moreover that $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(0) = A_{n,n}$.

Let $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be a compact open subgroup. Since Y_K is closed the cohomology of Y_K is the same as its cuspidal cohomology. The natural projection map

$$H^{2n}(Y_K,\mathbb{C}) \to H^{2n}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(Y_K,\mathbb{C})_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(0)}$$

is nothing else but the projection onto $H^{n,n}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K,\mathbb{C})$ and we conclude from Theorem 10.10 that $H^{n,n}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K,\mathbb{C})$ is spanned by the projection of the classes of the special cycles. Those are complex subvarieties of Y_K.

To conclude the proof we recall that

$$H^{n,n}(Y_K,\mathbb{C}) = \oplus_k L^k H^{n-k,n-k}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K,\mathbb{C}).$$

And since $n-k \leq n$ the preceeding paragraph applies to show that $H^{n-k,n-k}_{\mathrm{prim}}(Y_K,\mathbb{C})$ is spanned by the projection of classes of complex subvarieties of Y_K. As wedging with L^k amounts to take the intersection with another complex subvariety we conclude that the whole $H^{n,n}(Y_K,\mathbb{C})$ is spanned by the classes of complex subvarieties of Y_K. These are rational classes we can therefore conclude that every rational cohomology class of type (n,n) on Y_K is a linear combination with rational coefficients of the cohomology classes of complex subvarieties of Y_K.

12.5. *Remarks.* If we assume Conjecture 6.4 then Theorem 1.9 remains valid as long as $n < \frac{p+1}{3}$. This is in accordance with the main result of [33].

13. Arithmetic manifolds associated to $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$

13.1. In the general case where $G = \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ we can proceed as in the preceeding section to deduce Corollary 1.14 from Theorem 1.13 and Proposition 5.16. Note however that in this general case we have to use both totally geodesic cycles associated to $\mathrm{SO}(p-n,q)$ (related to cohomological representations associated to a Levi of the form $L = C \times \mathrm{SO}_0(p-2n,q)$) and totally geodesic cycles associated to $\mathrm{SO}(p,q-n)$ (related to cohomological representations associated to a Levi of the form $L = C \times \mathrm{SO}_0(p,q-2n)$).

13.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.20.** Recall that the arithmetic manifold $Y = Y_K$ is associated to a non-degenerate quadratic space $(V,(\,,\,))$ over a totally real field F. Consider an totally imaginary quadratic extension L/F and let τ be the corresponding Galois involution. Then

$$h(x,y) = (x,\tau(y)) \quad (x,y \in V \otimes_F L)$$

defines a non-degenerate hermitian form. We let U be the the corresponding special unitary group $\mathrm{SU}(h)$. Then U is defined over F and $U(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SU}(p,q) \times \mathrm{SU}(m)^{d-1}$ where d is the degree of F.

We define $\mathrm{Sh}(U)$ as in the orthogonal case and consider $S_\lambda(V)$ as finite U-module (here V is complexified). The group $\mathrm{U}(h)$ is a member of a reductive dual pair

$$\mathrm{U}(W) \times \mathrm{U}(h) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(V \otimes W)$$

where $\mathrm{U}(W)$ is the centralizer of J (our choice of positive definite complex structure on W). In this way we can define the (ψ-)theta correspondence from $\mathrm{U}(W)$ to $\mathrm{U}(h)$ where the test functions ϕ still vary in $\mathbf{S}(V(\mathbb{A})^n)$.

At the place v_0 we have defined the Kazhdan form ψ_q, see §7.15. We set

$$\psi_{nq,\ell} = \underbrace{(\psi_q \wedge \ldots \wedge \psi_q)}_{n \text{ times}} \cdot \varphi_{0,\ell}$$

and

$$\psi_{nq,\lambda} = (1 \otimes \pi_\lambda) \circ \psi_{nq,\ell}(\bullet) \circ \iota_\lambda,$$

where $\pi_\lambda : V^{\otimes \ell} \to S_\lambda(V)$ is the natural projection. We may therefore define

$$H^{nq,0}_{\theta_{nq,\lambda}}(\mathrm{Sh}(U), S_\lambda(V))$$

as the subspace of $H^{nq,0}(\mathrm{Sh}(U), S_\lambda(V))$ generated by those $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^c(\mathrm{SU}(h))$ that are in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from $\mathrm{U}(W)$ where the infinite components φ_v of the global Schwartz function ϕ satisfies

$$\varphi_{v_0} = \psi_{nq,\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_v = \varphi_0, \ v|\infty, \ v \neq v_0.$$

We consider the natural map

$$H^{nq,0}_{\theta_{nq,\lambda}}(\mathrm{Sh}(U), S_\lambda(V)) \to H^{nq}_{\theta_{nq,\lambda}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}. \tag{13.2.1}$$

obtained by composing the restriction map with the projection $\pi_{[\lambda]}$ onto the harmonic tensors.

13.3. **Lemma.** *The map* (13.2.1) *is onto.*

Proof. This follows immediately from two facts: First the reductive dual pairs $(\mathrm{U}(W), \mathrm{U}(h))$ and $(\mathrm{Mp}(W), \mathrm{SO}(V))$ form a see-saw pair, in the terminology of Kudla [44]. Secondly, the restriction of the Kazhdan form $\psi_{nq,\lambda}$ composed with the projection $\pi_{[\lambda]}$ onto the harmonic tensors is equal to $\varphi_{nq,[\lambda]}$, see §7.15. □

It therefore follows from Theorem 10.5 and Theorem 8.11 that if $2n < m - \ell - 1$, the natural map:

$$H^{nq,0}(\mathrm{Sh}(U), S_\lambda(V)) \to H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}^0(G), S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)}$$

is surjective. Taking invariants under a compact open subgroup $L \subset U(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that $L \cap G(F) = K$ we get Theorem 1.20 with

$$Y^{\mathbb{C}} = \Lambda_L \backslash D^{\mathbb{C}},$$

where

$$D^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{SU}(p,q)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(q))$$

and Λ_L is the image of $U(F) \cap L$ inside $\mathrm{SU}(p,q)$.

14. Growth of Betti numbers

14.1. **Notations.** Let F be a totally real field and $\mathcal{O}$ its ring of integer. Let V be a nondegenerate quadratic space over F with $\dim_F V = m$. We assume that $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ is anisotropic over F and compact at all but one infinite place. We denote by v_0 the infinite place where $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is non compact and assume that $G(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p,1)$. We fix L an integral lattice in V and let $\Phi = G(\mathcal{O})$ be the subgroup of $G(F)$ consisting of those elements that take L into itself. We let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{O}$ and let $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mathfrak{b})$ be the congruence subgroup of Φ of level $\mathfrak{b}$ (that is, the elements of Φ that are congruent to the identity modulo $\mathfrak{b}$). We let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ which we assume to be prime to $\mathfrak{b}$. Set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k) = \Gamma(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p}^k)$. The quotients $Y_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k)}$ are real hyperbolic p-manifolds and, as first explained in [20], the combination of Theorem 8.11 and works of Cossutta [19, Theorem 2.16] and Cossutta-Marshall [20, Theorem 1] implies the following strengthening of a conjecture of Sarnak and Xue [70] in this case.

14.2. **Theorem.** *Suppose* $i < \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$. *Then:*

$$b_i(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k)) \ll \mathrm{vol}(Y_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k)})^{\frac{2i}{p}}.$$

Proof. Cossutta and Marshall prove this inequality with $b_i(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k))$ replaced by the dimension of the part of $H^i(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k))$ which come from θ-lift. But it follows from the first example of §5.10 that only one cohomological module can contribute to $H^i(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k))$ and since $i < \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$ it follows from Theorem 8.11 that the classes which come from θ-lifts generate $H^i(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k))$. Theorem 14.2 follows. □

14.3. *Remark.* 1. Raising the level $\mathfrak{b}$ one can prove that the upper bound given by Theorem 14.2 is sharp when p is even, see [20, Theorem 1].

2. The main theorem of Cossutta and Marshall is not limited to hyperbolic manifolds. In conjunction with Theorem 8.11 one may get similar asymptotic results for the multiplicity of cohomological automorphic forms in orthogonal groups. In fact Theorem 8.13 relates the multiplicities of certain cohomological automorphic forms to the multiplicities of certain Siegel modular forms. The latter are much easier to deal with using limit formulas as in [18, 71]. The main issue then is to control the level; this is exactly what Cossutta and Marshall manage to do.

15. Periods of automorphic forms

15.1. **Notations.** We keep notations as in §8.1 and 9.1. In particular we let F be a totally real field of degree d and denote by $\mathbb{A}$ its ring of adeles of F. Let V be a nondegenerate quadratic space over F with $\dim_F V = m$. We assume that $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ is compact at all but one infinite place. We denote by v_0 the infinite place where $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is non compact and assume that $G(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$. Hereafter U will always denote a totally positive definite subquadratic space of dimension $n \leq p$ in V. And we denote by H the group $\mathrm{SO}(U^\perp)$.

We furthermore let (π, V_π) be an irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$-module such that

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; V_\pi \otimes E) \neq 0$$

for some finite dimensional irreducible representation (ρ, E) of $\mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$ of dominant weight λ with at most n nonzero entries.

For any cusp form f in $L^2(\widetilde{G}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p,q)\times\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))$ and any character χ of $\widehat{\pi}_0=\mathbb{A}^*/F_c^*$, we define the period integral

$$P(f,U,\chi)=\int_{\widetilde{H}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p-n,q)\times\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{A}_f))}f(h)\chi(\mathrm{Nspin}_{U^\perp}(h))dh$$

where $dh=\otimes_v dh_v$ is a fixed Haar measure on $\widetilde{H}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p-n,q)\times\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{A}_f))$.

15.2. **Distinguished representations.** Let (σ,V_σ) be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs as an irreducible subspace V_σ in the space of cuspidal automorphic functions in $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ and such that σ_v is trivial for any infinite place $v\neq v_0$.

Note that a function $f\in V_\sigma$ lifts, in a canonical way, as a function in

$$L^2(\widetilde{G}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p,q)\times\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))).$$

By a slight abuse of notation we still denote by f this function. We call $P(f,U,\chi)$ the (χ,U)-period of σ. We write $P(\sigma,U,\chi)\neq 0$ if there exists $f\in V_\sigma$ such that $P(f,U,\chi)$ is nonzero and $P(\sigma,U,\chi)=0$ otherwise. Let us say that σ is χ-*distinguished* if $P(\sigma,U,\chi)\neq 0$ for some U.

15.3. Given a place v of F we denote by $V(\sigma_v)$ the space of the representation σ_v. We furthermore fix an isomorphism

$$\tau:\otimes'_v V(\sigma_v)\to V_\sigma\subset L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})).$$

We finally write $\sigma_f=\otimes'_{v\not|\infty}\sigma_v$ and $V(\sigma_f)=\otimes'_{v\not|\infty}V(\sigma_v)$.

We are only be concerned with the very special automorphic representation (σ,V_σ) such that the restriction of σ_{v_0} to $\mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$ is isomorphic to π. We abusively write "$\sigma_{v_0}\cong\pi$" for "the restriction of σ_{v_0} to $\mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$ is isomorphic to π".

Classes in the cuspidal cohomology $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G),E)$ are represented by cuspidal automorphic forms. We may therefore decompose $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G),E)_\pi$ as a sum

$$H^\bullet_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G),E)_\pi=\bigoplus_{(\sigma,V_\sigma)\,:\,\sigma_{v_0}\cong\pi}H^\bullet_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G),E)(\sigma)$$

where we sum over irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations (σ,V_σ) of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs as an irreducible subspace V_σ in the space of cuspidal automorphic functions in $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$.

15.4. Recall from §9.2 and 9.4 that for K small enough we have associated to a subspace U and a tableau T some connected cycles-with-coefficient $c(U,g_j,K)_T=c(U,g_j,K)\otimes\epsilon_T$ where $\epsilon_T\in E$. We restrict to the case where the g_j's belong to $H(\mathbb{A}_f)$ so that the $c(U,g_j,K)$ are the images the connected components of

$$\widetilde{H}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p-n,q)\times\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{A}_f))/(SO(p-n)\times SO(q))\widetilde{K\cap H}. \tag{15.4.1}$$

Any character χ of finite order of $\mathbb{A}^*/F_c^*$ defines a locally constant function on (15.4.1). Let $Z^\chi_{U,T}=\sum_j\chi(\mathrm{Nspin}_U(g_j))c(U,g_j,K)_T$ and $[Z^\chi_{U,T}]$ the corresponding cohomology class in $H^{qn}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K,E)$. We let $Z^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G),E)(\sigma)$ be the subspace of $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G),E)(\sigma)$ spanned by the projection of the classes $[Z^\chi_{U,T}]$.

15.5. **Proposition.** *Suppose that π is associated to a Levi subgroup $L=\mathrm{SO}(p-2n,q)\times\mathrm{U}(1)^n$ with $p-2n\geq 0$. If the space $Z^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G),E)(\sigma)$ is non-trivial then σ is χ-distinguished for some finite character χ.*

Proof. This proposition is part of [11, Theorem 6] and we recall the proof for the reader's convenience.

Recall that σ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs as an irreducible subspace $V_\sigma \subset L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. We identify V_σ as a subspace

$$V_\sigma \subset L^2(\widetilde{G}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p,q) \times \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))).$$

We first relate the period integral to the pairing of a cohomology class with a special cycle, as done in [11, Theorem 6].

15.6. Using the above identification we have:

$$H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)(\sigma) = \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}, V_\sigma \otimes E). \tag{15.6.1}$$

Recall from §5.4 that the K_∞-module $V(n)$ occurs with multiplicity one in $\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}$ and that any non-zero element in the right-hand side of (15.6.1) factorizes through the isotypical component $V(n)$. We furthermore note that $V(n)$ occurs with multiplicity one in $V(\pi) \otimes E$. Since $V(\pi) = V(\sigma_{v_0})$ this leads to a canonical (up to multiples) non-zero element $\omega \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n), V(\sigma_{v_0}) \otimes E)$.

Let $\{v_j\}$ and $\{l_j\}$ be dual bases of $V(n)$ and $V(n)^*$, respectively. Fix a neat level $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that σ has K-invariant vectors. For x_f in the space $V(\sigma_f)$ of σ_f, the element

$$\omega \otimes x_f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\infty}(V(n), V(\sigma_{v_0}) \otimes E) \otimes V(\sigma_f)^K$$

corresponds to a E-valued harmonic form Ω_{x_f} on

$$X_K = \widetilde{G}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p,q) \times \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f))/K_\infty \widetilde{K}$$

which decomposes as:

$$\Omega_{x_f} = \sum_j f_{j,x_f} \otimes e_j l_j$$

where $f_{j,x_f} \in V_\sigma \subset L^2(\widetilde{G}(F)\backslash(\mathrm{SO}(p,q) \times \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)))$ is a cusp form, $e_j \in E$ and the tensor product $f_{j,x_f} \otimes e_j$ is the image of the vector $\omega(v_j) \otimes x_f$ under the map τ. The form Ω_{x_f} depends only on x_f and ω but not on the choice of bases $\{v_j\}$ and $\{l_j\}$.

The Hodge $*$-operator establishes a one-to-one K_∞-equivariant correspondence between $\wedge^{nq}\mathfrak{p}$ and $\wedge^{(p-n)q}\mathfrak{p}$ as well as on their dual spaces. It maps Ω_{x_f} onto the E^*-valued $(p-n)q$-harmonic form $*\Omega_{x_f} = \sum_j f_{j,x_f} \otimes e_j * l_j$.

Recall from §8.4 that we have fixed a $\mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$-invariant inner product $(\,,)_E$ on E and that this induces a natural inner product $\langle , \rangle$ on E-valued differential forms.

15.7. Let ω_H be a fixed non-zero element in the dual space of $\wedge^{(p-n)q}\mathfrak{p}_H$ where $\mathfrak{p}_H = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ is the complexified Lie algebra of $\mathrm{SO}(p-n,q)$. Since $\dim \wedge^{(p-n)q}\mathfrak{p}_H = 1$, ω_H is unique up to multiples. Each $*l_j$ may be represented as an element in the dual space of $\wedge^{(p-n)q}\mathfrak{p}$. The restriction of $*\Omega_{x_f}$ to a connected cycle $c(U,g,K)_T$ is therefore of the form $(\sum_j c_j f_{j,x_f} \otimes e_j)\omega_H$ where the c_j are complex constants. Let

$$f_{x_f,T} = \sum_j c_j (e_j, e_T)_{E^*} f_{j,x_f}.$$

Then for a suitable normalization of Haar measure dh, we have:

$$P(f_{x_f,T}, U, \chi) = \langle [Z^\chi_{U,T}], \Omega_{x_f} \rangle \tag{15.7.1}$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the inner product on differential forms. This remains true even if G is not anisotropic: the projection of $[Z^{\chi}_{U,T}]$ in the cuspidal part of the cohomology belongs to the L^2-cohomology.

If $Z^{nq}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), E)(\sigma) \neq \{0\}$ equation (15.7.1) therefore implies that

$$P(f_{x_f,T}, U, \chi) \neq 0$$

and σ is χ-distinguished. □

Question. Does the converse to Proposition 15.5 also hold ?

Answering this question seems to lie beyond the tools of this paper. As a corollary of Proposition 15.5 we nevertheless get the following:

15.8. **Theorem.** *Let σ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs as an irreducible subspace in $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. Suppose that the restriction of σ_{v_0} to $\mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$ is a cohomological representation $\pi = A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ whose associated Levi subgroup L is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(p-2r,q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$ with $2r < m - [m/2] - 1$ and such that λ has at most r nonzero entries. And suppose that for all infinite places $v \neq v_0$, the representation σ_v is trivial. Then: σ is χ-distinguished for some finite character χ.*

Proof. Applying Theorem 10.10 – with $r = n$ – to each components of X_K we conclude that the projections of the classes $[Z^{\chi}_{U,T}]$ for varying U, T and χ generate $H^{qn}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{\pi}$. (Here we should note that classes obtained by wedging a cycle class with a power of the Euler form are not primitive and therefore project trivially into $H^{qn}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))_{\pi}$.) Therefore: if $H^{nq}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))(\sigma)$ is non trivial, then $Z^{nq}(X_K, S_{[\lambda]}(V))(\sigma) \neq \{0\}$. And Theorem 15.8 follows from Proposition 15.5. □

Remark. Again we point out that assuming Conjecture 6.4 Theorem 15.8 remains valid if $p > 2r$ and $m-1 > 3r$. We believe that these conditions are necessary in general but even assuming the results of §6.12 we would still have to answer positively to question 15.7.

Note that [29, Theorem 1.1] and the proof of Theorem 15.8 imply the following:

15.9. **Theorem.** *Let σ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs as an irreducible subspace in $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. Suppose that the restriction of σ_{v_0} to $\mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$ is a cohomological representation $\pi = A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ whose associated Levi subgroup L is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(p-2r,q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$ with $p \geq 2r$, $q \geq 1$ and such that λ has at most r nonzero entries. And suppose that for all infinite places $v \neq v_0$, the representation σ_v is trivial. Assume moreover that there exists a quadratic character η of $F^*\backslash\mathbb{A}^*$ such that the partial L-function $L^S(s, \sigma \times \eta)$ has a pole at $s_0 = \frac{m}{2} - r$ and is holomorphic for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > s_0$. Then: σ is χ-distinguished for some finite character χ.*

We don't believe that belonging in the image of the theta correspondence – which is a subtle properties away from stable range – can be characterized only by the existence of a pole for a partial L-function. We propose the following:

15.10. **Conjecture.** *Let σ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs as an irreducible subspace in $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. Suppose that the restriction of σ_{v_0} to $\mathrm{SO}_0(p,q)$ is a cohomological representation $\pi = A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ whose associated Levi subgroup L is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(p-2r,q) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^r$ with $p \geq 2r$, $q \geq 1$*

and such that λ has at most r nonzero entries. And suppose that for all infinite places $v \neq v_0$, the representation σ_v is trivial. Then there exists an automorphic character χ such that $\sigma \otimes \chi$ is in the image of the cuspidal ψ-theta correspondence from a smaller group associated to a symplectic space of dimension $2r$ if and only if σ is η-distinguished for some finite quadratic character η and the global (Arthur) L-function $L(s, \sigma^{\mathrm{GL}} \times \eta)$ has a pole at $s_0 = \frac{m}{2} - r$ and is holomorphic for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > s_0$.

15.11. **A 3-dimensional example.** Let $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and let V be the 4-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space with basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and quadratic form

$$q(x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 + x_4e_4) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 - dx_4^2.$$

Assume that d is positive and not a square in $\mathbb{Q}$ and let

$$D = \begin{cases} 4d & \text{if } d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4, \\ d & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Consider the group $G = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{GL}(2)$. Recall – e.g. from [21, Proposition 3.14] – that G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GSpin}(V)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The corresponding arithmetic manifolds are therefore the same; these are the 3-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds obtained as quotient of the hyperbolic 3-space by Bianchi groups.

Distinguished representations of G have already attracted a lot of attention: Consider periods associated to totally positive vectors in V. The corresponding groups H are inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}(2)_{|\mathbb{Q}}$ and the corresponding cycles are totally geodesic surfaces.

15.12. **Proposition.** *Suppose that π is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$. Then if π is χ-distinguished for some finite character χ then some twist of π is the base-change lift of a cuspidal automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{A})$.

Proof. This follows from the proof of [23, §5]. □

Finis, Grunewald and Tirao [22] compare two classical ways of constructing cuspidal cohomology classes in $H^1(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-d})$ where $\mathcal{O}_{-d}$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. The first is the base-change construction where the corresponding cuspidal automorphic representations are obtained as twists of base-change lifts of cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{A})$. The second construction is via automorphic induction from Hecke characters of quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. In many cases, the part of the cuspidal cohomology thus obtained is already contained in the part obtained from the base-change construction. But it is not always the case – see [22, Corollary 4.16] for a precise criterion – and they in particular prove the following:

15.13. **Proposition.** *Suppose there exists a real quadratic field L such that*

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$$

is unramified and the narrow class number h_L^+ is strictly bigger than the corresponding of genera $g_L^+ = 2^{\mathcal{R}(L)|-1}$, where $\mathcal{R}(L)$ denotes the set of primes ramified in L. Then: there exists a non base-change cohomology class in $H^1_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{-d})$.

The smallest discriminant of a real quadratic field L such that $h_L^+ > g_L^+$ is $d_L = 136$. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ is unramified if and only if d_L divides D and d_L and D/d_L are coprime.

As a corollary (using propositions 15.5 and 15.12) we get the following:

15.14. **Proposition.** *There exists a cohomology class in* $H^1_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{-34}))$ *which is not a linear combination of classes of totally geodesic cycles.*

Appendix A

We set notations as in §3.1.

Let π be an irreducible automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. In this appendix we explain how to associate to π a global Arthur parameter Ψ such that outside a finite set S of places v of F the L-parameter of π_v is φ_{Ψ_v}.

16.15. **Stabilisation of the trace formula.** [13] The result we want to prove is known for G^*, see Proposition 3.8. We thus want to relate the discrete automorphic spectra of G and G^*. Let $f = \otimes_v f_v$ a decomposable smooth function with compact support in $G(\mathbb{A})$. We are essentially interested in

$$\text{trace} R^G_{\text{dis}}(f) = \text{trace}\left(f | L^2_{\text{dis}}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})\right), \tag{16.15.1}$$

where L^2_{dis} is the discrete part of the space of automorphic forms on $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$. According to Müller [66] this trace is well defined, but this is not necessary for what follows. Indeed: we fix t a positive real number and – following Arthur – we will only compare sums – relative to G and G^* – over representations whose infinitesimal character has norm $\leq t$.

For fix t, Arthur defines a distribution $f \mapsto I_{\text{disc},t}(f)$ as a sum of the part of (16.15.1) relative to t and of terms associated to some representations induced from Levi subgroups, see [7, (21.19)]. We will briefly describe later the complementary terms.

16.16. **Elliptic subgroups.** Consider the familly $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G)$ of elliptic endoscopic data for G [7, §27]. As G is an inner form of G^*, we have: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G) = \mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G^*)$. This set is explicitely described by Arthur, see [7, §30]. There are two cases according to the parity of m:

If $m = N+1$ is odd $G^* = \text{SO}(N+1)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G^*)$ is parametrized by pairs of even integers (N', N'') with $N'' \geq N' \geq 0$ and $N = N' + N''$. The corresponding endoscopic groups are the split groups

$$H = \text{SO}(N'+1) \times \text{SO}(N''+1). \tag{16.16.1}$$

If $m = N$ is even $G^* = \text{SO}(N, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G^*)$ is parametrized by pairs of nonnegative even integers (N', N'') with $N'' \geq N' \geq 0$ and $N = N' + N''$, and pairs (η', η'') of idèle class characters with $(\eta')^2 = (\eta'')^2 = 1$ and $\eta = \eta'\eta''$. The corresponding endoscopic groups are the quasi-split groups

$$H = \text{SO}(N', \eta') \times \text{SO}(N'', \eta''). \tag{16.16.2}$$

16.17. **Fundamental lemmas and transfer.** Thanks to Ngô and Waldspurger the hypotheses of local harmonic analysis made in [6, §5] are now proven, see Ngô [67] and Waldspurger [80]. We may thus associate to f a family of functions $(f^H)_{H \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G)}$; these are the transfers of f. (Note that this is only a correspondence: f^H is only defined through his stable orbital integrals.) If f is unramified outside of a finite set of places $S \supset \infty$, this is still true for f^H (if H is ramified in v and f_v unramified, then f^H_v and thus f^H is the zero function).

Note that G^* belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G)$. This is the only endoscopic group of maximal dimension. We denote by f^* the function f^{G^*}.

---

[13] In the following paragraphs we follow the exposition of [9].

We recall Arthur's stabilized trace formula – see [7, Cor. 29.10] or [3, (3.2.3)][14] – in the following:

16.18. **Proposition.** *We have:*

$$I^G_{\mathrm{disc},t}(f) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{ell}}(G)} \iota(G,H) S^H_{\mathrm{disc},t}(f^H) \tag{16.18.1}$$

where, for every H, $S^H_{\mathrm{disc},t}$ is a stable distribution.

The coefficients $\iota(G,H)$ – positive rational numbers – are defined in [7, §27]. Note that (16.18.1) – applied to G^* rather than to G, and inductively to its endoscopic subgroup – uniquely defines the distributions $S^H_{\mathrm{disc},t}$.

16.19. Let's now be more precise about the transfer. Let S be a finite set of places of F which contains all the Archimidean places of F. We moreover assume that S contains all the ramification places of G. If $v \notin S$, $G \times F_v$ is isomorphic to the quasi-split group $G^*(F_v)$ and splits over a finite unramified extension of F_v; in particular $G \times F_v$ contains a hyperspecial compact subgroup K_v, see [76, 1.10.2]. Let $\mathcal{H}_v$ be the corresponding (spherical) Hecke algebra.

We will always assume that $f = f_S \otimes f^S$, where $f^S = \otimes_{v \notin S} f_v$ and $f_v \in \mathcal{H}_v$ for every $v \notin S$.

If $v \notin S$, the correspondence $f_v \rightsquigarrow f_v^H$ is explicitely described. It is a map from $\mathcal{H}_v$ to the spherical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_v^H$ of $(H(F_v), K_v^H)$ where K_v^H is a hyperspecial compact subgroup of $H(F_v)$ (recall that $H(F_v)$ is unramified if $f^H \neq 0$ and $v \notin S$) and via the Satake isomorphism it translate exactly the Langlands functoriality.. We have already described the endoscopic groups H. They may depend on a quadratic character η'. But – being unramified outside S – this leaves only a finite number of possible groups H.

In an Archimedean place v, Shelstad [73] describes the transfer $f_v \rightsquigarrow f_v^H$ for functions in Schwartz-Harish-Chandra spaces. It follows from [17, Appendice, Thm. A.3] that transfer preserves compactly supported and K-finite functions.

The explicit definitions of the transfer maps are of no importance to us, we just need that these transfer maps exist and are "compatible" with the actions of the characters of the spherical Hecke algebra, resp. of the center of the universal algebra. We now explain this compatibility.

16.20. Fix $v \notin S$ and let $R(G^\vee)$ be the ring of (complex) finite dimensional representations of $G^\vee$. The Satake transform gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{H}_v \cong R(G^\vee)$. The L-embedding ${}^LH \to {}^LG$ thus induces a homomorphism $b : \mathcal{H}_v \to \mathcal{H}_v^H$. It follows from Ngô's work that for all $f_v \in \mathcal{H}_v$, $b(f_v)$ is a transfer of f. Note in particular that the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{K_v^H}$ is a transfer of $\mathbf{1}_{K_v}$.

Recall that characters of $R(G^\vee)$ are indexed by semisimple conjugacy classes in the dual group $G^\vee$. We can thus associate to any complex character of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_v$ a semisimple class in $G^\vee$, its *Satake parameter.*

---

[14] On p.135 of [3] Arthur says that Proposition 16.18 "is now valid if G is a connected group." We should nevertheless point out that the announced proof by Laumon and Chaudouard [15, 14] of the weighted fundamental lemma has not been completly written yet. Indeed: Waldspurger's reduction [81, 82] to positive characteristic makes use of a "non-standard" form of the weighted fundamental lemma which "s'obtient par des méthodes similaires et sera traité ultérieurement" [14, §1.2]. At time of writing this should nevertheless be considered as an additional hypothesis in Arthur's work (and therefore in ours).

Now if χ_v is a character of $\mathcal{H}_v^H$ with Satake parameter s in $H^\vee$ then $f \mapsto \chi_v(f_v^H)$ defines a character of $\mathcal{H}_v$ with Satake parameter the conjugacy class of s in $G^\vee$. This is the compatibility of the transfer maps with the actions of the characters of the spherical Hecke algebra we were referring to above.

There is a similar statement for the action of the center of the universal algebra over infinite places, we refer to [10] for more details.

16.21. We may now decompose (16.18.1) according to characters $\chi = \otimes_v \chi_v$ where if v is finite $\notin S$ then χ_v is a character of $\mathcal{H}_v$:

$$I^G_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{ell}}(G)} \iota(G,H) S^H_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f^H), \tag{16.21.1}$$

(See the proof of [3, Lemma 3.3.1] for more details.) Note that in the right-hand side $S^H_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f^H)$ is zero if some χ_v ($v \notin S$) does not factor through a character of $\mathcal{H}_v^H$.

16.22. **Destabilisation.** We may now iterate (16.21.1) by replacing G by its endoscopic subgroups and so on. By induction, we get that each $S^H_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f^H)$ writes down as a linear combination of terms $I^J_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f^J)$ where the groups J are iterated endoscopic subgroups of the groups H.

We rather call *iterated endoscopic subgroup* a sequence

$$(G, H_1, \dots, H_r = J) = \mathcal{J}$$

where each H_{j+1} is an endoscopic subgroup of H_j. The map $f \mapsto f^{H_1} \mapsto (f^{H_1})^{H_2} \mapsto \dots \mapsto f^J$ – in fact just a *correspondence* between smooth functions – is not obviously independent of the sequence $(G, H_1, \dots, H_r = J)$. We denote by $f^{\mathcal{J}}$ the final term.

The induction ends up with a finite expression:

$$I^G_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f) = \sum_{\mathcal{J}} \eta(G,\mathcal{J}) I^J_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f^{\mathcal{J}}) \tag{16.22.1}$$

where the $\eta(G,\mathcal{J})$ are rational – maybe nonpositive – numbers.

16.23. It is now time to describe $I^G_{\mathrm{disc},t}(f)$. We refer to Arthur [4, §4] – see in particular formula (4.3) – as well as to [7, (21.19)] for the definition:

$$\begin{aligned} I^G_{\mathrm{disc},t}(f) &= \mathrm{trace}(f | L^2_{\mathrm{disc},t}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))) \\ &\quad + \sum_M \frac{|W_0^M|}{|W_0^G|} \sum_{s \in W(M)_{\mathrm{reg}}} |\det(s-1)|^{-1} \mathrm{trace}(M_P(s,0) I_{P,t}(0,f)). \end{aligned} \tag{16.23.1}$$

Here we sum over proper standard Levi subgroups of G; the operator $I_{P,t}(0,f)$ is defined by the action of f in a representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ unitarily induced from a finite – when f_∞ is K-finite – sum of representations in the discrete spetrum of $M(\mathbb{A})$. We won't need the definitions of the remaining terms, see [4, 7].

All the representations occuring in (16.22.1) share the same infinitesimal character; the sums are therefore finite. Moreover: the distributions $I_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}$ yield characters of the spherical Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_v$ ($v \notin S$) which are equal to χ_v. We now explain that this forces all the representations occuring in (16.22.1) to have the same L-parameter (up to conjugacy) for $v \notin S$.

16.24. Let $v \notin S$. The character of an irreducible smooth complex *unramified* representation $\pi = \pi_v$ of $G(F_v)$ induces a character of $\mathcal{H}_v$. We denote by $s(\pi)$ its Satake parameter. Note that if $\varphi : W'_v \to {}^L G$ is the L-parameter of π then $s(\pi)$ is the semisimple conjugacy class of the projection into $G^\vee$ of the image of φ.

Now the compatibility of the transfer map with the actions of the characters of the spherical Hecke algebra – see §16.20 – implies that the v-local components of all the representations occuring in (16.22.1) share the same Satake parameter seen as a conjugacy class in $G^\vee$. In particular: *all representations π occuring in* (16.22.1) *share the same local L-factor $L(s, \pi_v)$.*

16.25. **Conclusion.** Let π be an irreducible automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. We may consider χ as above such that π occurs in the left-hand side of (16.22.1). It corresponds to π at least one iterated endoscopic subgroup J of G and an irreducible automorphic representation of $J(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(J(F)\backslash J(\mathbb{A}))$. Let Ψ be the corresponding global Arthur parameter. By definition we take Ψ as *the global Arthur parameter of* π. Note that outside the finite set S of places v of F the L-parameter of π_v is φ_{Ψ_v}. With this definition of Arthur parameter Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.10 still hold with G^* replaced by G.

Appendix B

In this appendix we prove Proposition 6.9. The strategy – as suggested by Lemma 6.7 – relies on the general principle stated by Clozel according to which *in an Arthur packet we find the representations belonging to the Langlands packet and more tempered representations.* We addressed this question through the study of exponents.

17.1. **Notations.** Let p and q two non-negative integers with $p+q=m$ and let $G=\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$. We set $\ell=[m/2]$ and $N=2\ell$.

17.2. **Exponents.** Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of G. According to Langlands [51] π belongs to a unique L-packet associated to an L-parameter

$$\varphi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \to {}^L G.$$

Being semisimple, the parameter $\varphi_{|\mathbb{C}^*} : \mathbb{C}^* \to G^\vee \subset \mathrm{GL}(N,\mathbb{C})$ is conjugate into the maximal torus $T^\vee = \{\mathrm{diag}(x_1,\dots,x_\ell,x_\ell^{-1},\dots,x_1^{-1})\}$ of $G^\vee$. We may therefore write $\varphi=(\eta_1,\dots,\eta_\ell,\eta_\ell^{-1},\dots,\eta_1^{-1})$ where each η_j is a character $z\mapsto z^{p_j}\overline{z}^{q_j} = u^{\mu_j}t^{\nu_j}$ ($z=ut$, $t>0$, $|u|=1$). Here each $\mu_j=p_j-q_j\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\nu_j=p_j+q_j$. The set $\{\pm\mathrm{Re}(\nu_j)\}$ of real numbers-with-multiplicities is well defined; we denote it by $\mathrm{Exp}(\pi)$.

17.3. Now if π is a cohomological representation as in §6.6, it follows from Lemma 6.7 that the map

$$z \mapsto \varphi_\Psi(z) = \Psi\left(z, \begin{pmatrix} (z\overline{z})^{\frac12} & \\ & (z\overline{z})^{-\frac12} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

is the L-parameter of π. Since the μ_j are unitary characters they contribute only trivially to $\mathrm{Exp}(\pi)$. The nontrivial elements therefore all come from the R_{m_j}'s. And since

$$R_{m_j}\begin{pmatrix} (z\overline{z})^{\frac12} & \\ & (z\overline{z})^{-\frac12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (z\overline{z})^{\frac{m_j-1}{2}} & & & \\ & (z\overline{z})^{\frac{m_j-3}{2}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & (z\overline{z})^{\frac{1-m_j}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

($j=1,\dots,t$), we conclude that:

$$\mathrm{Exp}(\pi) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} 2\{m_j-1, m_1-3,\dots,1-m_j\}\cup\{m_0-1,m_0-3,\dots,1-m_0\}\cup\{0,\dots,0\}.$$

Example. Let π be a cohomological representation of G associated to a Levi subgroup $L=\mathrm{SO}(p-2r,q)\times\mathrm{U}(1)^r$. Then:

$$\mathrm{Exp}(\pi) = \{m-2r-2, m-2r-4,\dots,2r+2-m\}\cup\{0,\dots,0\}.$$

The goal of this appendix is to prove the following.

17.4. **Proposition.** *Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of G. Assume that $\mathrm{Exp}(\pi)$ contains x_0. If π belongs to an Arthur packet $\prod(\Psi)$ then the parameter Ψ contains a "big" $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ factor R_a with $a\geq x_0+1$.*

Remark. Let π be as in Example 17.3 above. Then $m-2r-2 \in \mathrm{Exp}(\pi)$. In particular: if π belongs to an Arthur packet $\prod(\Psi)$ then the parameter Ψ contains a "big" $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ factor R_a with $a \geq m-2r-1$. Proposition 17.4 therefore implies Proposition 6.9.

17.5. **Discrete series of the linear groups.** Let k be a positive integer. If $k \geq 2$ we let $\delta(k)$ be the tempered irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ obtained as the unique irreducible quotient of the induced representation

$$\mathrm{ind}(|\cdot|^{\frac{k}{2}} \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{k}{2}})$$

(normalized induction from the Borel). It is the unique irreducible representation with trivial central character which restricted to the subgroup $\mathrm{SL}^{\pm}(2,\mathbb{R})$ of elements g such that $|\det(g)| = 1$ is isomorphic to $D_k = \mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})}^{\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})^{\pm}}(D_k^+)$ where D_k^+ is the (more standard) discrete series representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ as considered in e.g. [42, Chapter II, §5].

If $k=1$ we denote by $\delta(k)$ the trivial character of $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{R})$.

We note that if μ is a tempered irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ that is square integrable modulo the center then $d=1$ or 2 and μ is obtained by tensoring some $\delta(k)$ with a unitary character ν of $\mathbb{R}^*$. More precisely:

- If $d=1$ either $\nu = 1 \otimes |\cdot|^{it}$ or $\nu = \mathrm{sgn} \otimes |\cdot|^{it}$. Here 1 denotes the trivial representation and sgn the sign character of $\mathbb{R}^*$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mu = \nu$.
- If $d=2$, $\nu = |\det(\cdot)|^{it}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$. Then $\mu = D_k \otimes |\det(\cdot)|^{it}$.

Hereafter we denote by $\mu(k,\nu)$ the representation obtained by tensoring $\delta(k)$ with ν. And we simply denote by $\mu(k,\nu)|\cdot|^s$ $(k \geq 1,\ s \in \mathbb{C})$ the representation $\mu(k,\nu) \otimes |\det(\cdot)|^s$.

17.6. **Admissible representations of** $\mathrm{GL}(N,\mathbb{R})$**.** Let r be a positive integer and for each $i=1,\dots,r$ fix k_i a positive integer and ν_i a unitary character of $\mathbb{R}^*$. We let $d_i = 1$ if $k_i = 1$ and let $d_i = 2$ if $k_i \geq 2$. We assume that $N = d_1 + \ldots + d_r$.

Now let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1,\dots,x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ be such that $x_1 \geq \ldots \geq x_r$. Consider the induced representation of $\mathrm{GL}(N,\mathbb{R})$:

$$\mathrm{I}((k_i,\nu_i,x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r}) = \mathrm{ind}(\mu(k_1,\nu_1)|\cdot|^{x_1},\dots,\mu(k_r,\nu_r)|\cdot|^{x_r})$$

(normalized induction from the standard parabolic of type $(d_1,\dots,d_r)$). We call such an induced representation a *standard module*. These generate[15] the Grothendieck group of the smooth admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(N,\mathbb{R})$.

According to Langlands [51] $\mathrm{I}((k_i,\nu_i,x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ has a unique irreducible quotient. We note (see e.g. [10, Chap. 3]) that – when restricted to $\mathbb{C}^*$ – the L-parameter of this representation is conjugate into the diagonal torus of $\mathrm{GL}(N,\mathbb{C})$ and each (k_j,ν_j,x_j) contributes in the following way:

- if $k_j = 1$ and $\nu_j = 1 \otimes |\cdot|^{it_j}$ or $\nu_j = \mathrm{sgn} \otimes |\cdot|^{it_j}$, it contributes by $z \mapsto (z\overline{z})^{\frac{x_j+it_j}{2}}$, and
- if $k_j \geq 2$ and $\nu_j = |\det(\cdot)|^{it_j}$, it contributes by

$$z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z^{x_j+it_j+\frac{k_j}{2}}\overline{z}^{x_j+it_j-\frac{k_j}{2}} & \\ & z^{x_j+it_j-\frac{k_j}{2}}\overline{z}^{s_j+it_j+\frac{k_j}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

[15] To have a basis we still have to take care of possible permutations of the indices $\{1,\dots,r\}$.

17.7. **Arthur parameters.** Consider the outer automorphism:

$$\theta : x \mapsto J^t x^{-1} J \quad (x \in \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})).$$

Recall that a local Arthur parameter Ψ is a formal sum of formal tensor products $\mu_j \boxtimes R_j$ where each μ_j is a (unitary) discrete series representation of say $\mathrm{GL}(a_j, \mathbb{R})$, R_j is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of dimension b_j and $N = \sum_j a_j b_j$. We furthermore request that $\Psi^\theta = \Psi$.

We have associated to such a parameter Ψ an irreducible unitary representation Π_Ψ of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$. We note that each μ_j is isomorphic to some $\mu(k, \nu)$ ($k \geq 1$, ν unitary character of $\mathbb{R}^*$). By Langlands' classification Π_Ψ can then be realized as the unique irreducible sub-quotient of some standard module $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ where $(k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r}$ are obtained as follows: We write $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots$. Then

$$|\cdot|^{x_1} \mu(k_1, \nu_1) = |\cdot|^{\frac{b_1 - 1}{2}} \mu_1, |\cdot|^{x_2} \mu(k_2, \nu_2) = |\cdot|^{\frac{b_1 - 1}{2}} \mu_2 \text{ (if } b_2 = b_1)$$
$$\dots \ |\cdot|^{x_j} \mu(k_j, \nu_j) = |\cdot|^{\frac{b_1 - 1}{2}} \mu_j \text{ (if } b_j = b_1).$$

We then put the characters of smaller absolute value, and so on. As Π_Ψ is θ-stable, we may furthermore arrange the (k_i, ν_i, x_i) so that there exists a integer $r_+ \in [0, r/2]$ such that:

- For each $i = 1, \dots, r_+$, we have $k_i = k_{r-i+1}$, $\nu_i = \nu_{r-i+1}^{-1}$ and $x_i = -x_{r-i+1}$.
- For any $j = r_+ + 1, \dots, r - r_+$, ν_j is a quadratic character (trivial if $k_j > 1$ with our convention) and $x_j = 0$.
- For any $i, j \in \{r_+ + 1, \dots, r - r_+\}$ with $i \neq j$, we have either $k_i \neq k_j$ or $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$.

A standard module satisfying the above conditions is called a *θ-stable standard module.*[16] Note that r_+ is uniquely determine by the above conditions. We set

$$N_+ = d_{r_+ + 1} + \dots + d_{r - r_+}.$$

It is an even integer and we let m_+ the integer of the same parity as m and such that $[m_+/2] = N_+$.

17.8. **Twisted traces.** Let Π be a θ-stable irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$. We fix an action of θ on the space of Π that is: an operator A_θ ($A_\theta^2 = 1$) intertwining Π and Π^θ. For any test function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R}))$ we can then form the θ-trace $\mathrm{trace}_\theta \Pi(f) = \mathrm{trace}(\Pi(f) A_\theta)$. As $A_\theta^2 = 1$ the action of θ is well defined up to a sign. The θ-trace of Π is thus well defined – independently of the choice of A_θ – but only up to a sign. We abusively denote it $\mathrm{trace}_\theta(\Pi)$.

Standard modules may be reducible, as such there is an obvious notion of θ-trace of a θ-stable standard module. We take here the following explicit definition: Let $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ be a θ-stable standard module. Then the induced representation $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=r_+ + 1,\dots,r-r_+})$ – representation of a smaller group (eventually trivial) – is irreducible and θ-stable. We can thus choose an action of θ on the space of this representation; we extend canonically this action to the space of $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$. This defines – up to a sign – an action of θ on $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ and we can define as above the θ-trace of this representation.

---

[16] Note that standard modules are generally not irreducible θ-stability has thus to be defined.

17.9. We now begin the proof of Proposition 17.4. We first assume that $G = \mathrm{SO}(V)$ is *quasi-split.*

Let $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ be the θ-stable standard module associated to the parameter Ψ. For each $i = r_+ + 1, \dots, r - r_+$ we have $x_i = 0$. And the L-parameter of the tempered irreducible standard module $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i)_{i=r_++1,\dots,r-r_+})$ of $\mathrm{GL}(N_+, \mathbb{R})$ factorises through the L-group of some quasi-split orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(V_+)$ of the same type as $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and with $\dim V_+ = m_+$ (of the same parity as m).

Remark. Let $k \geq 2$. Note that the parameter $z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (z/\overline{z})^{\frac{k}{2}} & \\ & (z/\overline{z})^{-\frac{k}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ of $\delta(k)$ is symplectic if k is odd and orthogonal if k is even. Since $G^\vee = \mathrm{Sp}(N, \mathbb{C})$ if m is odd and $G^\vee = \mathrm{SO}(N, \mathbb{C})$ if m is even and $x_i = 0$ for $i = r_+ + 1, \dots, r - r_+$ we conclude that for each $i = r_+ + 1, \dots, r - r_+$ the integers $k_i \geq 2$ and m have the same parity.

17.10. We say that a representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$ is a *twisted transfer* of a virtual character of G including a representation π of G if there exists a finite set $\mathcal{E}$ of irreducible representations of G which contains π and for each $\pi' \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists a complex number $a_{\pi'} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that for any test function $f \in \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$ which transfer to $f^G \in C_c^\infty(G)$, we have the equality:

$$\mathrm{trace}_\theta \Pi(f) = \sum_{\pi' \in \mathcal{E}'} a_{\pi'} \mathrm{trace} \pi'(f^G).$$

We remark that such an equality determines uniquely $\mathcal{E}$ and the constants $a_{\pi'}$.

Taking $\Pi = \Pi_\Psi$ the set $\mathcal{E}$ is Arthur's packet $\prod(\Psi)$. We first prove the following:

17.11. **Lemma.** *The θ-trace of* $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ *is a twisted transfer of a virtual character of G which contains only representations with exponent less than or equal to the leading exponent of any induced representation of G of the form*

$$\mathrm{ind} \otimes_{i=1}^{r_+} \mu(k_i, \nu_i)| \cdot |^{x_i} \otimes \sigma.$$

Here the induction is normalized and from a parabolic of G whose Levi is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(d_1, \mathbb{R}) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(d_{r_+}, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_+)$.

Proof. The module $I = \mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ may be written as an induced representation:

$$\mathrm{ind} \otimes_{i=1}^{r_+} \mu(k_i, \nu_i)| \cdot |^{x_i} \otimes I((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=r_++1,\dots,r-r_+}) \otimes_{i=1}^{r_+} \mu(k_i, \nu_i)| \cdot |^{x_i}$$

(normalized induction from the standard parabolic of type

$$(d_1, \dots, d_{r_+}, N_+, d_{r-r_++1}, \dots, d_r)).$$

Let M be the Levi subgroup of the inducing parabolic subgroup P; it is a product of GL and $I_M = \otimes_{i=1}^{r_+} \mu(k_i, \nu_i)| \cdot |^{x_i} \otimes I((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=r_++1,\dots,r-r_+}) \otimes_{i=1}^{r_+} \mu(k_i, \nu_i)| \cdot |^{x_i}$ is a representation of M. Fix K a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$ and write $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R}) = UMK$ with the usual notations. If f is a compactly supported K-finite function on $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$ we set:

$$f_M(m) = (\delta_P(m))^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{K \times U} f(k^{-1} umk) du dk \quad (m \in M).$$

One can easily check that:

$$\mathrm{trace}\ I(f) = \mathrm{trace}\ I_M(f_M).$$

The twisted version of this as well as computations analogous to [74, §3.4] then show[17] that the twisted transfer commutes with the θ-stable induction: $(f^G)_{M_G}$ is a transfer of f_M. Here M_G is a Levi subgroup of G as well as an endoscopic subgroup of M.

We may therefore reduce the proof of Lemma 17.11 to the case where $r_+ = 0$. In that case $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ is a *tempered* irreducible θ-stable representation (note that each $x_i = 0$). Moreover: it is θ-discrete in Arthur's sense.

It now follows from [3, Theorem 1.4.1] (the *Local Induction Hypothesis* in [7, p. 244]) and the discussion following it that the θ-trace of $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ is a twisted transfer of a virtual character of a *unique* elliptic endoscopic group. As the k_i and m have the same parity, this endoscopic group is necessarily orthogonal isomorphic to G (recall that G is quasi-split).

The representation $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ is tempered. The extension to the twisted case of Shelstad's strong spectral transfer of tempered archimedean characters therefore implies that the corresponding virtual character of G only contains tempered representations.[18] □

In the quasi-split case Proposition 17.4 finally follows from the following:

17.12. **Lemma.** *The θ-trace of Π_Ψ is a twisted transfer of a virtual character of G which contains only representations with exponent less than or equal to the leading exponent of any induced representation of G of the form*

$$\mathrm{ind} \otimes_{i=1}^{r_+} \mu(k_i, \nu_i)| \cdot |^{x_i} \otimes \sigma.$$

Here the induction is normalized and from a parabolic of G whose Levi is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(d_1, \mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}(d_{r_+}, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}(V_+)$.

Proof. We first prove that the θ-trace of $\Pi = \Pi_\Psi$ is a linear combination of the θ-traces of standard modules $\mathrm{I}((k_i', \nu_i', x_i')_{i=1,\dots,r'})$ with leading exponent less than or equal to the leading exponent of the standard module $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$ associated to Ψ. Recall that we have chosen an action of θ on $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$. Consider a (non canonical) filtration:

$$0 = W_0 \to W_1 \to \dots \to W_s = \mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$$

where each W_j, $j = 1, \dots, s$, is θ-stable and each quotient W_{j+1}/W_j, $j = 1, \dots, s-1$, is isomorphic to the (non necessarily direct) sum of an irreducible representation V_j and its image under the induced action of θ, denoted $\theta(V_j)$. Then W_s/W_{s-1} is isomorphic to Π and we have:

$$\mathrm{trace}_\theta \mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r}) = \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \mathrm{trace}_\theta (W_{j+1}/W_j).$$

We note that $\mathrm{trace}_\theta(W_{j+1}/W_j) = 0$ unless W_{j+1}/W_j is the space of an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{R})$. We conclude that $\mathrm{trace}_\theta(\Pi)$ is a linear combination of θ-traces of standard modules $\mathrm{I}((k_i', \nu_i', x_i')_{i=1,\dots,r'})$ with leading exponent less than or equal to the leading exponent of the standard module $\mathrm{I}((k_i, \nu_i, x_i)_{i=1,\dots,r})$. Moreover: all these representations have the same infinitesimal character than

---

[17] We don't provide more details as this general fact is well known to specialits and because Arthur uses it everywhere in his work.

[18] Here – as in Arthur's work – we are taking for granted the extension of Shelstad's endoscopic classification of representations of real groups to the twisted case.

$\mathrm{I}((k_i,\nu_i,x_i)_{i=1,\ldots,r})$. This forces the k_i' to have the same parity as m. When m is odd this forces the parameter Ψ' corresponding to $\mathrm{I}((k_i,\nu_i,x_i)_{i=1,\ldots,r})$ to factorize through ${}^LG$ and we conclude by applying Lemma 17.11. When m is even Ψ' factorizes through the L-group of a quasi-split even orthogonal group. The quasi-split form is uniquely determined by the determinant of the L-parameter of the standard module. But this determinant is the same for any principal series – induced representation from the Borel – that contains Π as a subquotient. We thus conclude that in any case Ψ' factorizes through ${}^LG$ and Lemma 17.11 applies. $\square$

17.13. **From non quasi-split forms to quasi-split ones.** Here we do not assume that G is quasi-split and we denote by G^* the quasi-split form of G.

As G is not quasi-split, local Arthur packets are not well defined. We will work *globally* using notations of appendice A. Indeed: recall that if π is an irreducible automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$, we have explained how to associate to π a global Arthur parameter Ψ such that outside a finite set S of places v of F the L-parameter of π_v is φ_{Ψ_v}.

Proposition 17.4 should then be formulated as:

17.14. *Let v_0 is an infinite place of F such that $\mathrm{SO}(V)(F_{v_0})=\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$. Assume $\mathrm{Exp}(\pi_{v_0})$ contains x_0. Then the associated Arthur parameter Ψ contains a $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ factor R_a with $a\geq x_0+1$.*

We now explain how to reduce the proof of 17.14 to the quasi-split case. We keep notations as in appendix A.

17.15. We first write the distribution $f\mapsto I_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f)$ as:

$$I_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f)=\mathrm{trace}\ \pi_{v_0}(f_{v_0})\left(\sum_{\pi'}\mathrm{m}_{\pi'}\mathrm{trace}\ {\pi'}^{v_0}(f^{v_0})\right)+\sum_{\pi''}\mathrm{trace}\ \pi''_{v_0}(f_{v_0})L_{\pi''}(f^{v_0}),$$

where we first sum over a (finite) set – including π – of irreducible automorphic representation π' of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ such that $\pi'_{v_0}\cong\pi_{v_0}$ and then sum over a (finite) set of irreducible automorphic representation π'' of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ and such that $\pi''_{v_0}\not\cong\pi_{v_0}$. Here the $L_{\pi''}$ are distributions that don't matter for the proof. The coefficients $\mathrm{m}_{\pi'}$ are multiplicities. Using linear independence we may fix f^{v_0} such that $\sum_{\pi'}\mathrm{m}_{\pi'}\mathrm{trace}\ {\pi'}^{v_0}(f^{v_0})\neq 0$. We conclude from (16.21.1) that for any local test function f_{v_0} we have:

$$x\mathrm{trace}\ \pi_{v_0}(f_{v_0})+\sum_{\tau}x_\tau\mathrm{trace}\ \tau(f_{v_0})=\sum_{H\in\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{ell}}(G)}\iota(G,H)y_H S^H_{\mathrm{disc},\chi,v_0}(f^H_{v_0}). \tag{17.15.1}$$

Here $x=\sum_{\pi'}\mathrm{m}_{\pi'}\mathrm{trace}\ {\pi'}^{v_0}(f^{v_0})$ is a nonzero complex number, the sum in the left-hand side is over the finite set of local components $\tau=\pi''_{v_0}$ of irreducible automorphic representation π'' of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which occurs (discretely) as an irreducible subspace of $L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ and such that $\pi''_{v_0}\not\cong\pi_{v_0}$, each x_τ is the sum of the corresponding $L_{\pi''}(f^{v_0})$, and $y_H=S^H_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}(f^{v_0\ H})$, that is the distribution $S^H_{\mathrm{disc},\chi}$ – out of v_0 – evaluated on the transfer of the fixed function f^{v_0}. The sum in the right-hand side is finite.

17.16. We let E be the union of the finite set of representations τ of $G(F_{v_0}) = \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ occuring in the first sum and the representation π_{v_0}. Equation (17.15.1) now writes as:[19]

$$\sum_{\sigma\in E} x_\sigma \mathrm{trace}\ \sigma(f_{v_0}) = \sum_{H\in\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{ell}}(G)} a_H S^H_{\mathrm{disc},\chi,v_0}(f^H_{v_0}). \tag{17.16.1}$$

Let us rewrite this equality in purely local terms. We fix v_0 the archimedean place and denote by π_0 the virtual representation on the left-hand side. On the right-hand side we sum over the local component of the elliptic (for the global situation) endoscopic groups putting together isomorphic componant to obtain for all K_{v_0}-finite function f_0

$$\mathrm{trace}\ \pi_0(f_0) = \sum_{H_0} \mathrm{trace}\ \pi_0^{H_0}(f_0^{H_0}), \tag{17.16.2}$$

where here H_0 are some local endoscopic groups, $\pi_0^{H_0}$ are virtual representations for H_0 and $f_0^{H_0}$ is the transfer of f_0. The H_0 are products of orthogonal groups (with some auxiliary data); let us make this precise in the (most difficult) case $m = N$ is even. The groups H_0 are quasi-split forms of products $\mathrm{SO}(N')\times\mathrm{SO}(N'')$ with $N'' \geq N' \geq 0$ even ($N' = 2\ell'$, $N'' = 2\ell''$) and $N = N'+N''$. In particular when H_0 is fixed, there exist ϵ', $\epsilon'' \in \{0,1\}$ such that $H_0 \cong \mathrm{SO}(\ell'+\epsilon', \ell'-\epsilon') \times \mathrm{SO}(\ell''+\epsilon'', \ell''-\epsilon'')$.

17.17. We recall that the left hand side of (17.16.2) contains in a non trivial manner the representation π_{v_0}. We write π_0 in the Grothendieck group of smooth admissible representations of $G(F_{v_0})$ using the basis given by the standard modules. Writing first π_{v_0} in this basis we have a standard module with a "maximal" exponent. This standard modules can disappear when we write π_0 in the same basis but only if a standard module occurs with a bigger exponent. We will prove that this implies that the right hand side of (17.16.2) also has an exponent at least so big that the maximal exponent of π_{v_0}. To do so we decompose the right-hand side in the Grothendieck group of each H_0 using the basis of such a group given by standard modules. Precisely: fix H_0 and write

$$\pi_0^{H_0} = \sum_{M_{H_0},\chi_{M_{H_0}}} \mathrm{ind}\chi_{M_{H_0}},$$

where M_{H_0} is any (standard) Levi subgroup of H_0, $\chi_{M_{H_0}}$ is a virtual representation of M_{H_0} linear combination of tempered representations twisted by a character and the induction is normalized and from a (standard) parabolic subgroup with Levi subgroup M_{H_0}. Because $\pi_0^{H_0}$ is stable, the virtual representation is also $\chi_{M_{H_0}}$ is also stable ([5]).

17.18. Computing the trace on $f_0^{H_0}$ we get $\mathrm{trace}(\mathrm{ind}\chi_{M_{H_0}}(f_0^{H_0})) = 0$ if M_{H_0} does not come from G. We can be more concrete on this condition with the above notations: we may assume that M_{H_0} is a product $M' \times M''$ with M', resp. M'', a Levi subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(\ell'+\epsilon', \ell'-\epsilon')$, resp. $\mathrm{SO}(\ell''+\epsilon'', \ell''-\epsilon'')$. Using the fact that a tempered representation of a general linear group is a full induced of discrete series representation, we can write

---

[19] Note – but we won't use it – that the stable distributions $f \mapsto S^H_{\mathrm{disc},\chi,v_0}(f)$ are linear combinations of (a finite number of) Arthur packets: they were obtained by fixing a character of the spherical Hecke algebra out of a finite set of places S and H is quasi-split.

$$M' = \mathrm{GL}(n'_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(n'_{u'}) \times \mathrm{SO}(\ell'_0 + \epsilon', \ell'_0 - \epsilon')$$

and

$$M'' = \mathrm{GL}(n''_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(n''_{u''}) \times \mathrm{SO}(\ell''_0 + \epsilon'', \ell''_0 - \epsilon'')$$

with u', $u'' \in \mathbb{N}$, n'_j, $n''_j \in \{1, 2\}$, $\ell'_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{u'} n'_j = \ell'$, and $\ell''_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{u''} n''_j = \ell''$. The group M_{H_0} comes from G if only if we have

$$\min(p, q) \geq \mu := \sum_{j=1}^{u'} n'_j + \sum_{j=1}^{u''} n''_j,$$

the group $\mathrm{SO}(\ell'_0 + \epsilon', \ell'_0 - \epsilon') \times \mathrm{SO}(\ell''_0 + \epsilon'', \ell''_0 - \epsilon'')$ is an endoscopic subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p - \mu, q - \mu)$, as well as some auxiliary data that we do not need here. If these conditions are fulfilled, M_{H_0} is relevant for the Levi subgroup M of $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{GL}(n'_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(n'_{u'}) \times \mathrm{GL}(n''_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(n''_{u''}) \times \mathrm{SO}(p - \mu, q - \mu).$$

And for all functions f_0 as above we have:

$$\mathrm{trace}(\mathrm{ind}\chi_{M_{H_0}}(f_0^{H_0})) = \mathrm{trace}(\mathrm{ind}\chi_M(f_0)),$$

where in the right-hand side χ_M is the Langlands transfer of $\chi_{M_{H_0}}$ and the induction is normalized and from a standard parabolic subgroup of $G(F_{v_0})$ of Levi M; this transfer is the identity on the GL factors.

17.19. Now we have written the right-hand side of (17.16.2) in terms of traces of standard modules for $G(F_{v_0})$. The big exponent of the left-hand side therefore appears in this sum. Going back, there is at least a triple $(H_0, M_{H_0}, \chi_{M_{H_0}})$ with this exponent. We recall that H_0 is a quasi-split orthogonal group or, in general a product of two quasi-split orthogonal groups. With the description given previously, there is at least one factor of this product which has the big exponent (and this factor is certainly not a form of SO(2)). We still go back to a global endoscopic H which gives rise to this H_0 and whose representation π^H has this local exponent. We now apply to this H and π^H the property of the quasi-split case. This say there exist an integer a with $a \geq x_0 + 1$ (x_0 is the big exponent) and a quadratic character η such that for almost all places v, the character of the spherical Hecke algebra comes from the Langlands parameter:

$$\psi'[v] \oplus_{k \in [(a-1)/2, -(a-1)/2]} \eta_v |.|^k,$$

where $\psi'[v]$ is suitable and not important for us. We now obtain the character of the sperical algebra of G acting on π through the Langlands functoriality from H to G and this gives the wanted property.

References

[1] Jeffrey Adams, Dan Barbasch, and David A. Vogan, Jr. *The Langlands classification and irreducible characters for real reductive groups*, volume 104 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.

[2] Jeffrey Adams and Joseph F. Johnson. Endoscopic groups and packets of nontempered representations. *Compositio Math.*, 64(3):271–309, 1987.

[3] James Arthur. *The Endoscopic Classification of Representations: Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups*. preprint available on http://www.claymath.org/cw/arthur/.

[4] James Arthur. The invariant trace formula. II. Global theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(3):501–554, 1988.

[5] James Arthur. On local character relations. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 2(4):501–579, 1996.

[6] James Arthur. A stable trace formula. I. General expansions. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 1(2):175–277, 2002.

[7] James Arthur. An introduction to the trace formula. In *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties*, volume 4 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 1–263. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[8] Nicolas Bergeron. Propriétés de Lefschetz automorphes pour les groupes unitaires et orthogonaux. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, (106):vi+125, 2006.

[9] Nicolas Bergeron and Laurent Clozel. Quelques conséquences des travaux d'Arthur pour le spectre et la topologie des variétés hyperboliques. arXiv:1004.1085v1.

[10] Nicolas Bergeron and Laurent Clozel. Spectre automorphe des variétés hyperboliques et applications topologiques. *Astérisque*, (303):xx+218, 2005.

[11] Don Blasius and Jonathan Rogawski. Cohomology of congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)^p$ and Hodge cycles on some special complex hyperbolic surfaces. In *Regulators in analysis, geometry and number theory*, volume 171 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–15. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.

[12] A. Borel and N. Wallach. *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, volume 67 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2000.

[13] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 1–3*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1989 English translation.

[14] Pierre-Henri Chaudouard and Gérard Laumon. Le lemme fondamental pondéré. II. énoncés cohomologiques. preprint available on http://www.math.u-psud.fr/ chaudou/.

[15] Pierre-Henri Chaudouard and Gérard Laumon. Le lemme fondamental pondéré. I. Constructions géométriques. *Compos. Math.*, 146(6):1416–1506, 2010.

[16] Shiing-shen Chern. On a generalization of Kähler geometry. In *Algebraic geometry and topology. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz*, pages 103–121. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1957.

[17] L. Clozel and P. Delorme. Le théorème de Paley-Wiener invariant pour les groupes de Lie réductifs. *Invent. Math.*, 77(3):427–453, 1984.

[18] Laurent Clozel. On limit multiplicities of discrete series representations in spaces of automorphic forms. *Invent. Math.*, 83(2):265–284, 1986.

[19] Mathieu Cossutta. Asymptotique des nombres de Betti des variétés arithmétiques. *Duke Math. J.*, 150(3):443–488, 2009.

[20] Mathieu Cossutta and Simon Marshall. Theta lifting and cohomology growth in p-adic towers. arXiv:1106.2765v2 [math.NT].

[21] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke. *Groups acting on hyperbolic space*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Harmonic analysis and number theory.

[22] Tobias Finis, Fritz Grunewald, and Paulo Tirao. The cohomology of lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. *Experiment. Math.*, 19(1):29–63, 2010.

[23] Yuval Z. Flicker. Twisted tensors and Euler products. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 116(3):295–313, 1988.

[24] William Fulton. *Young tableaux*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With applications to representation theory and geometry.

[25] William Fulton and Joe Harris. *Representation theory*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course, Readings in Mathematics.

[26] Jens Funke and John Millson. Cycles with local coefficients for orthogonal groups and vector-valued Siegel modular forms. *Amer. J. Math.*, 128(4):899–948, 2006.

[27] Wee Teck Gan and Shuichiro Takeda. On the regularized Siegel-Weil formula (the second term identity) and non-vanishing of theta lifts from orthogonal groups. preprint.

[28] Paul Garrett. *Buildings and classical groups*. Chapman & Hall, London, 1997.

[29] David Ginzburg, Dihua Jiang, and David Soudry. Poles of L-functions and theta liftings for orthogonal groups. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 8(4):693–741, 2009.

[30] William M. Goldman and John J. Millson. The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (67):43–96, 1988.

[31] Roe Goodman and Nolan R. Wallach. *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, volume 255 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.

[32] Michael Harris and Jian-Shu Li. A Lefschetz property for subvarieties of Shimura varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 7(1):77–122, 1998.

[33] J. W. Hoffman and H. He. Picard groups of siegel modular threefolds and theta lifting. preprint.

[34] R. Howe. θ-series and invariant theory. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 275–285. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[35] Roger Howe. Remarks on classical invariant theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 313(2):539–570, 1989.

[36] Roger Howe. Transcending classical invariant theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(3):535–552, 1989.

[37] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika. Hecke theory for GL(3). *Compositio Math.*, 29:75–87, 1974.

[38] Dihua Jiang and David Soudry. On the genericity of cuspidal automorphic forms of SO($2n$+1). II. *Compos. Math.*, 143(3):721–748, 2007.

[39] Dennis Johnson and John J. Millson. Deformation spaces associated to compact hyperbolic manifolds. In *Discrete groups in geometry and analysis (New Haven, Conn., 1984)*, volume 67 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 48–106. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1987. Papers from the conference in honor of G. D. Mostow on his sixtieth birthday held at Yale University, New Haven, Conn., March 23–25, 1984.

[40] M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne. On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials. *Invent. Math.*, 44(1):1–47, 1978.

[41] David Kazhdan. Some applications of the Weil representation. *J. Analyse Mat.*, 32:235–248, 1977.

[42] Anthony W. Knapp. *Representation theory of semisimple groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. An overview based on examples, Reprint of the 1986 original.

[43] Robert E. Kottwitz and Diana Shelstad. Foundations of twisted endoscopy. *Astérisque*, (255):vi+190, 1999.

[44] Stephen S. Kudla. Seesaw dual reductive pairs. In *Automorphic forms of several variables (Katata, 1983)*, volume 46 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 244–268. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1984.

[45] Stephen S. Kudla. Algebraic cycles on Shimura varieties of orthogonal type. *Duke Math. J.*, 86(1):39–78, 1997.

[46] Stephen S. Kudla and John J. Millson. The theta correspondence and harmonic forms. I. *Math. Ann.*, 274(3):353–378, 1986.

[47] Stephen S. Kudla and John J. Millson. The theta correspondence and harmonic forms. II. *Math. Ann.*, 277(2):267–314, 1987.

[48] Stephen S. Kudla and John J. Millson. Intersection numbers of cycles on locally symmetric spaces and Fourier coefficients of holomorphic modular forms in several complex variables. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (71):121–172, 1990.

[49] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis. A regularized Siegel-Weil formula: the first term identity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 140(1):1–80, 1994.

[50] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis. On first occurrence in the local theta correspondence. In *Automorphic representations, L-functions and applications: progress and prospects*, volume 11 of *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 273–308. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2005.

[51] R. P. Langlands. On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups. In *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, volume 31 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 101–170. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

[52] R. P. Langlands and D. Shelstad. On the definition of transfer factors. *Math. Ann.*, 278(1-4):219–271, 1987.

[53] Jian-Shu Li. Theta lifting for unitary representations with nonzero cohomology. *Duke Math. J.*, 61(3):913–937, 1990.

[54] Jian-Shu Li. Nonvanishing theorems for the cohomology of certain arithmetic quotients. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 428:177–217, 1992.

[55] Jian-Shu Li and John J. Millson. On the first Betti number of a hyperbolic manifold with an arithmetic fundamental group. *Duke Math. J.*, 71(2):365–401, 1993.

[56] Wenzhi Luo, Zeév Rudnick, and Peter Sarnak. On the generalized Ramanujan conjecture for GL(n). In *Automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and arithmetic (Fort Worth, TX, 1996)*, volume 66 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 301–310. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[57] P. Mezo. Character identities in the twisted endoscopy of real reductive groups. preprint available on http://math.carleton.ca/∼mezo/research.html.

[58] John J. Millson. On the first Betti number of a constant negatively curved manifold. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 104(2):235–247, 1976.

[59] J. S. Milne. Canonical models of (mixed) Shimura varieties and automorphic vector bundles. In *Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988)*, volume 10 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 283–414. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[60] C. Moeglin. Conjecture d'Adams pour la correspondance de Howe et filtration de Kudla. à paraître en l'honneur de S. Kudla.

[61] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. Le spectre résiduel de GL(n). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 22(4):605–674, 1989.

[62] Colette Mœglin. Comparaison des exposants à l'intérieur d'un paquet d'arthur. *J. Lie Theory*. to appear.

[63] Colette Mœglin. Non nullité de certains relêvements par séries théta. *J. Lie Theory*, 7(2):201–229, 1997.

[64] Colette Mœglin. Quelques propriétés de base des séries théta. *J. Lie Theory*, 7(2):231–238, 1997.

[65] Colette Mœglin. Comparaison des paramètres de Langlands et des exposants à l'intérieur d'un paquet d'Arthur. *J. Lie Theory*, 19(4):797–840, 2009.

[66] Werner Müller. The trace class conjecture in the theory of automorphic forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 130(3):473–529, 1989.

[67] B. C. Ngô. Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de lie. disponible sur http://www.math.ias.edu/∼ngo/LF.pdf.

[68] M. S. Raghunathan and T. N. Venkataramana. The first Betti number of arithmetic groups and the congruence subgroup problem. In *Linear algebraic groups and their representations (Los Angeles, CA, 1992)*, volume 153 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 95–107. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[69] S. Rallis. On the Howe duality conjecture. *Compositio Math.*, 51(3):333–399, 1984.

[70] Peter Sarnak and Xiao Xi Xue. Bounds for multiplicities of automorphic representations. *Duke Math. J.*, 64(1):207–227, 1991.

[71] Gordan Savin. Limit multiplicities of cusp forms. *Invent. Math.*, 95(1):149–159, 1989.

[72] D. Shelstad. On spectral transfer factors in real twisted endoscopy. preprint available on http://andromeda.rutgers.edu/∼shelstad/.

[73] D. Shelstad. Embeddings of L-groups. *Canad. J. Math.*, 33(3):513–558, 1981.

[74] D. Shelstad. L-indistinguishability for real groups. *Math. Ann.*, 259(3):385–430, 1982.

[75] D. Shelstad. Tempered endoscopy for real groups. I. Geometric transfer with canonical factors. In *Representation theory of real reductive Lie groups*, volume 472 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 215–246. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[76] J. Tits. Reductive groups over local fields. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 29–69. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[77] Y. L. Tong and S. P. Wang. Some nonzero cohomology of discrete groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 382:85–144, 1987.

[78] T. N. Venkataramana. Abelianness of Mumford-Tate groups associated to some unitary groups. *Compositio Math.*, 122(3):223–242, 2000.

[79] David A. Vogan, Jr. and Gregg J. Zuckerman. Unitary representations with nonzero cohomology. *Compositio Math.*, 53(1):51–90, 1984.

[80] J.-L. Waldspurger. Le lemme fondamental implique le transfert. *Compositio Math.*, 105(2):153–236, 1997.

[81] J.-L. Waldspurger. À propos du lemme fondamental pondéré tordu. *Math. Ann.*, 343(1):103–174, 2009.

[82] Jean-Loup Waldspurger. Endoscopie et changement de caractéristique: intégrales orbitales pondérées. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 59(5):1753–1818, 2009.

[83] André Weil. Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. *Acta Math.*, 111:143–211, 1964.

[84] R. Weissauer. The Picard group of Siegel modular threefolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 430:179–211, 1992. With an erratum: "Differential forms attached to subgroups of the Siegel modular group of degree two" [J. Reine Angew. Math. **3**91 (1988), 100–156; MR0961166 (89i:32074)] by the author.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7586 du CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France,
E-mail address: `bergeron@math.jussieu.fr`
URL: `http://people.math.jussieu.fr/~bergeron`

Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
E-mail address: `jjm@math.umd.edu`
URL: `http://www-users.math.umd.edu/~jjm/`

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7586 du CNRS, 4, place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France,
E-mail address: `moeglin@math.jussieu.fr`
URL: `http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~moeglin`